1. Research Base

The Framework for Superintendent Evaluation (FFS) is a research-based set of components of instruction originally developed by Oscoda Area Schools (2011) and has been empirically developed using a wide range of professional resources. The FFS is aligned with effectiveness standards indicated in state statute PA173, which represent the professional consensus of what an educator should exemplify. The Framework is grounded in a constructivist view of learning and teaching. The FFS divides the complex activity of instructional leadership into five domains with 24 components. The domains are catalogued into four arenas of leadership responsibility constituting 18.75% of the evaluation individually and 75% collectively: 1) Planning and preparation, 2) Understands and applies technical skill, 3) Professional responsibility, 4) Leadership and communication.¹ The Framework for Teaching by Charlotte Danielson's work has had considerable influence on the assimilation and creation of this instrument. The fifth domain is worth 25% of the evaluation and is inclusive of student growth and proficiency data.

The framework is based on the Praxis III criteria for teaching and learning. (Dwyer and Villegas, 1993; Dwyer, 1994; Rosenfeld, Freeberg, & Bukatko, 1992; Rosenfeld, Reynolds, & Bukatko, 1992; Rosenfeld, Wilder, & Bukatko, 1992)²

Other work also influenced the development of the framework: documents from the standards committees of the National Board for Professional Teaching Standards (NBPTS), work at the University of Wisconsin (Newmann, Secada, & Wehlage, 1995), Michael Scriven's (1994) conceptions of teacher duties, and recent research on the pedagogical implications of constructivist learning. The framework has been subjected to a further intensive review by ETS colleagues Carol Dwyer, Ruth Hummel, and Alice Sims Gunzenhauser².

In addition, the concepts and practices suggested through Michigan Association of School Boards (MASB) have been embedded and shaped the process inherently involved with the construction and implementation of the FFS. National Policy Board for Educational Administration (2015). <u>Professional Standards for Educational Leaders 2015</u>. Reston, VA: Author.

The 2015 Standards embody the most inclusive search of superintendent research. The identification of standards were inclusive of 1,000 school and district leaders through surveys and focus groups to identify gaps among the 2008 Standards, the day-to-day work of education leaders and leadership demands of the future. The National Association of Elementary School Principals, National Association of Secondary School Principals and American Association of School Administrators were instrumental to this work. The public was also invited to comment on two drafts of the Standards, which contributed to the final product. Midcontinent Research for Education and Learning (2006). School District Leadership That Works: The Effect of Superintendent Leadership on Student Achievement. Denver, CO: Author.

2. Identity and Qualifications of the Author

Dr. Christine Beardsley, Ed.D. provided the initial authorship for the tool in concert with the protocols inherit in the Danielson Framework and MASB Superintendent Evaluation. Dr. Beardsley was the first educational leader in the State of Michigan to quantify a teacher evaluation system with tangible student data. Dr. Beardsley has served as a superintendent for over 20 years in the State of Michigan. The work has been modified through the practice and progression of evaluation in regard to the qualitative empirical observation and simultaneously the quantitative data generating piece stored through InFormed through the leadership of Scott Moore, Ed.S. Scott Moore is currently conducting research through Eastern Michigan University on the implications of educator evaluation in one Northern Michigan School District.

3. Evidence of Reliability, Validity, and Efficacy

FFS has been subjected to several validation studies over the course of its development and refinement, including audits by independent educators. The final conclusion of the overall effectiveness of any instrument in the State of Michigan is not currently possible, as the process is evolving as more data is available. The correlation to effective instructional outcomes and the inherent analysis of evaluative performance will continue to be scrutinized at great lengths as the district attempts to provide the best services possible at all facets of the organization. This on-going analysis will continue to integrate the most applicable research and student-achievement data available for the effective evaluation of instructional leaders.

Training Plan for Board of Education and Superintendent

All Board members and the superintendent are scheduled to receive training on the evaluation tool on March 13, 2017 on the evaluation process and tool from Dana McGrew of Iosco Regional Educational Service Agency.

Superintendent Evaluation Tool

Timeline:

1. As per Board Policy, the Board will consider the Superintendent's Evaluation at the Regular Board Meeting in February.

| Domain 1: Planning and Decision-Making – 18.75% | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| Ineffective | Minimally Effective | Effective | Highly Effective | | |
| | Domain 2: Technic | al Skills – 18.75% | <u>6</u> | | |
| Ineffective | Minimally Effective | Effective | ☐ Highly Effective | | |
| Dom | nain 3: Professional R | esponsibilities – | <u>18.75%</u> | | |
| Ineffective | Minimally Effective | Effective | Highly Effective | | |
| <u>Doma</u> | ain 4: Leadership and | Communication | <u>– 17.75%</u> | | |
| Ineffective | Minimally Effective | Effective | Highly Effective | | |
| Domain 5: Student Growth and Achievement – 25% | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Overall Superintendent Evaluation Rating | | | | | |
| Ineffective | Minimally Effective | Effective | Highly Effective | | |

Purpose

The evaluation process should be an ongoing system to improve the quality of performance and leadership as well as identify areas of strength and required improvement. This process should promote growth in administrative effectiveness by interaction between the Board and Superintendent. Each board member should review this document and determine the rating that he/she believes is appropriate for each item prior to the meeting of the whole.

Domain 1: Planning and Decision-Making

Component 1a: District Planning

The Superintendent oversees the development and implementation of short and long-term strategic planning in which others are included; the training of administrators, supervisors, and Board in planning techniques and implementation; the development and implementation of plan accountability systems/procedures; and the evaluation, reporting, and interpretation of planning results.

| | ☐ Minimally Effective | Effective | Highly Effective |
|--|--|---|------------------|
| Superintendent's (| Comments: | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Board Member's C | omments: | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Superintendent pror the total district. Sup | Process of Decision Maki nptly, correctly, and thoroughl perintendent has the ability to of Education Policy and labor | y weighs all factors a face controversy, to | |
| Ineffective | ☐ Minimally Effective | Effective | Highly Effective |
| Superintendent's (| Comments: | | |
| | | | |

| Board Member's Comments: | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Superintendent pro | Expectations for Academ motes and believes in the edu rdable needs of the students. | cational experience | of all children and is | |
| Ineffective | Minimally Effective | Effective | Highly Effective | |
| Superintendent's | Comments: | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Board Member's C | comments: | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Component 1d: District | Monitoring and Supervisi | ng Instructional Pra | actice and Delivery of | |
| | Approved Curriculum. | | | |
| instruction through a within the district's i inadequacies are id | rsees the development of data all grade levels. When applican structional practices and deliventified, the Superintendent co which prevent the identified in trict's student's. | able, Superintendent very of the approved ommunicates them to | will identify inadequacies curriculum. When the Board as well as | |
| | ☐ Minimally Effective | Effective | ☐ Highly Effective | |
| Superintendent's | Comments: | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| Board Member's (| Comments: | | |
|--|--|--|---|
| Superintendent has goals to the generation meets expectation Superintendent pro | Understanding and Comr s an understanding of district al public. Superintendent's sp ns. When notified of pub ivides this feedback to the Boa | goals and can effeo oken and written lar olic concern regard | ctively communicate those nguage is at a level which ling the district's goals, |
| of the district's goal | IS. | Effective | ☐ Highly Effective |
| Superintendent's | Comments: | | |
| Board Member's (| Comments: | | |
| | | | |

Domain 2: Understands and Applies Technical Skills

Component 2a: Organization and Administration of Budget

Superintendent monitors and oversees the budget process, informs the Board of Education of financial needs and changes, and forecasts financial requirements. Further, Superintendent demonstrates an understanding of the budget process and priorities district's financial needs in conjunction with the district's goals.

Ineffective

Minimally Effective

Effective

Highly Effective

Superintendent's Comments:

| Board Member's (| Comments: | | |
|---|--|---|--|
| | 50mments | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Superintendent end investigate curricul research, or experi | Curriculum Development courages administrators to iden um changes to address these mentation. When curriculum in effort to ensure these improve | ntify areas in need of needs through visits mprovements are ide | to other schools, reading, entified, Superintendent |
| ☐ Ineffective | Minimally Effective | Effective | Highly Effective |
| | - | | 0, |
| | Comments: | | |
| Board Member's (| Comments: | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| school district and | District Resources ectively assesses current and f develops a plan to address tho ict resources are utilized in the | se needs. Superinte | endent makes every effort |
| Ineffective | Minimally Effective | Effective | Highly Effective |
| 0 | 0 | | |
| Superintendent's | Comments: | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| Board Member's (| Comments: | | |
|---|--|---|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Superintendent cor safety and providing students feel secur | Creating a Safe and Orde sistently looks for ways to upd g an orderly environment. Env e. Superintendent encourages lucation of all students. | ate or improve the w ironment is warm, sa | afe, and employees and |
| | Minimally Effective | Effective | Highly Effective |
| Superintendent's | Comments: | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Board Member's (| Comments: | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Superintendent act ensures that hiring "Students First" as | Hiring Faculty and Staff ively participates in the hiring p and termination recommendat well as the district's goals. Su staffing level which promotes | ions to the board sup perintendent cooperation | pport the vision of ates with others regarding |
| Ineffective | Minimally Effective | Effective | Highly Effective |
| Superintendent's | Comments: | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| Superintendent use of improvement are identified concerns Evaluation schedul | Evaluating Faculty and St es the evaluation process as a e identified for staff members S . Expectations for job perform es are created, monitored, and dent utilizes the proper channe ineffective. | tool to improve job p uperintendent works ance are clearly defi adhered to. When | with staff to address the ned and articulated. in the district's best |
|---|---|---|---|
| Ineffective | Minimally Effective | Effective | Highly Effective |
| Superintendent's | Comments: | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Board Member's (| Comments: | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | Domain 3: Professior | nal Responsibil | ities |
| Superintendent der Superintendent me | Demonstrating Work Ethin monstrates acceptable time-ma ets or exceeds deadlines ident Superintendent demonstrates | anagement and prob ified by the Board or | imposed by State or |
| Ineffective | Minimally Effective | Effective | Highly Effective |
| Superintendent's | Comments: | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| Board Member's (| Comments: | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Component 3b: Superintendent is r accomplishments v Superintendent ma Board in a prompt f | Modeling Integrity, Reliab eliable and dependable. Other vith others. When areas of con kes every reasonable effort to fashion. Responsibility for failu | ility, and Trustwort s trust Superintende cern within the distric communicate these ire or problems is ac | nt. Superintendent shares ct are identified, areas of concern to the |
| | at a level which meets expect | _ | — |
| | Minimally Effective | Effective | Highly Effective |
| Board Member's (| Comments: | | |
| Component 3c: | Personal Characteristics | | |
| Superintendent is s the face of difficultion respectful to others | suitably attired and well-groome es; has moral character; exhibits s. Superintendent exhibits prof mbers, and the community at I | ts professionalism; a essionalism and pas | and is courteous and |
| Ineffective | Minimally Effective | Effective | ☐ Highly Effective |
| Superintendent's | Comments: | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| Superintendent par engage in self-impr | Professional Growth ticipates in professional develo ovement programs which expo ormal. Superintendent promot staff members. | ose them to new dev | elopments in their field, |
|---|---|---|--|
| | Minimally Effective | Effective | Highly Effective |
| Superintendent's | Comments: | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Board Member's C | Comments: | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| encourages everyo | Engaging Families, Busin and Extra-Curricular Prog motes the district's instructiona ne in the community to particip , including students, parents, o | rams. al and extracurriculat pate. Superintenden | r programs and t has the willingness to |
| | Minimally Effective | Effective | Highly Effective |
| Superintendent's | Comments: | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

_

| Component 3f: Superintendent see but gives direction | Involving others in Distric eks to foster teamwork, high m to ensure student achievemen gestions for school improveme | ct and Academic De orale and cohesivene t. Superintendent lis | ess among employees, tens to and communicates |
|---|--|---|--|
| | Minimally Effective | Effective | Highly Effective |
| Superintendent's | Comments: | | |
| | | | |
| | Comments: | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| <u>!</u> | Domain 4: Leadership | and Communic | cation |
| Component 4a. | Leadership and Managen | nent Techniques | |
| of staff; is responsi | ablishes lines of responsibility ve to the needs of the school o executes successful negotiation | listrict; maximizes lea | adership through creativity |
| | Minimally Effective | Effective | Highly Effective |
| Superintendent's | Comments: | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| Component 4b. | Board Relations | | |
|--|---|---|--|
| recommendations to | pares and presents reports an o the Board; responds to reque the district; and communicate | ests from the Board; | keeps the Board informed |
| | Minimally Effective | Effective | ☐ Highly Effective |
| Superintendent's | Comments: | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Board Member's (| comments: | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| to guide all staff in o development oppor | elops and implements a trainin obtaining improved student suc tunities to strengthen instruction nistrator success and acts as a | ng plan for all current ccess, and oversee t on. Superintendent e | t and new administrators he professional exhibits the skills |
| Ineffective | Minimally Effective | Effective | Highly Effective |
| Superintendent's | Comments: | | |
| • | | | |
| | | | |

| Superintendent has goal of improving s are under his/her d | Feedback and Constructions the ability to give constructive ome area of another's person's irect supervision. Superintender re for self-improvement and pro- | e criticism (criticism k s life or work) to co-w ent has the ability to t | orkers and personnel that |
|--|--|---|---------------------------|
| | Minimally Effective | Effective | Highly Effective |
| Superintendent's | Comments: | | |
| Board Member's (| Comments: | | |
| | | | |
| Superintendent is a districts); has the a | Public Relations available to the public (parents, bility to meet with people and i I-informed and interested. Sup vors. | nterpret district progr | ams; has a willingness to |
| | Minimally Effective | Effective | Highly Effective |
| Superintendent's | Comments: | | |
| | | | |
| Board Member's (| Comments: | | |
| | | | |

| student, or other so | Responding to Staff, Pare monstrates compassion and se chool problems. Superintender munications at a level which m | ensitivity when respon nt exhibits flexibility a | nding to staff, parent, |
|----------------------|---|---|-------------------------|
| Ineffective | Minimally Effective | Effective | Highly Effective |
| Superintendent's | Comments: | | |
| | | | |
| Board Member's (| Comments: | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | Participation and Leaders nvolved in district projects and Superintendent provides valua | committees at a leve | el which meets |
| | ☐ Minimally Effective | Effective | Highly Effective |
| Superintendent's | Comments: | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Board Member's (| Comments: | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Domain 5: 2016-17 Assessments of Oscoda Area Schools For Student Growth and Achievement

Grades K-6

- NWEA Utilized as Growth Measure for all (4) Subjects Tests 3X Per Year (34%)
- Pre-Post Assessments for Math & ELA (6th Grade Science and Social Studies too)
- (33%) • Local Grades K-6 (33%)
- M-Step Potentially Incorporated for Evaluative Purposes in 2016-17 for Grades 3-6
- Inclusion of Rigby & Dibels to be Discussed in August for Potential Evaluative Purposes

Grades 7-8

- NWEA 2X per year in Sept & May *inquire if staff wants 3X annually (34%)
- Pre-Post Assessments for ALL COURSES (33%)
- Local Grades 7-8 (33%)
- M-Step Potentially Incorporated for Evaluative Purposes in 2016-17 for Grades 7-8

Grades 9-12

- NWEA Utilized ONLY FOR SPED and POTENTIALLY Tier II Students
- Pre-Post Assessments for ALL COURSES (50%)
- Local Grades 9-12 (50%)
- PSAT Distributed for 9th and 10th Grade in Spring of 2016 (potential proficiency score)
- 11th Grade PSAT Proctored in Fall of 2015 Only (Non-Evaluative)
- 11th Grade M-Step, SAT and Work Keys
- All 10th Grade Students will be Administered Compass Test (Non-Evaluative)

*Assessments colored in blue will be incorporated into data utilized for evaluation in 2016-17.

**It is anticipated that in 2017-2018 Student Proficiency Data will be inclusive of state assessment data, if available.

***The collective teacher results represent the score for the superintendent to be utilized for the data component.