Financial Report with Supplemental Information September 30, 2012

	Content
Report Letter	1-2
Management's Discussion and Analysis	3-10
Basic Financial Statements	
Government-wide Financial Statements: Statement of Net Assets Statement of Activities	 12- 3
Fund Financial Statements: Governmental Funds: Balance Sheet Reconciliation of the Balance Sheet to the Statement of Net Assets Statement of Revenue, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenue, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities	14 15 16
Proprietary Funds: Statement of Net Assets Statement of Revenue, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets Statement of Cash Flows	18-19 20-21 22
Fiduciary Funds: Statement of Fiduciary Net Assets Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Assets - Pension and Other Employee Benefits Trust	24 25
Component Units: Statement of Net Assets (Deficit) Statement of Activities	26 27-28
Notes to Financial Statements	29-63
Required Supplemental Information	64
Budgetary Comparison Schedule - General Fund	65
Pension System Schedule	66
Note to Required Supplemental Information	67

Contents (Continued)

Other Supplemental Information	68
Nonmajor Governmental Funds:	
Combining Balance Sheet	69-70
Combining Statement of Revenue, Expenditures, and	
Changes in Fund Balances	71-72
Nonmajor Enterprise Funds:	
Combining Statement of Net Assets	73
Combining Statement of Revenue, Expenses,	
and Changes in Net Assets	74
Combining Statement of Cash Flows	75
Fiduciary Funds:	
Statement of Assets and Liabilities	76
Combining Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Assets	77



27400 Northwestern Highway P.O. Box 307 Southfield, MI 48037-0307 Tel: 248.352.2500 Fax: 248.352.0018 plantemoran.com

Independent Auditor's Report

To the City Council City of Wyandotte, Michigan

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Wyandotte, Michigan (the "City") as of and for the year ended September 30, 2012, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the City of Wyandotte, Michigan's management. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Wyandotte, Michigan as of September 30, 2012 and the respective changes in financial position and cash flows, where applicable, thereof for the year then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that management's discussion and analysis, retirement system schedules of funding progress, and the budgetary comparison schedule, as identified in the table of contents, be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, which considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplemental information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.



To the City Council City of Wyandotte, Michigan

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City of Wyandotte, Michigan's basic financial statements. The accompanying other supplemental information, as identified in the table of contents, is presented for the purpose of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated March 25, 2013 on our consideration of the City of Wyandotte, Michigan's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, grant agreements, and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide opinions on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and should be read in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

During the year, the City recorded a prior period adjustment to recognize long-term liabilities incurred for property that was purchased in the component units in previous years as discussed in Note 19.

Plante & Moran, PLLC

March 25, 2013

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Our discussion and analysis of the City of Wyandotte, Michigan's (the "City") financial performance provides an overview of the City's financial activities for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012. Please read it in conjunction with the City's financial statements.

Financial Highlights

As discussed in further detail in this discussion and analysis, the following represents the most significant financial highlights for the year ended September 30, 2012:

- Property tax revenue is the City's single largest source of revenue. The City's 2011 taxable value (levied for the 2012 fiscal year) was \$571,956,000 (ad valorem), which represents a decrease of 9.36 percent and a cumulative reduction of 18.22 percent over the past two years.
- State-shared revenue, our second largest revenue source, was reduced by the State of Michigan by approximately \$141,000 this year compared to the reduction of \$156,000 in the prior year. This represents a decrease of 5.0 percent in the current year, and a cumulative reduction of 10.4 percent over the past two years. Further, the City is receiving approximately \$1,785,000 less per year since the year 2000.
- The City continued the sharing agreements with the Consolidated Tax Increment Finance Authority and Downtown Development Authority. The sharing agreement with the Tax Increment Finance Authority returned approximately \$2,124,000 of general operating tax revenue to the General Fund in the current year and \$320,000 of debt levy tax revenue compared to \$2,135,000 of general operating tax revenue and \$120,000 of debt levy tax revenue in the prior year. The sharing agreement with the Downtown Development Authority returned approximately \$337,000 to the General Fund in the current year compared to \$322,000 in the prior year. Portions of these funds were used to defray annual operating costs and repay debt obligations. Remaining funds were contributed to the City of Wyandotte Retirement System.
- In previous years, the expenditures for retiree health insurance were made from the Health and Life Insurance Reserve of the retirement system. Beginning in 2006, the City's General Fund paid for the annual cost of retiree health insurance due to a lack of funds in the reserve. The City paid \$2,144,000 in the current year, which was equal to the amount in the prior year, for the gross cost of retiree health insurance. Included in these amounts is approximately \$0 in the current year and \$16,000 in the prior year to be applied to future retiree health insurance premiums.
- In the current year, the City utilized the charter-authorized debt millage to pay the debt service on the bonds sold to construct the Department of Public Service facility, the bonds sold to construct the police facility and the 27th District Court facility, and to renovate the Yack Arena.

Management's Discussion and Analysis (Continued)

- In November 2011, the electors of the City approved an additional operating millage for a three-year period. The current year includes the increased revenue from these additional 1.75 operating mills. This supplemental operating millage will be in effect through the 2014 fiscal year.
- Subsequent to the end of the fiscal year, the City moved the City Hall to 3200 Biddle, a
 commercial building that was purchased by the City in 2000 and been rented to commercial
 tenants since. The City will be occupying approximately 63 percent of this building while
 continuing to rent the remaining 37 percent. This tenant revenue will be used to reduce the
 operating and maintenance costs incurred by the City in this new building.

The amended budget of the City's General Fund indicated that an excess of revenues of approximately \$1,463,000 was to be expected. This positive expectation was primarily the result of the additional tax revenue from the supplemental operating tax millage that was approved by the citizens in November 2011. The actual results showed an excess of revenue of approximately \$2,313,000. This positive variance is primarily attributed to the capitalization of assets (houses) relative to the Neighborhood Stabilization Program 2 (NSP2), which caused an excess of revenue over expenditures related to the NSP2 program of \$2,134,000. This City's General Fund also experienced an excess of revenue over expenditures from non-NSP2 activities of \$179,000. The negative revenue variance from the City's amended budget was primarily the result of less-than-anticipated receipts of federal grant reimbursements, reduced revenue from the fines and forfeitures originating from the 27th District Court, reimbursement to Wayne County for delinquent property tax revenue paid to the City in prior years which remained uncollectible, and reduced reimbursement for Department of Public Service activities related to street maintenance. Also contributing to this negative variance was the discretionary decision to forgo a budgeted transfer from the Self-insurance Fund into the General Fund.

The negative variance in non-NSP2 activities was offset by actual expenditures being less than budgeted expenditures across most departments of the City. In addition to savings derived from hiring delays and elimination of open positions, savings have been realized from changing health insurance coverage levels, delaying various purchases and improvement projects, monitoring overtime usage, and the implementation of a pay freeze for all nonunion employees and members of collective bargaining units whose contracts expired during the current year.

The City remains cognizant of the difficult economic conditions that continue to negatively affect the operations of the City. As a result of this, we will continue to monitor operations to reduce expenditures and increase revenue where possible. In the recent past, the following actions have assisted in managing the City in light of the troubling environment:

- Reductions in expenditures were achieved through the elimination or delays in replacement
 of personnel positions when vacancies occur. Full-time employees have been reduced from
 174 employees to 120 employees over the past 15 years.
- The City has also continued to reduce discretionary spending and has reduced certain elements of employer-paid healthcare coverage including the implementation of an 80/20 healthcare premium cost-sharing provision with eligible employee groups.

Management's Discussion and Analysis (Continued)

- The City recently reached agreements with all five collective bargaining units to eliminate traditional retiree healthcare coverage for new hires. This change was also instituted for nonunion employee groups and represents an important step to contain future costs and liabilities for the City.
- A pay freeze was enacted for all nonunion employees and members of collective bargaining units whose contracts expired in 2012. It is the City's intention to extend this pay freeze to all union members upon the expiration of their collective bargaining agreements.
- The City has collaborated with neighboring communities to achieve efficiencies, cost savings, and improved service to our citizens in the areas of 911 dispatching and animal control services. The City will continue to identify areas where collaboration can achieve similar advantages that assist in managing the budget.

The City recently performed a review of the operational environment of the City. The purpose of the review was to identify areas of operation that could be improved to provide better customer service at a reduced cost. Numerous recommendations were identified that would yield positive financial results to the City. The City has been implementing many of these recommendations and continues to review these issues and prioritize their implementation.

Using this Annual Report

This annual report consists of a series of financial statements. The statement of net assets and the statement of activities provide information about the activities of the City as a whole and present a longer-term view of the City's finances. This longer-term view uses the accrual basis of accounting so that it can measure the cost of providing services during the current year, and whether the taxpayers have funded the full cost of providing government services.

The fund financial statements present a short-term view; they tell us how the taxpayers' resources were spent during the year, as well as how much is available for future spending. Fund financial statements also report the City's operations in more detail than the government-wide financial statements by providing information about the City's most significant funds. The fiduciary fund statements provide financial information about activities for which the City acts solely as a trustee or agent for the benefit of those outside of the government.

Management's Discussion and Analysis (Continued)

The City as a Whole

The following table shows, in a condensed format, the net assets as of September 30, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands of dollars):

	Government	al Activities	ivities Business-type Activities		То	tal
	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011
Assets						
Current assets	\$ 38,803	\$ 36,114	\$ 26,332	\$ 20,080	\$ 65,135	\$ 56,194
Capital assets	64,866	67,543	79,617	81,708	144,483	149,251
Other noncurrent assets	13,443	12,786	11,779	11,492	25,222	24,278
Total assets	117,112	116,443	117,728	113,280	234,840	229,723
Liabilities						
Current liabilities	21,149	19,506	18,391	17,237	39,540	36,743
Long-term liabilities	16,721	15,779	42,541	45,920	59,262	61,699
Total liabilities	37,870	35,285	60,932	63,157	98,802	98,442
Net Assets						
Investment in capital assets -						
Net of related debt	56,566	58,293	31,209	29,708	87,775	88,001
Restricted	12,200	9,392	8,358	8,113	20,558	17,505
Unrestricted	10,476	13,473	17,229	12,302	27,705	25,775
Total net assets	\$ 79,242	\$ 81,158	\$ 56,796	\$ 50,123	\$ 136,038	\$ 131,281

The City of Wyandotte, Michigan has combined net assets of \$136 million in the current year compared to \$131 million in the prior year. Business-type activities comprise \$57 million and \$50 million of the total net assets in the respective years.

Management's Discussion and Analysis (Continued)

The following table shows the changes in the net assets during the fiscal years ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands of dollars):

	Government	tal Activities	Business-typ	e Activities	Total		
	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	
Revenue							
Program revenue:							
Charges for services	\$ 6,519	\$ 6,554	\$ 60,004	\$ 58,417	\$ 66,523	\$ 64,971	
Operating grants and contributions	2,093	008, ا	2,098	2,455	4,191	4,255	
Capital grants and contributions	3,245	5,455	-	-	3,245	5,455	
General revenue:							
Property taxes	12,508	12,276	_	-	12,508	12,276	
State-shared revenue	2,635	2,769	_	-	2,635	2,769	
Unrestricted investment earnings	152	139	1,281	556	1,433	695	
Transfers and other revenue	607	574	-	-	607	574	
Total revenue	27,759	29,567	63,383	61,428	91,142	90,995	
Program Expenses							
General government	8,513	8,076	-	-	8,513	8,076	
Public safety	11,250	11,703	-	-	11,250	11,703	
Public works	6,618	6,730	_	-	6,618	6,730	
Community and economic development	1,383	3,102	_	-	1,383	3,102	
Recreation and culture	1,550	ا 47,	-	-	1,550	1,471	
Interest on long-term debt	360	420	-	-	360	420	
Business-type			56,710	57,120	56,710	57,120	
Total program expenses	29,674	31,502	56,710	57,120	86,384	88,622	
Change in Net Assets	\$ (1,915)	\$ (1,935)	\$ 6,673	\$ 4,308	\$ 4,758	\$ 2,373	

Governmental Activities

The City's total governmental revenue decreased by approximately \$1,808,000, or 6.1 percent, in the current year compared to an increase of \$3,246,000, or 12.3 percent, in the prior year. Capital grants and contributions decreased \$2,210,000 primarily due to the NSP2 program. Property taxes increased \$232,000 primarily due to the effect of the additional 1.75 operating mills approved by the citizens in November 2011 offset by the decline in property values. Unfortunately, these increases were offset by decreases in state-shared revenue (\$141,000).

Expenses decreased by approximately \$1,828,000, or 5.8 percent, in the current year compared to an increase of \$1,739,000, or 5.8 percent in the prior year. Community and economic development expenses decreased by \$1,719,000, which was primarily due to the NSP2 program. Additional decreases of approximately \$453,000 in public safety expenses and \$112,000 in public works expenses were primarily related to the reduction in workforce. Interest on long-term debt also decreased by \$60,000. These decreases were offset by an increase in general government expenses of \$437,000, which was primarily due to the expense recognized due to the decrease in the net pension asset, and an increase in recreation and culture expenses of \$79,000 related to capital investment in facilities.

Management's Discussion and Analysis (Continued)

Business-type Activities

The City's business-type activities consist of the Electric Fund, Water Fund, Cable Television Fund, Sewage Disposal Fund, Municipal Golf Course Fund, and Commercial Building Rental Fund. We provide electric, water, and cable television service to residents from City-owned facilities. We provide sewage treatment via the downriver sewage disposal system, which is co-owned with 13 communities and operated by the County of Wayne. Wyandotte Shores golf course is owned and operated by the City and offers golfers a nine-hole links-style course on the shores of the Detroit River. The Commercial Building Rental Fund accounts for the activity of the City-owned commercial building at 3200 Biddle Avenue.

The Electric Fund's operating revenue was comparable to the prior year, decreasing by less than I percent. Accordingly, the Electric Fund realized operating income of \$5,380,000 compared to an operating income of \$4,493,000 in the previous year. In addition, the Electric Fund met the requirements of the bond ordinance related to debt service coverage ratio (approximately 194 percent of annual debt service compared to the requirement of 110 of the actual debt service) and actual expenditures of the Electric Fund did not exceed the budget. The Electric Fund also repaid all internal short-term borrowings from other funds during the current year.

The financial performance of the Water Fund remained relatively stable in the current year. Operating revenue increased by approximately 5 percent, while operating expenses were stable compared to the prior year. Operating income in the current year increased by \$182,000 and was \$304,000 at year end. Through a planned series of water rate increases over the past several years, the Water Fund has been restoring its working capital position. Historically, the Water Fund has been assisted by annual contributions from the City's Tax Increment Financing Authority for water main construction; however, during the current year, no contribution was made. Unrestricted net assets in the current year total \$3,558,000 as compared to \$2,862,000 in the prior year. In addition, all internal short-term loans made to other funds were repaid to the Water Fund during the year.

The Cable Television Fund's operating revenue increased by approximately 15 percent. This increase was primarily due to franchise fees and retransmission fees now being passed on directly to the customers as well as increases to subscriber rates for both basic cable and internet service. This resulted in a combined increase to operating income of \$988,000 in the current year, an increase of \$1,104,000 compared to the net operating loss of (\$116,000) in the prior year. In addition, all internal short-term borrowings from other funds were paid back during the current year and the deficit in unrestricted net assets, which was scheduled to be eliminated by 2015 according to the five-year deficit elimination plan filed with the Michigan Department of Treasury in May 2012, was eliminated at the end of the current year.

The operating results of the Sewage Disposal Fund indicate operating income of \$532,000 in the current year compared to operating income of \$516,000 in the prior year. Revenue and expenditures were less than anticipated due to a reduction in usage by customers. Nonetheless, these results are in line with expectations as the rate has been set to absorb anticipated costs associated with significant capital improvement projects being scheduled at the sewage treatment facility and with the local collection system.

Management's Discussion and Analysis (Continued)

The municipal golf course showed an operating loss of \$36,000 in the current year as compared to \$76,000 in the prior year. The decreased loss was entirely the result of increased operating revenue in the form of user fees as operating expenses were only \$1,300 less than the prior year. Although the golf course has showed financial improvements in its operations, there continues to be negative pressures associated with the financial results of the operations. These negative pressures are attributable to general macro-economic conditions, the proliferation of golf courses in the area, and inherent limitations surrounding the operation of a nine-hole facility. In spite of the continued operating loss, cash flow was positive for the current year.

The City-owned commercial building realized net income of \$50,000, which is a decrease of 77 percent from the previous year. This decrease can be primarily attributed to reduced rent and expense reimbursements received from tenants as the building was being transitioned to the City's new city hall. These results are positive in light of the City's desire to acquire and renovate the building at no cost to the taxpayers which it has accomplished over the past 12 years.

The City's Funds

Our analysis of the City's major funds begins on page 14, following the government-wide financial statements. The fund financial statements provide detailed information about the most significant funds, not the City as a whole. The City Council creates funds to help manage money for specific purposes as well as to show accountability for certain activities. The City's major funds for 2012 include the General Fund.

The General Fund pays for most of the City's governmental services. The most significant is public safety, which incurred expenses of approximately \$9.7 million, or 52.6 percent, of the total General Fund expenditures in the current year as compared to \$9.9 million, or 48.3 percent, of the total General Fund expenditures in the prior fiscal year. Additional noteworthy expenditures include public works (\$2.9 million, or 15.8 percent, in the current fiscal year as compared to \$3.1 million, or 15.2 percent, of total expenditures in the prior fiscal year) and recreation and culture (\$1.1 million, or 5.7 percent, of total expenditures in the current fiscal year compared to \$1.2 million, or 5.8 percent, of total expenditures in the prior fiscal year).

General Fund Budgetary Highlights

Over the course of the year, the City Council revised the City budget several times. These budget amendments fall into three categories:

- Amendments and supplemental appropriations approved shortly after the beginning of the year to include projected expenditures that were not completed at the end of the prior fiscal year
- Changes made throughout the year to account for revised estimates based on new or better knowledge and new projects that the Council desired to pursue
- Increases in appropriations to prevent budget overruns

Management's Discussion and Analysis (Continued)

Even with these adjustments, actual expenditures were less than anticipated. This is primarily attributable to a pay freeze enacted for nonunion employees, concessions by collective bargaining units, and the management of personnel costs when vacancies arose, which effectively served as a hiring freeze. Additionally, the capitalization of assets (houses) relative to the NSP2 program provided a positive financial effect to the City budget. This positive effect will be eliminated over the next year as the inventory of these federally subsidized homes is sold to buyers. Unfortunately, reductions in state-shared revenue, investment earnings, and court fines and fees served to mitigate some of the positive results from reducing expenditures. In addition, savings were also derived from the City's continued decision to self-insure prescription drug coverage for active employees, lower costs for liability and property insurance, increased contributions from employees for their health insurance premiums, continued closure of the defined benefit retirement system to new entrants, elimination of retiree healthcare coverage for new employees, and savings from the consolidation of police and fire dispatching and animal control services with neighboring communities.

The most significant changes to the adopted budget were reductions in employee wages and benefits due to the elimination of various positions within the city and the implementation of increased employee cost sharing of health insurance premiums (\$593,000). Revenue adjustments included an increase in tax revenue (\$1,025,000) due to the additional operating tax millage approved by the citizens in November 2011, increased state-shared revenue (\$357,000) from the State's Economic Incentive Vitality Program (EVIP), increased revenue from licenses and permits (\$32,000), and reduced investment earnings (\$120,000). In addition, various budget amendments related to the NSP2 program occurred during the year.

Capital Asset and Debt Administration

The City sold \$9.495 million in bonds during 2006 to renovate the Yack Arena and construct a new district court and police facility. The renovation of the Yack Arena was completed in 2007 and the district court and police facility was completed in 2009. These bonds will mature in 2026. In addition, the debt service on the bonds sold in 1999 to construct the facility that houses the Department of Public Service will be repaid in 2013.

Economic Factors and Next Year's Budgets and Rates

The City's budget for next year will continue to face a number of negative factors including stagnant state-shared revenue projections, escalating healthcare costs, depletion of reserve funds previously relied upon by the City, and reductions in property tax revenue due to declining property values. Thus, the City needs to continue to monitor the budget very closely. Although no additional local tax increase is anticipated in the future, it is likely that the delivery of services may be curtailed if additional revenue is not realized.

Contacting the City's Management

This financial report is intended to provide our citizens, taxpayers, customers, and investors with a general overview of the City's finances and to show the City's accountability for the money it receives. If you have questions about this report or need additional information, we welcome you to contact the clerk's office.

Statement of Net Assets September 30, 2012

	Primary Government								
	G	overnmental	Business-type				Component		
		Activities		Activities	es Total			Units	
			_		_				
Assets					_				
Cash and investments (Note 3)	\$	31,936,784	\$	14,257,042	\$	46,193,826	\$	3,187,093	
Receivables - Net: Taxes		2,029,420		2,967		2,032,387			
Customer		2,027,420		8,407,057		8,407,057		-	
Accrued interest		2,299		6,407,037 8		2,307		3	
Other		1,418,106		8		1,418,106		230,636	
Due from other governmental units		2,139,060		269,066		2,408,126		230,636	
Special assessments		524,964		207,000		524,964			
Due from component units (Note 9)		271,690		_		271,690		_	
Due from primary government (Note 9)		2/1,0/0		_		-		1,057,174	
Internal balances (Note 9)		357,186		(357,186)				1,037,171	
Inventory		337,100		1,831,860		1,831,860		_	
Prepaid expenses and other assets		123,612		1,920,794		2,044,406		_	
Restricted assets (Note 12)		-		9,185,742		9,185,742		_	
Investment in joint ventures (Note 7)		2,097,000		1,342,837		3,439,837		_	
Land held for resale (Note 6)		2,834,412		-		2,834,412		2,392,572	
Net pension asset (Note 13)		6,680,857		_		6,680,857		2,372,372	
Note receivable		0,000,037		_		0,000,037		400,000	
Capital assets:		-		-		-		400,000	
Assets not subject to depreciation (Note 8)		18,135,929		460,681		18,596,610		_	
Assets subject to depreciation (Note 8)		46,730,438		79,156,198		125,886,636		-	
Bond issuance costs (Note 5)		40,730,430		1,250,843		1,250,843		-	
,		1.830.342		1,230,043		1,830,342			
Advance to component unit (Note 9)	_	1,030,342	_		_	1,030,342	_		
Total assets		117,112,099		117,727,909		234,840,008		7,267,478	
Liabilities									
Accounts payable		2,730,006		2,899,183		5,629,189		716,720	
Due to other governmental units		23,278		· · ·		23,278		138,582	
Due to component units (Note 9)		1,056,674		500		1,057,174		-	
Due to primary government (Note 9)		-		-		-		271,690	
Refundable deposits, bonds, etc.		1,771,598		1,063,763		2,835,361			
Accrued liabilities and other		1,177,118		3,081,130		4,258,248		304,830	
Deferred revenue (Note 4)		12,024,039		113,577		12,137,616		1,849,101	
Noncurrent liabilities:		,,		,		,,		.,,	
Due within one year:									
Payable from restricted assets		_		5,162,302		5,162,302		-	
Compensated absences		666,195		-		666,195		-	
General liability and workers' compensation claims		600,218		_		600,218		-	
Current portion of long-term debt (Note 10)		1,100,000		6,069,816		7,169,816		283,369	
Due in more than one year:		, ,		, ,		, ,		,	
Compensated absences		795,068		_		795,068		-	
Advances from primary government (Note 9)		´-		-		-		1,830,342	
Net OPEB obligation (Note 16)		8,725,686		3,287,621		12,013,307		-	
Long-term debt (Note 10)		7,200,000		39,253,805		46,453,805		645,930	
Long term dest (Note 10)			_	<u> </u>	_		_	,	
Total liabilities		37,869,880	_	60,931,697	_	98,801,577	_	6,040,564	
Net Assets									
Invested in capital assets - Net of related debt		56,566,367		31,209,101		87,775,468		_	
Restricted for:									
Major and local street projects		970,996		-		970,996		-	
Police		94,826		_		94,826		_	
Debt service		´-		8,018,938		8,018,938		_	
Grants		6,148,300		· · ·		6,148,300		13,000	
Sidewalk and alley paving		1,861,059		_		1,861,059		-	
Rubbish		1,381,974		_		1,381,974		_	
Retiree health care		-		339,502		339,502		-	
Drains		1,742,762		-		1,742,762		_	
Unrestricted		10,475,935		17,228,671		27,704,606		1,213,914	
Tables	<u> </u>	79,242,219	<u> </u>	56,796,212	\$	136,038,431	<u> </u>	1,226,914	
Total net assets	<u> </u>	,,,	Ť	,,	Ť		Ť	.,,	

			Program Revenues					
					Ор	erating Grants	C	apital Grants
			Charges for			and		and
	Expenses		_	Services		Contributions	С	ontributions
Functions/Programs								
Primary government:								
Governmental activities:								
General government	\$	8,512,870	\$	3,233,243	\$	19,000	\$	-
Public safety		11,249,699		2,140,786		60,426		-
Public works		6,618,265		478,182		1,514,396		607,998
Community and economic development		1,383,156		-		482,306		2,636,953
Recreation and culture		1,550,441		666,894		16,900		-
Interest on long-term debt	_	360,487	_		_		_	
Total governmental activities		29,674,918		6,519,105		2,093,028		3,244,951
Business-type activities:								
Electric		40,415,172		41,977,196		2,283,811		-
Water		3,384,747		3,689,086		-		-
Cable television		8,571,728		9,548,645		-		-
Sewage disposal		3,423,203		3,674,879		-		-
Golf course		374,162		337,719		-		-
Building rental	_	540,698	_	590,744	_			
Total business-type activities		56,709,710		59,818,269		2,283,811		
Total primary government	\$	86,384,628	<u>\$</u>	66,337,374	\$	4,376,839	\$	3,244,951
Component units:								
Tax Increment Finance Authorities -								
Consolidated	\$	2,374,081	\$	_	\$	_	\$	20,000
Tax Increment Finance Authorities -	·		·		·		·	,
Downtown		594,466		_		_		_
Downtown Development Authority		, <u>-</u>		_		_		_
Brownfield Redevelopment Authority		210,486		_		_		_
Downriver Central Dispatch		730,567	_	730,567				-
Total component units	\$	3,909,600	\$	730,567	\$	-	\$	20,000

General revenue:

Property taxes

State-shared revenue

Investment income

Other miscellaneous income

Total general revenue

Change in Net Assets

Net Assets - As restated - Beginning of year (Note 19)

Net Assets - End of year

Statement of Activities Year Ended September 30, 2012

	Expense) Revenue a		Assets
	Primary Governmen	<u>t </u>	
Governmental Activities	Business-type Activities	Total	Component Units
\$ (5,260,627) (9,048,487) (4,017,689) 1,736,103 (866,647) (360,487) (17,817,834)	\$ - - - - - - -	\$ (5,260,627) (9,048,487) (4,017,689) 1,736,103 (866,647) (360,487) (17,817,834)	\$
- - - - - -	3,845,835 304,339 976,917 251,676 (36,443) 50,046	3,845,835 304,339 976,917 251,676 (36,443) 50,046 5,392,370	- - - - - -
(17,817,834)	5,392,370	(12,425,464)	-
-	-	-	(2,354,081)
- - - -	- - - -	- - -	(594,466) - (210,486) -
-	-	-	(3,159,033)
12,507,766 2,634,797 152,100 607,691	882 - 1,280,438 -	12,508,648 2,634,797 1,432,538 607,691	3,230,533 - 5,198 194,824
15,902,354	1,281,320	17,183,674	3,430,555
(1,915,480)	6,673,690	4,758,210	271,522

81,157,699 50,122,522 131,280,221

\$ 79,242,219 **\$** 56,796,212 **\$** 136,038,431 **\$** 1,226,914

955,392

Governmental Funds Balance Sheet September 30, 2012

Assets General Fund Cash and investments (Note 3) \$ 19,345,107 \$ Receivables - Net: Taxes 1,535,529 \$ Special assessments - - Accrued interest 2,279 Other 1,210,228	Nonmajor Funds 10,347,924 493,891	Total \$ 29,693,031
Assets Cash and investments (Note 3) \$ 19,345,107 \$ Receivables - Net: Taxes \$ 1,535,529 \$ Special assessments \$ - Accrued interest \$ 2,279	10,347,924	
Cash and investments (Note 3) \$ 19,345,107 \$ Receivables - Net: Taxes \$ 1,535,529 \$ Special assessments - Accrued interest \$ 2,279		\$ 29,693,031
Receivables - Net: Taxes I,535,529 Special assessments - Accrued interest 2,279		\$ 29,693,031
Receivables - Net: Taxes I,535,529 Special assessments - Accrued interest 2,279		Ψ 27,075,051
Taxes 1,535,529 Special assessments - Accrued interest 2,279	493.891	
Special assessments - Accrued interest 2,279		2,029,420
Accrued interest 2,279	524,964	524,964
,	14	2,293
.,,	207,878	1,418,106
Due from other governmental units 1,661,319	477,741	2,139,060
Due from component units (Note 9) 253,985	17,705	271,690
Due from other funds (Note 9) 147,284	2,348,005	2,495,289
Prepaid expenses and other assets 123,612	_,,	123,612
Land held for resale (Note 6) 2,680,680	153,732	2,834,412
Advance to component unit (Note 9)	1,193,405	1,193,405
	.,,	
Total assets <u>\$ 26,960,023</u> <u>\$</u>	15,765,259	\$ 42,725,282
Liabilities and Fund Balances		
Liabilities		
	858,899	\$ 2.706.268
1 /	030,077	\$ 2,706,268 23,278
•	- 1,055,924	1,056,674
Due to component units (Note 9) 750 Due to other funds (Note 9) 6,267,972	850,182	7,118,154
,	435,845	435,845
Advances from other funds (Note 9) - Refundable deposits, bonds, etc. 1,771,578	20	1,771,598
Accrued liabilities and other 1,035,847	20	1,035,847
10 747 205	3,358,753	14,106,078
Deferred revenue (Note 4) 10,747,325	3,330,733	14,100,076
Total liabilities 21,694,119	6,559,623	28,253,742
Fund Balances		
Nonspendable:		
Real estate held for resale -	44,237	44,237
Prepaids 123,612	-	123,612
Long-term receivable -	1,193,405	1,193,405
Restricted:		
Major and local street projects -	850,997	850,997
Police -	94,826	94,826
Grants 3,054,998	1,428,824	4,483,822
Rubbish -	1,381,974	1,381,974
Sidewalk and alley paving -	1,327,118	1,327,118
Drains -	1,742,762	1,742,762
Committed - Special events -	116,851	116,851
Assigned:	_	
Debt service -	35,823	35,823
Capital projects -	988,819	988,819
Unassigned 2,087,294		2,087,294
Total fund balances 5,265,904	9,205,636	14,471,540
Total liabilities and fund balances \$ 26,960,023 \$	15,765,259	\$ 42,725,282

Governmental Funds Reconciliation of the Balance Sheet to the Statement of Net Assets September 30, 2012

Fund Balance Reported in Governmental Funds	\$	14,471,540
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net assets are different because:		
Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and are not reported in the funds		64,866,367
Net pension asset is not a financial resource and is not reported in the fund		6,680,857
Investments in joint ventures are not financial resources and are not reported in the funds		2,097,000
Special assessment receivables are expected to be collected over several years and are not available to pay for current year expenditures		534,749
Grants and other receivables that are collected after year end, such that they are not available to pay bills outstanding as of year end, are not recognized in the funds		1,547,290
Bonds payable and capital lease obligations are not due and payable in the current period and are not reported in the funds		(8,300,000)
Accrued interest is not due and payable in the current period and is not reported in the funds		(141,271)
Employee compensated absences are payable over a long period of years and do not represent a claim on current financial resources; therefore, they are not reported as fund liabilities		(1,461,263)
Net OPEB obligation does not present a claim on current financial resources and is not reported as a fund liability		(8,725,686)
Internal Service Funds are included as part of governmental activities		7,672,636
Net Assets of Governmental Activities	<u>\$</u>	79,242,219

Governmental Funds Statement of Revenue, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances Year Ended September 30, 2012

	Nonmajor				
	General Fund	Funds	Total		
Revenue					
Property taxes	\$ 9,830,769	\$ 2,701,087	\$ 12,531,856		
Licenses and permits	435,507	-	435,507		
Federal grants	3,414,317	207,692	3,622,009		
State-shared revenue and grants	2,683,797	1,686,462	4,370,259		
Other charges for services	4,035,783	320,565	4,356,348		
Fines and forfeitures	1,233,237	-	1,233,237		
Investment income	26,714	83,175	109,889		
Contribution from component unit	-	272,587	272,587		
Other revenue:					
Special assessments	-	253,716	253,716		
Other miscellaneous income	516,401	392,570	908,971		
Total revenue	22,176,525	5,917,854	28,094,379		
Expenditures					
Current:	2 454 177	/20.022	4.007.110		
General government	3,456,177	639,933	4,096,110		
Public safety	9,733,567	128,020	9,861,587		
Public works	2,917,541	4,488,215	7,405,756		
Community and economic development	1,341,698	41,458	1,383,156		
Recreation and culture	1,062,115	233,394	1,295,509		
Debt service		1,326,412	1,326,412		
Total expenditures	18,511,098	6,857,432	25,368,530		
Excess of Revenue Over (Under) Expenditures	3,665,427	(939,578)	2,725,849		
Other Financing Sources (Uses)					
Transfers in	-	1,628,642	1,628,642		
Transfers out	(1,352,221)	(276,421)	(1,628,642)		
Net Change in Fund Balances	2,313,206	412,643	2,725,849		
Fund Balances - Beginning of year	2,952,698	8,792,993	11,745,691		
Fund Balances - End of year	\$ 5,265,904	\$ 9,205,636	\$ 14,471,540		

Governmental Funds Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenue, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities Year Ended September 30, 2012

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because: Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures; however, in the statement of activities, these costs are allocated over their estimated useful lives as depreciation: Capital outlay Depreciation expense Revenues are recorded in the statement of activities when earned; they are not reported in the funds until collected or collectible within 60 days of year end Decrease in the net pension asset reported in the statement of activities does not require the use of current resources and is not reported in the fund statements Decreases in investments in joint ventures are not decreases to current financial resources and are not reported in the funds Repayment of bond principal is an expenditure in the governmental funds, but not in the statement of activities (where it reduces long-term debt) Change in accrued interest payable and other Decrease in accumulated employee sick and vacation pay and other similar expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current resources, and therefore are not reported in the fund statements until they come due for payment Increase in net OPEB obligation expense reported in the statement of activities does not require the use of current resources, and therefore is not reported in the fund statements until it comes due for payment Interest Source Funds are included as part of governmental activities (69.132)	Net Change in Fund Balances - Total Governmental Funds	\$ 2,725,849
the statement of activities, these costs are allocated over their estimated useful lives as depreciation: Capital outlay Depreciation expense Revenues are recorded in the statement of activities when earned; they are not reported in the funds until collected or collectible within 60 days of year end Decrease in the net pension asset reported in the statement of activities does not require the use of current resources and is not reported in the fund statements Decreases in investments in joint ventures are not decreases to current financial resources and are not reported in the funds Repayment of bond principal is an expenditure in the governmental funds, but not in the statement of activities (where it reduces long-term debt) Change in accrued interest payable and other Decrease in accumulated employee sick and vacation pay and other similar expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current resources, and therefore are not reported in the fund statements until they come due for payment Increase in net OPEB obligation expense reported in the statement of activities does not require the use of current resources, and therefore is not reported in the fund statements until it comes due for payment (I,941,706)		
Revenues are recorded in the statement of activities when earned; they are not reported in the funds until collected or collectible within 60 days of year end (377,451) Decrease in the net pension asset reported in the statement of activities does not require the use of current resources and is not reported in the fund statements (387,421) Decreases in investments in joint ventures are not decreases to current financial resources and are not reported in the funds (303,000) Repayment of bond principal is an expenditure in the governmental funds, but not in the statement of activities (where it reduces long-term debt) 950,000 Change in accrued interest payable and other 15,313 Decrease in accumulated employee sick and vacation pay and other similar expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current resources, and therefore are not reported in the fund statements until they come due for payment 148,347 Increase in net OPEB obligation expense reported in the statement of activities does not require the use of current resources, and therefore is not reported in the fund statements until it comes due for payment (1,941,706)	the statement of activities, these costs are allocated over their	
Revenues are recorded in the statement of activities when earned; they are not reported in the funds until collected or collectible within 60 days of year end (377,451) Decrease in the net pension asset reported in the statement of activities does not require the use of current resources and is not reported in the fund statements (387,421) Decreases in investments in joint ventures are not decreases to current financial resources and are not reported in the funds (303,000) Repayment of bond principal is an expenditure in the governmental funds, but not in the statement of activities (where it reduces long-term debt) 950,000 Change in accrued interest payable and other 15,313 Decrease in accumulated employee sick and vacation pay and other similar expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current resources, and therefore are not reported in the fund statements until they come due for payment 148,347 Increase in net OPEB obligation expense reported in the statement of activities does not require the use of current resources, and therefore is not reported in the fund statements until it comes due for payment (1,941,706)	•	
are not reported in the funds until collected or collectible within 60 days of year end (377,451) Decrease in the net pension asset reported in the statement of activities does not require the use of current resources and is not reported in the fund statements (387,421) Decreases in investments in joint ventures are not decreases to current financial resources and are not reported in the funds (303,000) Repayment of bond principal is an expenditure in the governmental funds, but not in the statement of activities (where it reduces long-term debt) 950,000 Change in accrued interest payable and other 15,313 Decrease in accumulated employee sick and vacation pay and other similar expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current resources, and therefore are not reported in the fund statements until they come due for payment 148,347 Increase in net OPEB obligation expense reported in the statement of activities does not require the use of current resources, and therefore is not reported in the fund statements until it comes due for payment (1,941,706)	Depreciation expense	(4,893,784)
Decrease in the net pension asset reported in the statement of activities does not require the use of current resources and is not reported in the fund statements (387,421) Decreases in investments in joint ventures are not decreases to current financial resources and are not reported in the funds (303,000) Repayment of bond principal is an expenditure in the governmental funds, but not in the statement of activities (where it reduces long-term debt) 950,000 Change in accrued interest payable and other 15,313 Decrease in accumulated employee sick and vacation pay and other similar expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current resources, and therefore are not reported in the fund statements until they come due for payment 148,347 Increase in net OPEB obligation expense reported in the statement of activities does not require the use of current resources, and therefore is not reported in the fund statements until it comes due for payment (1,941,706)	are not reported in the funds until collected or collectible within 60	(377 45 1)
does not require the use of current resources and is not reported in the fund statements (387,421) Decreases in investments in joint ventures are not decreases to current financial resources and are not reported in the funds (303,000) Repayment of bond principal is an expenditure in the governmental funds, but not in the statement of activities (where it reduces long-term debt) Change in accrued interest payable and other 15,313 Decrease in accumulated employee sick and vacation pay and other similar expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current resources, and therefore are not reported in the fund statements until they come due for payment 148,347 Increase in net OPEB obligation expense reported in the statement of activities does not require the use of current resources, and therefore is not reported in the fund statements until it comes due for payment (1,941,706)	•	(377, 131)
financial resources and are not reported in the funds Repayment of bond principal is an expenditure in the governmental funds, but not in the statement of activities (where it reduces long-term debt) Change in accrued interest payable and other Decrease in accumulated employee sick and vacation pay and other similar expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current resources, and therefore are not reported in the fund statements until they come due for payment Increase in net OPEB obligation expense reported in the statement of activities does not require the use of current resources, and therefore is not reported in the fund statements until it comes due for payment (1,941,706)	does not require the use of current resources and is not reported in	(387,421)
but not in the statement of activities (where it reduces long-term debt) Change in accrued interest payable and other Decrease in accumulated employee sick and vacation pay and other similar expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current resources, and therefore are not reported in the fund statements until they come due for payment Increase in net OPEB obligation expense reported in the statement of activities does not require the use of current resources, and therefore is not reported in the fund statements until it comes due for payment (1,941,706)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(303,000)
Decrease in accumulated employee sick and vacation pay and other similar expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current resources, and therefore are not reported in the fund statements until they come due for payment 148,347 Increase in net OPEB obligation expense reported in the statement of activities does not require the use of current resources, and therefore is not reported in the fund statements until it comes due for payment (1,941,706)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	950,000
similar expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current resources, and therefore are not reported in the fund statements until they come due for payment Increase in net OPEB obligation expense reported in the statement of activities does not require the use of current resources, and therefore is not reported in the fund statements until it comes due for payment (1,941,706)	Change in accrued interest payable and other	15,313
activities does not require the use of current resources, and therefore is not reported in the fund statements until it comes due for payment (1,941,706)	similar expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current resources, and therefore are not reported in the	148,347
Internal Service Funds are included as part of governmental activities (69 132)	activities does not require the use of current resources, and therefore	(1,941,706)
internal service runds are included as part of governmental activities	Internal Service Funds are included as part of governmental activities	(69,132)
Change in Net Assets of Governmental Activities \$ (1,915,480)	Change in Net Assets of Governmental Activities	\$ (1,915,480)

	Enterprise Funds							
		Electric	_	Water		Cable Television		wage Disposal
Assets								
Current assets:								
Cash and investments	\$	5,393,454	\$	3,956,119	\$	552,098	\$	4,295,171
Receivables - Net	•	6,383,350	_	531,550	Ψ.	1,047,591	Ψ.	716,607
Due from other funds (Note 9)		-		-		-		36,113
Inventory		1,616,586		114,861		100,413		
Prepaid expenses and other assets	_	99,095		18,523		27,274	_	1,509,708
Total current assets		13,492,485		4,621,053		1,727,376		6,557,599
Noncurrent assets:								
Restricted assets (Note 12)		8,846,240		169,751		169,751		
Advances to other funds		0,040,240		107,731		107,731		-
Investment in joint ventures (Note 7)		_		_		_		1,342,837
Capital assets:								1,3 12,037
Assets not subject to depreciation (Note 8)		315,681		_		_		_
Assets subject to depreciation (Note 8)		44,914,096		7,900,597		3,195,911		18,240,029
Bond issuance costs (Note 5)		1,250,843		-		-		-
Advance to component unit (Note 9)	_			-		-	_	-
Total noncurrent assets		55,326,860		8,070,348		3,365,662		19,582,866
Total assets		68,819,345		12,691,401		5,093,038		26,140,465
Liabilities								
Current liabilities:		1.0/0.170		124 402		EQ1 E22		200 010
Accounts payable		1,969,170		124,493		501,522		299,818
Due to component units		155 407		- 24 112		-		-
Due to other funds (Note 9)		155,697 1,044,588		36,113		- 19,175		-
Refundable deposits, bonds, etc. Accrued liabilities and other		2,341,092		288,067		394,333		-
		2,341,072		200,007		73,976		2 947
Deferred revenue (Note 4)		-		-		73,776		2,967
General liability and workers' compensation claims Current portion of long-term debt (Note 10)		5,142,406		-		- 141,329		786,081
Current portion of long-term debt (Note 10)	_	3,172,700	_		_	171,327	_	766,061
Total current liabilities		10,652,953		448,673		1,130,335		1,088,866
Noncurrent liabilities:								
Advances from other funds (Note 9)		-		-		-		208,090
Debt service payable from restricted assets		5,162,302		-		-		-
Net OPEB obligation (Note 16)		2,282,859		613,904		390,858		-
Long-term debt - Net of current portion and amount payable from restricted assets (Note 10)		29,155,869		-		72,897		10,025,039
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		36,601,030		613,904		463,755	_	10,233,129
Total noncurrent liabilities	_	30,001,030	_	013,704	_	103,733	_	10,233,127
Total liabilities	_	47,253,983	_	1,062,577	_	1,594,090	_	11,321,995
Net Assets (Deficit)								
Invested in capital assets - Net of related debt		7,847,345		7,900,597		2,981,685		7,428,909
Restricted (Note 12)		8,018,938		169,751		169,751		-,0,,,,,
Unrestricted		5,699,079		3,558,476		347,512		7,389,561
	<u> </u>	21,565,362	<u> </u>	11,628,824	<u> </u>	3,498,948	<u> </u>	14,818,470
Total net assets	Ť	,	<u> </u>	,	<u> </u>		Ť	

Proprietary Funds Statement of Net Assets September 30, 2012

	Enterpr	Governmental Activities						
	Nonmajor	To	tal Enterprise					
	Enterprise		Funds	Internal Service				
\$	60,200	\$	14,257,042 8,679,098	\$	2,243,753 6			
	6,601		42,714		4,771,961			
	-		1,831,860		-			
	266,194	_	1,920,794		-			
	332,995		26,731,508		7,015,720			
	-		9,185,742		-			
	-		1,342,837		643,935			
	145,000		460,681		-			
	4,905,565		79,156,198		-			
	-		1,250,843		-			
	-	_	-		636,937			
_	5,050,565		91,396,301	_	1,280,872			
	5,383,560		118,127,809		8,296,592			
	4,180		2,899,183		23,738			
	500		500		,			
	-		191,810		-			
	-		1,063,763		-			
	57,638		3,081,130		-			
	36,634		113,577		-			
	-		6,069,816		600,218			
	98,952		13,419,779		623,956			
	_		208,090		_			
	_		5,162,302		_			
	-		3,287,621		-			
			39,253,805		-			
			47,911,818		-			
	98,952	_	61,331,597	_	623,956			
	5,050,565		31,209,101		_			
	- 234,043		8,358,440 17,228,671		- 7,672,636			
	5,284,608	-	56,796,212	-	7,672,636			

		Enterpi	rise Funds	
		NA / .	Cable	Sewage
	Electric	Water	Television	Disposal
Operating Revenue				
Sale of water	\$ -	\$ 3,689,086	\$ -	\$ -
Sewage disposal charges	-	-	-	3,510,988
Sale of electricity	41,977,196	-	-	-
Other sales to customers	-	-	9,548,645	-
Other miscellaneous revenue				163,891
Total operating revenue	41,977,196	3,689,086	9,548,645	3,674,879
Operating Expenses				
Cost of water	-	847,504	-	-
Cost of sewage treatment	-	-	-	1,704,760
Cost of electrical production	23,577,692	-	-	-
Cost of insurance claims	-	-	-	-
Transportation	87,456	27,462	41,340	-
Other operation and maintenance costs	5,597,195	963,049	1,427,153	868,859
Billing and administrative costs	1,181,332	421,658	527,195	-
Distribution	1,533,245	515,570	764,167	-
Cable television royalties	-	-	5,080,079	-
, Depreciation	4,620,558	609,504	720,547	569,061
Total operating expenses	36,597,478	3,384,747	8,560,481	3,142,680
Operating Income (Loss)	5,379,718	304,339	988,164	532,199
Nonoperating Revenue (Expenses)				
Property tax revenue	-	-	-	882
Investment and other income	491,920	166,667	616,309	5,539
Grant expenses	(1,815,802)	-	-	-
Interest expense	(1,746,184)	-	(11,247)	(280,523)
Amortization of bond issuance costs	(255,708)	-	-	-
Contribution revenue	186,142	-	-	-
Grant revenue	2,097,669		-	
Total nonoperating (expenses)				
revenue	(1,041,963)	166,667	605,062	(274,102)
Change in Net Assets	4,337,755	471,006	1,593,226	258,097
Net Assets - Beginning of year	17,227,607	11,157,818	1,905,722	14,560,373
Net Assets - End of year	\$ 21,565,362	\$ 11,628,824	\$ 3,498,948	\$ 14,818,470

Proprietary Funds Statement of Revenue, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets Year Ended September 30, 2012

Enterp			
Nonmajor			
Enterprise		Total	Internal Service
	-		
\$ -	\$	3,689,086	\$ -
-		3,510,988	-
-		41,977,196	-
775,237		10,323,882	-
153,226	_	317,117	
928,463		59,818,269	-
-		847,504	-
-		1,704,760	-
-		23,577,692	-
-		-	111,642
-		156,258	-
713,832		9,570,088	-
-		2,130,185	-
-		2,812,982	-
-		5,080,079	-
201,028		6,720,698	
914,860		52,600,246	111,642
13,603		7,218,023	(111,642)
		001	
- 3		1 290 429	- 42,510
3		1,280,438 (1,815,802)	42,310
-		(2,037,954)	-
-		(255,708)	-
-		186,142	-
_		2,097,669	-
	-	2,077,007	
3	_	(544,333)	42,510
13,606		6,673,690	(69,132)
5,271,002		50,122,522	7,741,768
\$ 5,284,608	\$	56,796,212	\$ 7,672,636

	Enterprise Funds							
		Electric		Water	Ca	able Television	Sev	wage Disposal
Cash Flows from Operating Activities								
Receipts from customers Receipts from (payments on) interfund services and reimbursements	\$	42,731,650	\$	2,681,544	\$	9,294,391	\$	3,793,499 634,522
Payments to suppliers		(24,232,981)		(1,740,133)		(6,858,742)		(2,847,042)
Payments to employees Claims paid		(4,319,827)	_	(897,503)	_	(969,603)		-
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities		14,178,842		43,908		1,466,046		1,580,979
Cash Flows from Noncapital Financing Activities								
Transfers (to) from other funds		(1,494,533)		3,555,843		(2,061,310)		
Repayments of loans from other funds	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	(61,557)
Net cash (used in) provided by noncapital financing activities		(1,494,533)		3,555,843		(2,061,310)		(61,557)
Cash Flows from Capital and Related Financing Activities								
Issuance of bonds		201,798		-		-		-
Grant revenue		2,115,718		-		-		-
Grant expenses		(1,815,802)		-		-		882
Property taxes (restricted for capital or capital debt) Purchase of capital assets - Net of reimbursements		(2,388,150)		(383,562)		(274,264)		(193,558)
Principal and interest paid on capital debt		(6,470,356)		(303,302)		(153,063)		(1,045,236)
City contribution		-		-		200,000		-
Repayment of advances from other funds		-		-		-		-
Net cash used in capital and related financing activities		(8,356,792)		(383,562)		(227,327)		(1,237,912)
Cash Flows from Investing Activities - Interest received on investments		660,354		166,667		416,309		5,531
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents		4,987,871	_	3,382,856	_	(406,282)		287,041
Cash and Cash Equivalents - Beginning of year		9,251,823		743,014		1,128,131		4,008,130
Cash and Cash Equivalents - End of year	\$	14,239,694	\$	4,125,870	\$	721,849	\$	4,295,171
			_		_			
Balance Sheet Classification of Cash and Cash Equivalents Cash and investments	\$	5,393,454	\$	3,956,119	\$	552,098	\$	4,295,171
Restricted cash	φ	8,846,240	φ	169,751	φ	169,751	Ф	T,273,171 -
	_	14,239,694	-	4,125,870	<u> </u>	721,849	<u> </u>	4,295,171
Total cash and cash equivalents	<u> </u>	17,237,077	=	7,123,070	=	721,047	=	7,273,171
Reconciliation of Operating Income (Loss) to Net Cash from Operating Activities								
Operating income (loss)	\$	5,379,718	\$	304,339	\$	988,164	\$	532,199
Adjustments to reconcile operating income (loss) to net cash from								
operating activities:		4 (20 550		(00 F04		720 547		F(0.0()
Depreciation and amortization Bad debt expense		4,620,558 99,996		609,504		720,547 60,000		569,061
Changes in assets and liabilities:		77,770				00,000		
Receivables		654,458		(1,007,542)		(314,254)		118,620
Due from others		-		- 1		- 1		634,522
Inventories		2,091,943		(13,053)		24,161		-
Prepaid and other assets		(59,715)		(20,017)		(16,113)		30,896
Accounts payable		(554,998)		47,512		39,710		(16,420)
Due to others		(48)		(25,419)		-		-
Estimated claims liability		-		-		- 21 (92		-
Accrued and other liabilities Deferred revenue		1,475,251		148,584		21,692 (12,336)		(287,899)
Customer deposits and other current liabilities		471,679	_			(12,336) (45,525)		(207,677)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$	14,178,842	<u>\$</u>	43,908	\$	1,466,046	\$	1,580,979

Noncash Capital and Related Financing Activities - During the year ended September 30, 2012, the Sewage Disposal Fund recorded \$1,540,170 of asset additions and long-term debt related to its portion of long-term debt issued by the Downriver Sewage Disposal System.

Proprietary Funds Statement of Cash Flows Year Ended September 30, 2012

	Enterpri	ise Fı	unds	G	overnmental Activities
				_	
	Nonmajor -			Int	ernal Service
	nterprise	_	Total	_	Fund
\$	902,836	\$	59,403,920	\$	-
	(6,580)		627,942		-
	(695,005) (110,024)		(36,373,903) (6,296,957)		-
	(110,024)		(0,270,737)		(151,433)
_		_		_	
	91,227		17,361,002		(151,433)
	-		-		(738,992)
_	-	_	(61,557)	_	
	-		(61,557)		(738,992)
			201,798		
	-		2,115,718		-
	_		(1,815,802)		-
	-		882		-
	(18,093)		(3,257,627)		-
	-		(7,668,655) 200,000		-
	(20,000)		(20,000)		<u> </u>
	(38,093)		(10,243,686)		
	3		1,248,864		42,504
	53,137		8,304,623		(847,921)
	7,063		15,138,161		3,091,674
\$	60,200	\$	23,442,784	\$	2,243,753
		_		_	
\$	60,200	\$	14,257,042 9,185,742	\$	2,243,753
_		_		_	2 242 752
<u>\$</u>	60,200	<u>\$</u>	23,442,784	<u>\$</u>	2,243,753
		_			
\$	13,603	\$	7,218,023	\$	(111,642)
	201,028		6,720,698		-
	-		159,996		-
	(25,627)		(574,345)		-
	(6,580)		627,942		-
	- (102 714)		2,103,051		-
	(102,714) 11,517		(167,663) (472,679)		- 11,819
			(25,467)		-
	-		- '		(51,610)
	-		1,645,527		-
	-		(300,235) 426,154		-
	91,227	-	17,361,002	-	(151,433)
_		_	,,	<u>-</u>	(111,111)

Fiduciary Funds Statement of Fiduciary Net Assets September 30, 2012

	F	Pension and		
		Other		
		Employee		
	Benefits Trust		Αg	gency Fund
				, ,
Assets				
Cash and cash equivalents (Note 3)	\$	10,785,504	\$	386,525
Investments (Note 3):				
Collateralized mortgage obligations		146,505		-
U.S. government securities		6,777,788		-
U.S. government agency obligations		7,494,766		-
Common trust funds/mutual funds		4,867,259		-
Stocks		9,830,371		-
Foreign stock ADR		6,382,744		-
Foreign government obligations		10,834,376		-
Bonds		9,819,309		-
Foreign corporate bonds		814,251		-
Receivables - Net - Accrued interest	_	504,461		
Total assets		68,257,334	\$	386,525
Liabilities				
Accounts payable		12,500	\$	-
Due to other governmental units		-		5,608
Due to primary government		1,488,039		-
Refundable deposits, bonds, etc.		-		380,917
Accrued liabilities and other		80,039		
Total liabilities		1,580,578	<u>\$</u>	386,525
Net Assets Held in Trust for Pension and Other Employee Benefits	<u>\$</u>	66,676,756		

Fiduciary Funds Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Assets - Pension and Other Employee Benefits Trust Year Ended September 30, 2012

Additions Investment income:	E	ension and Other Employee nefits Trust
Interest and dividends	\$	2,168,467
Net increase in fair value of investments		4,442,565
Investment-related expenses	_	(574,896)
Net investment income		6,036,136
Contributions:		
Employer		5,825,453
Employee		72,448
Total contributions		5,897,901
Total additions		11,934,037
Deductions		
Benefit payments		5,744,638
Health benefits		3,466,195
Total deductions		9,210,833
Net Increase in Net Assets Held in Trust		2,723,204
Net Assets Held in Trust for Pension and Other Employee Benefits - Beginning of year		63,953,552
Net Assets Held in Trust for Pension and Other Employee Benefits - End of year	<u>\$</u> (66,676,756

Component Units Statement of Net Assets (Deficit) September 30, 2012

	Tax Increment Finance Authorities - Consolidated	Tax Increment Finance Authorities - Downtown	Downtown Development Authority	Brownfield Redevelopment Authority	Downriver Central Dispatch	Total
Assets						
Cash and investments (Note 3) Receivables - Net Due from primary government	\$ 2,102,515 48,652	\$ 849,834 26,013	\$ 1,583 -	\$ 233,161 10,894	\$ - 145,080	\$ 3,187,093 230,639
(Note 9) Internal balances (Note 9)	1,051,614 79,289	5,560 -	-	- (79,289)	-	1,057,174 -
Land held for resale (Note 6) Note receivable	1,997,772 	394,800 400,000				2,392,572 400,000
Total assets	5,279,842	1,676,207	1,583	164,766	145,080	7,267,478
Liabilities						
Accounts payable	636,097	56,454	-	24,169	-	716,720
Due to other governmental units	-	-	-	138,582	-	138,582
Due to primary government (Note 9)		1,588		125,022	145.080	271,690
Accrued liabilities and other	257.212	20.354	-	27,264	145,060	304,830
Deferred revenue (Note 4)	1,483,799	365,302	_	27,204	-	1,849,101
Due within one year - Current portion of long-term debt	1,103,777	303,302				1,512,101
(Note 10)	132,836	150,533	-	-	-	283,369
Due in more than one year: Advance from primary						
government (Note 9)	-	400,000	-	1,430,342	-	1,830,342
Long-term debt (Note 10)	448,930	197,000				645,930
Total liabilities	2,958,874	1,191,231		1,745,379	145,080	6,040,564
Net Assets (Deficit) Restricted - NSP grant land held						
for resale	13,000	-	-	-	-	13,000
Unrestricted	2,307,968	484,976	1,583	(1,580,613)		1,213,914
Total net assets (deficit)	\$ 2,320,968	\$ 484,976	\$ 1,583	\$ (1,580,613)	<u> - </u>	\$ 1,226,914

							R	et (Expense) Revenue and nanges in Net
			_	Program	Reve	nue	_	Assets
	_	Expenses	_	Charges for Services		Capital Grants and Contributions		ax Increment Finance Authorities - Consolidated
Functions/Programs								
Tax Increment Finance Authorities - Consolidated governmental activities: Community and economic development Debt service	\$	2,214,975 159,106	\$	- -	\$	20,000	\$	(2,194,975) (159,106)
Total Tax Increment Finance Authorities - Consolidated governmental activities		2,374,081		-		20,000		(2,354,081)
Tax Increment Finance Authorities - Downtown governmental activities: Community and economic development Debt service		581,493 12,973		- -		- -		<u>-</u>
Total Tax Increment Finance Authorities - Downtown governmental activities		594,466		-		-		-
Downtown Development Authority		-		-		-		-
Brownfield Redevelopment Authority - Governmental activities: Community and economic development		96,804		-		-		-
Debt service	_	113,682	_	-			_	
Total Brownfield Redevelopment Authority - Governmental activities		210,486		-		-		-
Downriver Central Dispatch - Governmental activities - Public safety	_	730,567	_	730,567			_	
Total component units	<u>\$</u>	3,909,600	<u>\$</u>	730,567	\$	20,000		(2,354,081)
	Ge	eneral revenue Property tax Investment i Other misce	ces inco	ome neous income				2,596,146 4,306 53,101
		To	otal	general revenu	ıe			2,653,553
	Cł	nange in Net		_				299,472
	Ne	et Assets (De year (Note		it) - As restate	d - Be	ginning of		2,021,496
	Ne	et Assets (De	efic	it) - End of yea	ar		<u>\$</u>	2,320,968

Component Units Statement of Activities Year Ended September 30, 2012

		Net (E	kpense) F	Reve	enue and Chang	ges in N	let Assets		
Tax Increment Finance Authorities - Downtown		Downtown Development Authority		Re	Brownfield Redevelopment Authority		Downriver Central Dispatch		Total
\$	<u>-</u>	\$	- -	\$	-	\$	- -	\$	(2,194,975) (159,106)
	-		-		-		-		(2,354,081)
	(581,493) (12,973)		- -		<u>-</u>		- -	_	(581,493) (12,973)
	(594,466)		-		-		-		(594,466) -
	- -		- -	_	(96,804) (113,682)		<u>-</u>	_	(96,804) (113,682)
	-		-		(210,486)		-		(210,486)
	(594,466)		-	_	(210,486)		-	_	(3,159,033)
	510,738 890 11,360		12 2 -		123,637 - 130,363		- - -		3,230,533 5,198 194,824
	522,988		14		254,000		_		3,430,555
	(71,478)		14	_	43,514		-	_	271,522
_	556,454		1,569	_	(1,624,127)			_	955,392
\$	484,976	\$	1,583	\$	(1,580,613)	\$	-	\$	1,226,914

Notes to Financial Statements September 30, 2012

Note I - Nature of Business and Significant Accounting Policies

The accounting policies of the City of Wyandotte, Michigan (the "City") conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) as applicable to governmental units. The following is a summary of the significant accounting policies used by the City of Wyandotte, Michigan:

Reporting Entity

The City of Wyandotte, Michigan is governed by an elected six-member council and the mayor. The accompanying financial statements present the City and its component units, entities for which the City is considered to be financially accountable. Although blended component units are legally separate entities, in substance, they are part of the City's operations. Each discretely presented component unit is reported in a separate column in the government-wide financial statements to emphasize that it is legally separate from the City (see discussion below for description).

Blended Component Units

The Wyandotte Building Authority is governed by a three-member board that is appointed by the mayor with City Council approval. Although it is legally separate from the City, it is reported as if it were part of the primary government because its primary purpose is to finance and construct the City's public buildings.

Discretely Presented Component Units - The following component units are reported within the component unit column to emphasize that they are legally separate from the City:

The Downtown Development Authority was created to correct and prevent deterioration in the downtown district, encourage historical preservation, and to promote economic growth within the downtown district. The Downtown Development Authority's governing body, which consists of nine individuals, is selected by the mayor with approval by the City Council. In addition, the Downtown Development Authority's budget is subject to approval by the City's Council.

The Brownfield Redevelopment Authority was created, pursuant to Public Act 381 of 1996, to promote revitalization of environmentally distressed areas within the six-square mile boundary of the City. The Brownfield Redevelopment Authority is funded primarily by property tax revenue captures. The Brownfield Redevelopment Authority is governed by a nine-member board that is appointed by the mayor and confirmed by the City Council.

The Tax Increment Finance Authorities (TIFAs) were created to preserve and continuously improve the areas within the TIFA districts. The Consolidated Development Area TIFAs' governing body and Downtown Development Area TIFAs' governing body, which consist of nine individuals each, are selected by the mayor with approval by the City Council.

Notes to Financial Statements September 30, 2012

Note I - Nature of Business and Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

The Economic Development Corporation (EDC) was created to alleviate and prevent conditions of unemployment and to assist and retain local industries and commercial enterprises in their efforts to operate within the City. The EDC's governing body, which consists of nine individuals, is selected by the mayor with approval by the City Council. There was no financial activity related to the EDC in the current year.

The Downriver Central Dispatch (DCD) was created to enhance public safety through the sharing of technology and information resources to support the centralized dispatching of public safety resources. The DCD's governing body consists of one representative appointed by each governmental unit who is a member of the DCD. The DCD's budget is subject to approval by the City.

Separate financial statements for the above discretely presented component units are not prepared.

Jointly Governed Organization - Jointly governed organizations are discussed in Note 7.

Government-wide and Fund Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements (i.e., the statement of net assets and the statement of activities) report information on all of the nonfiduciary activities of the primary government and its component units. For the most part, the effect of interfund activity has been removed from these statements. Governmental activities, normally supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenue, are reported separately from business-type activities, which rely to a significant extent on fees and charges for support. Likewise, the primary government is reported separately from certain legally separate component units for which the primary government is financially accountable.

The statement of activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a given function or segment are offset by program revenue. Direct expenses are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function or segment. Program revenue includes (I) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use, or directly benefit from goods, services, or privileges provided by a given function or segment and (2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function or segment. Taxes and other items not properly included among program revenue are reported instead as general revenue.

Separate financial statements are provided for governmental funds, proprietary funds, and fiduciary funds, even though the latter are excluded from the government-wide financial statements. Major individual governmental funds and major individual enterprise funds are reported as separate columns in the fund financial statements.

Notes to Financial Statements September 30, 2012

Note I - Nature of Business and Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting, and Financial Statement Presentation

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting, as are the proprietary fund, fiduciary fund, and component unit financial statements. Revenue is recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenue in the year for which they are levied. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met.

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenue is recognized as soon as it is both measurable and available. Revenue is considered to be available if it is collected within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. For this purpose, the City considers revenue to be available if it is collected within 60 days of the end of the current fiscal period. The following major revenue sources meet the availability criterion: state-shared revenue, state gas and weight tax revenue, district court fines, and interest associated with the current fiscal period. Conversely, special assessments, delinquent personal property taxes, federal grant reimbursements, and other amounts will be collected after the period of availability; receivables have been recorded for these, along with a "deferred revenue" liability.

Expenditures generally are recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting. However, debt service expenditures, expenditures relating to compensated absences, and claims and judgments are recorded only when payment is due.

When an expense is incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted net assets or fund balances are available, the City's policy is to first apply restricted resources. When an expense is incurred for purposes for which amounts in any of the unrestricted fund balance classifications could be used, it is the City's policy to spend funds in this order: committed, assigned, and unassigned.

Notes to Financial Statements September 30, 2012

Note I - Nature of Business and Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

The City reports the following major governmental fund:

General Fund - The General Fund is the City's primary operating fund and is used to provide for basic services including the following functions: legislative, judicial, elections, tax collection, property assessment, administration, public safety, engineering, public works, recreation, cultural, and planning. This fund accounts for all financial resources of the general government other than those required to be accounted for in another fund.

The City reports the following major proprietary funds:

Electric Fund - The Electric Fund accounts for the activities of providing electric services to the residents (and businesses) of the City.

Water Fund - The Water Fund accounts for the activities of the water distribution system.

Cable Television Fund - The Cable Television Fund accounts for the activities of providing cable services (and Internet) to the residents (and businesses) of the City.

Sewage Disposal Fund - The Sewage Disposal Fund accounts for the operations, maintenance, and improvements to the sewage disposal system in the city. Operations are primarily funded through user charges.

Additionally, the City reports the following internal service and fiduciary activities:

Pension and Other Employee Benefit Trust Funds - The Pension and Other Employee Benefit Trust Funds account for the activities of the retirement system and the trust established to account for costs related to retiree health care.

Agency Fund - The Agency Fund accounts for assets held by the City in a trustee capacity. Agency Funds are custodial in nature (assets equal liabilities) and do not involve the measurement of results of operations.

Internal Service Fund - The Internal Service Fund is used to account for the financing of goods or services provided by one department or agency to other departments or agencies of the City, or to other governments. The City's Internal Service Fund has been established to account for insurance costs associated with workers' compensation and general liability, as well as the self-insured portion of workers' compensation, general liability, and prescription drug coverage for active employees and retirees. The Internal Service Fund is used to account for current coverage and to provide reserves for future catastrophic claims.

Notes to Financial Statements September 30, 2012

Note I - Nature of Business and Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Private sector standards of accounting issued prior to December 1, 1989 are generally followed in both the government-wide and proprietary fund financial statements to the extent that those standards do not conflict with the standards of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. The City has elected not to follow private sector standards issued after November 30, 1989 for its business-type activities.

As a general rule, the effect of interfund activity has been eliminated from the government-wide financial statements, except for such activity between the governmental and business-type funds. Eliminations of these charges would distort the direct costs and program revenue reported for the various functions concerned.

Proprietary funds distinguish operating revenue and expenses from nonoperating items. Operating revenue and expenses generally result from providing services in connection with a proprietary fund's principal ongoing operations. The principal operating revenue of our proprietary funds relates to charges to customers for sales, rentals, and services. The water and sewer disposal funds also recognize the portion of tap fees intended to recover current costs (e.g., labor and materials to hook up new customers) as operating revenue. The portion intended to recover the cost of the infrastructure is recognized as nonoperating revenue. Operating expenses for proprietary funds include the cost of sales and services, administrative expenses, and depreciation on capital assets. All revenue and expenses not meeting this definition are reported as nonoperating revenue and expenses.

Property Tax Revenue

Properties are assessed as of December 31. The related property taxes are billed on August 1 of the following year, are due on September 30, become a lien on December 1, and have a final collection date of February 28 before they are added to the county tax rolls.

Property taxes billed on August I will be used to finance the following year's operations. As such, these taxes are recorded as deferred revenue in each respective fund at September 30.

Notes to Financial Statements September 30, 2012

Note I - Nature of Business and Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

The 2011 taxable valuation of the City totaled \$610,934,200 (a portion of which has been abated or resides in special tax districts), on which taxes levied consisted of 13.8038 mills for operating purposes, 2.5166 mills for debt service, and 2.5166 mills for garbage and rubbish services. In addition, the City levied 3.4130 mills to support drain operation and maintenance. The City has attempted, but has been unable to identify the statutory or constitutional provisions that authorize the levy of this millage. The above levies resulted in approximately \$8,073,000 for operating purposes, \$1,352,000 for debt service, \$1,294,000 for garbage and rubbish services, and \$1,384,000 for drain operation and maintenance. These amounts are recognized in the respective General Fund, Special Revenue Fund, and component unit financial statements as tax revenue. Some amounts are also recognized in the debt service fund through a transfer of tax funds from the General Fund.

Assets, Liabilities, and Net Assets or Equity

Bank Deposits and Investments - Cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand, demand deposits, and short-term investments with a maturity of three months or less when acquired. Investments are stated at fair value.

Receivables and Payables - In general, outstanding balances between funds are reported as "due to/from other funds." Activity between funds that are representative of lending/borrowing arrangements outstanding at the end of the fiscal year is referred to as "advances to/from other funds." Any residual balances outstanding between the governmental activities and the business-type activities are reported in the government-wide financial statements as "internal balances."

All trade and property tax receivables are shown as net of allowance for uncollectible amounts.

Inventories and Prepaid Items - Real estate inventories in the special revenue funds, capital projects funds, and component units are valued at the lower of cost (specific identification, including demolition cost) or market. Inventories of the remaining governmental funds are recorded as expenditures when purchased rather than when consumed. Inventories of the enterprise funds are stated at the lower of cost, determined by the average cost method for general inventory and determined by the first-in, first-out method for coal inventory, or market. Certain payments to vendors reflect costs applicable to future fiscal years and are recorded as prepaid items in both government-wide and fund financial statements.

Restricted Assets - The revenue bonds of the enterprise funds require amounts to be set aside for construction, debt service principal and interest, operations and maintenance, and a bond reserve. These amounts have been classified as restricted assets.

Notes to Financial Statements September 30, 2012

Note I - Nature of Business and Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Capital Assets - Capital assets, which include property, plant, equipment, and infrastructure assets (e.g., roads, bridges, sidewalks, and similar items), are reported in the applicable governmental or business-type activities column in the government-wide financial statements. Capital assets are defined by the City as assets with an initial individual cost of more than \$2,500 and an estimated useful life in excess of one year. Such assets are recorded at historical cost or estimated historical cost if purchased or constructed. Donated capital assets are recorded at estimated fair market value at the date of donation.

Assets are depreciated using the straight-line method over the following useful lives:

Roads and other infrastructure	20 to 25 years
Sidewalks	10 to 60 years
Distribution systems	10 to 15 years
Utility plant and treatment facilities	20 to 50 years
Buildings and building improvements	40 to 50 years
Land improvements	20 to 50 years
Vehicles	4 to 15 years
Machinery and equipment	3 to 35 years

Compensated Absences (Vacation and Sick Leave) - It is the City's policy to permit employees to accumulate earned but unused sick and vacation pay benefits. Employees may receive payment of the accumulated sick leave and vacation balance at the rate determined by union contracts, which vary from unit to unit. A liability is accrued when incurred in the government-wide and proprietary fund financial statements. A liability for these amounts is reported in governmental funds only for employee terminations as of year end.

Long-term Obligations - In the government-wide financial statements and the proprietary fund types in the fund financial statements, long-term debt and other long-term obligations are reported as liabilities in the applicable governmental activities, business-type activities, or proprietary fund-type statement of net assets. Bond premiums and discounts, as well as issuance costs, are deferred and amortized over the life of the bonds using the effective interest method. Bonds payable are reported net of the applicable bond premium or discount. Bond issuance costs are reported as deferred charges and amortized over the term of the related debt. In the fund financial statements, governmental fund types recognize bond premiums and discounts, as well as bond issuance costs during the current period. The face amount of debt issued is reported as other financing sources. Premiums received on debt issuances are reported as other financing sources while discounts are reported as other financing uses. Issuance costs are reported as debt service expenditures.

Notes to Financial Statements September 30, 2012

Note I - Nature of Business and Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Pension and Other Postemployment Benefit Costs - The City offers both pension and retiree healthcare benefits to retirees. The City receives an actuarial valuation to compute the annual required contribution (ARC) necessary to fund the obligation over the remaining amortization period. In the governmental funds, pension and OPEB costs are recognized as contributions are made. For the government-wide statements and proprietary funds, the City reports the full accrual cost equal to the current year required contribution, adjusted for interest and "adjustment to the ARC" on the beginning of year underpaid amount, if any.

Fund Equity - In the fund financial statements, governmental funds report the following components of fund balance:

- Nonspendable: Amounts that are not in spendable form or are legally or contractually required to be maintained intact
- Restricted: Amounts that are legally restricted by outside parties, constitutional provisions, or enabling legislation for use for a specific purpose
- Committed: Amounts that have been formally set aside by the City Council for use for specific purposes. Commitments are made and can be rescinded only via resolution of the City Council.
- Assigned: Intent to spend resources on specific purposes expressed by the City Council or City administrator, who is authorized by resolution approved by the City Council to make assignments
- Unassigned: Amounts that do not fall into any other category above. This is the
 residual classification for amounts in the General Fund and represents fund balance
 that has not been assigned to other funds and has not been restricted, committed,
 or assigned to specific purposes in the General Fund. In other governmental funds,
 only negative unassigned amounts are reported, if any, and represent expenditures
 incurred for specific purposes exceeding the amounts previously restricted,
 committed, or assigned to those purposes.

Use of Estimates - The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Notes to Financial Statements September 30, 2012

Note I - Nature of Business and Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Significant Customers - The City has the following customers that represent significant amounts of operating revenue for the year ended September 30, 2012:

	Electricity Fees	Water Fees	Sewage Fees	Property Taxes
BASF Corporation	19%	13%	25%	10%
Electric Fund	-	9	-	-
Wayne County	5	-	-	-
Wyandotte Hospital	3	2	-	-

Note 2 - Stewardship, Compliance, and Accountability

Construction Code Fees - The City oversees building construction, in accordance with the State's Construction Code Act, including inspection of building construction and renovation to ensure compliance with the building codes. The City charges fees for these services. The law requires that collection of these fees be used only for construction code costs, including an allocation of estimated overhead costs. A summary of the current year activity and the cumulative surplus or shortfall generated since January I, 2000 is as follows:

Cumulative shortfall at October 1, 2011		\$ (319,297)
Current year permit revenue Related expenses:		614,503
Direct costs	\$ 134,096	
Estimated indirect costs	 496,275	 630,371
Current year shortfall		(15,868)
Cumulative shortfall at September 30, 2012		\$ (335,165)

Accumulated Deficit - The City has an accumulated deficit in the Brownfield Redevelopment Authority. Management expects the deficit in the Brownfield Redevelopment Authority to be eliminated through the receipt of future tax captures.

Notes to Financial Statements September 30, 2012

Note 3 - Deposits and Investments

Michigan Compiled Laws Section 129.91 (Public Act 20 of 1943, as amended) authorizes local governmental units to make deposits and invest in the accounts of federally insured banks, credit unions, and savings and loan associations that have offices in Michigan. The local unit is allowed to invest in bonds, securities, and other direct obligations of the United States or any agency or instrumentality of the United States; repurchase agreements; bankers' acceptances of United States banks; commercial paper rated within the two highest classifications, which matures not more than 270 days after the date of purchase; obligations of the State of Michigan or its political subdivisions, which are rated as investment grade; and mutual funds composed of investment vehicles that are legal for direct investment by local units of government in Michigan.

The Pension and Other Postemployment Benefit Fund is also authorized by Michigan Public Act 314 of 1965, as amended, to invest in certain reverse repurchase agreements, stocks, diversified investment companies, annuity investment contracts, real estate leased to public entities, mortgages, debt, or equity of certain small businesses, certain state and local government obligations, and certain other specified investment vehicles.

The City has designated two banks for the deposit of its funds. The investment policy adopted by the council and mayor in accordance with Public Act 196 of 1997 has authorized investment in bonds, securities, and other direct obligations of the United States or any agency or instrumentality of the United States, certificates of deposit, savings accounts, deposit accounts, or depository receipts of a financial institution that has offices in Michigan, and mutual funds composed of investment vehicles that are legal for direct investment by local units of government in Michigan, interlocal agreements and investment pools, but not the remainder of State statutory authority as listed above. The City's deposits and investment policies are in accordance with statutory authority.

The City's cash and investments are subject to several types of risk, which are examined in more detail below:

Custodial Credit Risk of Bank Deposits

Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the government's deposits may not be returned to it. The government does not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk. At year end, the City had \$48,662,172 of bank deposits (certificates of deposit, checking, and savings accounts) that were uninsured and uncollateralized. In addition, the City's component units had \$2,590,633 of bank deposits (certificates of deposit, checking, and savings accounts) that were uninsured and uncollateralized. The City believes that due to the dollar amounts of cash deposits and the limits of FDIC insurance, it is impractical to insure all deposits. As a result, the City evaluates each financial institution with which it deposits funds and assesses the level of risk of each institution; only those institutions with an acceptable estimated risk level are used as depositories.

Notes to Financial Statements September 30, 2012

Note 3 - Deposits and Investments (Continued)

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that the value of investments will decrease as a result of a rise in interest rates. The City's investment policy does not restrict investment maturities, other than commercial paper which can only be purchased with a 270-day maturity. At year end, the average maturities of investments are as follows:

		Weighted Average
Investment	 air Value	<u>Maturity</u>
U.S. Treasury bills	\$ 532,022	.89 years
U.S. Federal Agency	234,049	1.72 years
U.S. Treasury bills (pension)	7,458,147	11.45 years
U.S. Federal Agency (pension)	6,715,348	9.06 years
Corporate bonds and notes (pension)	9,648,065	10.17 years
Collateralized mortgage obligations (pension)	146,505	24 years
Foreign corporate bonds and notes (pension)	814,251	4.73 years
Foreign government obligations (pension)	10,834,376	9.06 years
U.S. Treasury bills (retiree health care)	62,440	6.66 years
U.S. Federal Agency (retiree health care)	36,619	3.56 years
Corporate bonds and notes (retiree health care)	171,244	3.56 years

Notes to Financial Statements September 30, 2012

Note 3 - Deposits and Investments (Continued)

Credit Risk

State law limits investments in commercial paper to the top two ratings issued by nationally recognized statistical rating organizations. The City has no investment policy that would further limit its investment choices. As of year end, the credit quality ratings of debt securities (other than the U.S. government) are as follows:

			Rating
Investment	Fair Value	Rating	Organization
Primary government	\$ 1,555,549	AAA	S&P
, 3	2,874,991	AA+	S&P
	395,318	AA	S&P
	439,925	AA-	S&P
	1,563,551	A+	S&P
	954,286	Α	S&P
	965,084	A-	S&P
	871,220	BBB+	S&P
	943,208	BBB	S&P
	556,063	BBB-	S&P
	99,059	AAA	Moody's
	17,097,464	Aaa	Moody's
	790,518	Aal	Moody's
	217,982	Aa2	Moody's
	798,722	Aa3	Moody's
	525,357	ΑI	Moody's
	1,779,735	A2	Moody's
	1,172,512	A3	Moody's
	3,924,086	Baal	Moody's
	1,432,842	Baa2	Moody's
	294,853	Baa3	Moody's
	254,475	Bal	Moody's
	1,564,108	P-I	Moody's
	8,512,215	N/A	Unrated
Component units	475,732	N/A	Unrated

Notes to Financial Statements September 30, 2012

Note 3 - Deposits and Investments (Continued)

Foreign Currency Risk

Foreign currency risk is the risk that an investment denominated in the currency of a foreign country could reduce its U.S. dollar value as a result of changes in foreign currency exchange rates. The pension system does not restrict the amount of investments in foreign currency. The following deposits and securities are subject to foreign currency risk:

	Fair Value of Bonds in U.S.
Country	Dollars
Euro currency	\$ 1,004,024
Australian dollar	2,125,806
Polish zloty	1,067,591
Mexican peso	2,524,153
South African rand	676,025
New Zealand dollar	657,497
British pound	1,323,832
Malaysian ringgit	754,886
South Korean won	798,723

Note 4 - Deferred Revenue

Governmental funds report deferred revenue in connection with receivables for revenue that is not considered to be available to liquidate liabilities of the current period. Governmental and enterprise funds and component units also defer revenue recognition in connection with resources that have been received but not yet earned.

At the end of the current fiscal year, the various components of deferred revenue are as follows:

	U	Inavailable -		Unearned -			ι	Jnearned -
	G	overnmental	G	overnmental	U	nearned -	C	Component
		Activities		Activities	Bus	siness-type		Units
Delinquent property taxes	\$	177,100	\$	-	\$	_	\$	-
Special assessments		534,749		-		-		-
ALS revenue		654,202		-		-		-
Property tax receipts for fiscal								
year 2012		_		12,024,039		-		1,849,101
Grants receivable		589,779		-		-		-
UDAG		1,566		-		-		-
Other		124,643		-		113,577		-
Total	\$	2,082,039	\$	12,024,039	\$	113,577	\$	1,849,101

Notes to Financial Statements September 30, 2012

Note 5 - Bond Issuance Costs

During the years ended September 30, 2005 and 2002, the City of Wyandotte Department of Municipal Services incurred bond issuance costs in the amount of \$517,983 and \$931,515, respectively. The 2005 bond issuance costs related to new debt issuances (Series 2005A and 2005B) and the 2002 bond issuance costs related to the 2002 revenue refunding bonds. New refunding bonds were issued by the Department of Municipal Services in 2009 incurring issuance costs in the amount of \$980,518. Amortization expense for the 2002 revenue refunding amounted to \$62,100 for the year ended September 30, 2012. Amortization expense for the 2005 revenue bonds amounted to \$37,848 for the year ended September 30, 2012. Amortization expense for the 2009 refunding bonds amounted to \$50,064 for the year ended September 30, 2012.

Note 6 - Land Held for Resale

The inventory in the General Fund, Special Revenue Funds, Capital Projects Funds, and component units consists of real property purchased for resale. The City purchases the property, demolishes or rehabilitates the structure, if any, and resells the property for commercial or residential development. Inventory costs include the costs of land, existing structures, rehabilitation, and demolition. Generally, the acquisition cost of inventory is substantially higher than its fair market value after demolition and site clearing. At September 30, 2012, inventory had a cost of \$609,652, \$318,666, and \$19,443,933 and an estimated fair market value of \$109,495, \$44,237, and \$2,392,572 in the special revenue funds, capital projects funds, and component units, respectively. Included in capital outlay and other expenses of the current year was a provision of \$10,585, \$0, and \$1,275,683 to record inventory at the lower of cost or market value in the special revenue funds, capital projects funds, and component units, respectively. Inventory of \$2,680,680 was recorded in the General Fund at September 30, 2012, representing rehabilitation costs associated with properties purchased under the Neighborhood Stabilization Programs. In the current year, no sales of properties held in special revenue funds occurred and sales of select properties within the component units resulted in a gain on sale of \$46,528 included in miscellaneous income.

Note 7 - Joint Ventures

Southgate-Wyandotte Drainage District

The City is a member of the Southgate-Wyandotte Drainage District, a joint venture with the City of Southgate that provides drainage services to the residents of Southgate and Wyandotte. The venture is administered by the Wayne County Drainage Board. The City paid approximately \$897,000 to Wayne County during the year for operation and maintenance.

Notes to Financial Statements September 30, 2012

Note 7 - Joint Ventures (Continued)

The City of Wyandotte has approximately a 50.5 percent interest in the venture. The operations of the fund will be financed through assessments to the participating cities, Wayne County, and the State of Michigan. The City is aware that over the next several years there are preliminary plans for significant system improvements to take place. The City's equity interest in the venture in the amount of \$2,097,000 has been recorded in the government-wide statement of net assets at September 30, 2012.

Downriver Sewage Disposal System

The City, along with 12 other communities, jointly participates in the Downriver Sewage Disposal System. The City's share of capital assets, restricted assets (for debt service), and related debt is recorded in the Sewage Disposal Fund. During the year, the City paid approximately \$1,705,000 for operations of the system and \$1,030,000 for debt service. The City is not aware of any circumstances that would cause an additional benefit or burden to the participating governments in the near future. The City's investment in the working capital of the venture of \$1,342,837 has been recorded in the proprietary and government-wide statements of net assets at September 30, 2012. Financial statements for the joint venture can be obtained from the administrative offices at 400 Monroe Street, Suite 400, Detroit, MI 48226.

Note 8 - Capital Assets

Capital asset activity of the City's governmental and business-type activities was as follows:

	Balance			Balance
	October I,		Disposals and	September 30,
	2011	Additions	Adjustments	2012
Governmental Activities				
Capital assets not being depreciated - Land	\$ 18,135,929	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 18,135,929
Capital assets being depreciated: Roads and other infrastructure Sidewalks Buildings and improvements Machinery, equipment, and vehicles Land improvements	80,678,712 35,310,358 20,396,462 12,272,428 6,315,193	1,279,598 395,307 411,907 130,693	- - - -	81,958,310 35,705,665 20,808,369 12,403,121 6,315,193
Subtotal	154,973,153	2,217,505	-	157,190,658
Accumulated depreciation: Roads and other infrastructure Sidewalks Buildings and improvements Machinery, equipment, and vehicles Land improvements	60,746,081 27,369,295 4,347,723 9,502,481 3,600,856	2,374,106 1,263,274 398,487 591,313 266,604	- - - -	63,120,187 28,632,569 4,746,210 10,093,794 3,867,460
Subtotal	105,566,436	4,893,784		110,460,220
Net capital assets being depreciated	49,406,717	(2,676,279)	-	46,730,438
Net capital assets	\$ 67,542,646	\$ (2,676,279)	\$ -	\$ 64,866,367

Notes to Financial Statements September 30, 2012

Note 8 - Capital Assets (Continued)

	Balance October I, 2011	Additions	Disposals and Adjustments	Balance September 30, 2012
Business-type Activities				
Capital assets not being depreciated:				
Land	\$ 145,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 145,000
Construction in progress	846,688		(531,007)	315,681
Subtotal	991,688	-	(531,007)	460,681
Capital assets being depreciated:				
Utility plant	110,562,032	1,527,647	-	112,089,679
Transmission	6,946,219	614,220	-	7,560,439
Pumping	3,935,912	298,303	-	4,234,215
Purification	5,501,283	78,065	-	5,579,348
Distribution	56,662,497	492,815	-	57,155,312
Transportation	2,145,177	486,568	-	2,631,745
Stores	877,798	25,000	-	902,798
Cable equipment	2,379,720	152,615	-	2,532,335
Land improvements	2,937,427	-	-	2,937,427
Studio	537,615	3,863	-	541,478
General	4,441,454	66,444	(542,354)	3,965,544
Buildings	4,305,026	-	-	4,305,026
Equipment and fixtures	1,884,259	18,093	(14,728)	1,887,624
Sewer lines	17,275,523	1,540,170		18,815,693
Subtotal	220,391,942	5,303,803	(557,082)	225,138,663
Accumulated depreciation:				
Utility plant	75,681,677	3,310,913	-	78,992,590
Transmission	5,172,848	94,750	-	5,267,598
Pumping	2,855,227	141,478	-	2,996,705
Purification	3,526,180	45,660	-	3,571,840
Distribution	38,541,679	2,134,791	(398,921)	40,277,549
Transportation	1,611,783	131,982	-	1,743,765
Stores	794,772	15,331	-	810,103
Cable equipment	1,533,442	87,931	-	1,621,373
Land improvements	938,993	58,749	-	997,742
Studio .	495,536	11,431	-	506,967
General	3,071,615	117,251	-	3,188,866
Buildings	1,336,787	113,875	-	1,450,662
Equipment and fixtures	1,332,128	84,462	(14,728)	1,401,862
Sewer lines	2,782,749	372,094		3,154,843
Subtotal	139,675,416	6,720,698	(413,649)	145,982,465
Net capital assets being depreciated	80,716,526	(1,416,895)	(143,433)	79,156,198
Net capital assets	\$ 81,708,214	\$ (1,416,895)	\$ (674,440)	\$ 79,616,879

Notes to Financial Statements September 30, 2012

Note 8 - Capital Assets (Continued)

Depreciation expense was charged to programs of the primary government as follows:

Governmental activities:		
General government	\$	3,943,832
Public safety		522,940
Public works		155,621
Recreation and culture		271,391
Total governmental activities	<u>\$</u>	4,893,784
Business-type activities:		
Electric	\$	4,620,558
Water		609,504
Cable television		720,547
Sewage disposal		569,06 l
Golf course		106,268
Building rental		94,760
Total business-type activities	\$	6,720,698

Construction Commitments - The City has active construction projects at year end. The City's commitments with contractors/suppliers as of September 30, 2012 are as follows:

				Remaining
	Spent to Date		Commitment	
Fort Street Lighting Upgrade	\$	-	\$	440,000
Coal Contract (Coal Re-sold to C. Reiss)		-		934,774
Coal Contract (Contract satisfied)		-		511,642
Coal - Shipping (Chicago to Wyan.)		-		215,129
Substation #9/T&D Construction		607,128		367,872
Total	\$	607,128	\$	2,469,417

Notes to Financial Statements September 30, 2012

Note 9 - Interfund Receivables, Payables, and Transfers

The composition of interfund balances is as follows:

Due to/from Other Funds

Receivable Fund	Payable Fund	_	Amount
General Fund	Other governmental funds Electric Fund	\$	10,280 137,004
	Total General Fund		147,284
Other governmental funds	General Fund Other governmental funds Electric Fund		1,907,781 421,531 18,693
	Total other governmental funds		2,348,005
Sewage Disposal	Water Fund		36,113
Other enterprise funds	General Fund Other governmental funds		137 6,464
	Total other enterprise funds		6,601
Internal Service Fund	General Fund Other governmental funds		4,360,054 411,907
	Total Internal Service Fund		4,771,961
Tax Increment Finance Authorities - Consolidated	Brownfield Redevelopment Authority		79,289
Advances from/to Other Funds			
Receivable Fund	Payable Fund	_	Amount
Internal Service Fund	Sewage Disposal Fund Other governmental funds	\$	208,090 435,845
	Total	\$	643,935

Notes to Financial Statements September 30, 2012

Note 9 - Interfund Receivables, Payables, and Transfers (Continued)

Due to/from Primary Government and Component Units

Receivable Fund	Payable Fund		Amount
General Fund	Tax Increment Finance Authorities - Downtown Brownfield Redevelopment		1,154
	Authority		107,751
	Downriver Central Dispatch		145,080
	Total General Fund		253,985
Other governmental funds	Tax Increment Finance Authorities - Downtown Brownfield Redevelopment		434
	Authority		17,271
	Total other governmental funds		17,705
Tax Increment Finance			
Authorities - Consolidated	General Fund		750
	Other governmental funds		1,050,864
	Total Tax Increment Finance Authorities - Consolidated		1,051,614
Tax Increment Finance			
Authorities - Downtown	Other governmental funds Other enterprise funds		5,060 500
	Total Tax Increment Finance Authorities -		
	Downtown		5,560

Notes to Financial Statements September 30, 2012

Note 9 - Interfund Receivables, Payables, and Transfers (Continued)

Advances from/to Primary Government and Component Units

Receivable Fund	Payable Fund		Amount		
Other governmental funds	Brownfield Redevelopment Authority		1,193,405		
Internal Service Fund	Tax Increment Finance Authorities - Downtown Brownfield Redovelopment		400,000		
Brownfield Redevelopment Authority			236,937		
	Total Internal Service Fund		636,937		

These balances result from the time lag between the dates that goods and services are provided or reimbursable expenditures occur, transactions are recorded in the accounting system, and payments between funds are made.

Interfund transfers reported in the fund financial statements are comprised of the following:

Fund Receiving Resources	Fund Providing Resources		Amount
Other governmental funds	General Fund Other governmental funds	\$	1,352,221 276,421
	Total other governmental funds		

The transfer to other governmental funds from the General Fund was primarily to pay the annual debt service for the Department of Public Services facility bonds, the 27th District Court and police department facility construction, and Yack Arena renovation bonds. These funds are derived through tax levies. The transfer between the City's two street funds was to redistribute Act 51 revenue from the Major Streets Fund to the Local Streets Fund, where the funds were then spent.

Notes to Financial Statements September 30, 2012

Note 10 - Long-term Debt

The City issues bonds to provide for the acquisition and construction of major capital facilities. General obligation bonds are direct obligations and pledge the full faith and credit of the City. County contractual agreements and installment purchase agreements are also general obligations of the government. Special assessment bonds provide for capital improvements that benefit specific properties, and will be repaid from amounts levied against those properties benefited from the construction. In the event that a deficiency exists because of unpaid or delinquent special assessments at the time a debt service payment is due, the City is obligated to provide resources to cover the deficiency until other resources (such as tax sale proceeds or a re-assessment of the City) are received. Revenue bonds involve a pledge of specific income derived from the acquired or constructed assets to pay debt service.

Description	Amount		
Governmental Activities			
General obligations:			
 1999 Building Authority Bonds, issued for \$5,000,000, with interest from 3.75 percent to 5.75 percent, maturing in 2013 2006 Capital Improvement Bonds for public safety, recreation and culture, and court improvements, issued for \$9,495,000, with 	\$	600,000	
interest from 4.00 percent to 4.25 percent, maturing in 2026	_	7,700,000	
Total governmental activity debt	\$	8,300,000	
Business-type Activities			
General obligations:			
Downriver sewage disposal system bonds, 14 issues totaling			
\$23,593,946, with interest from 1.625 percent to 5.00 percent,	\$	10 011 120	
maturing from 2016 to 2033 2007 Cable television purchase installment, issued for \$200,000, with	Ф	10,811,120	
interest of 4.14 percent, maturing in 2013		36,197	
2008 Electric purchase installment, issued for \$2,385,761, with interest		33,177	
of 7.06 percent, maturing in 2019		1,614,568	
2010 Electric purchase installment, issued for \$3,866,200, with interest			
of 4.35 percent, maturing in 2019		3,222,471	
2011 Cable purchase installment, issued for \$310,703, with interest of		170.020	
4.73 percent, maturing in 2014		178,030	
2011 Electric purchase installment, issued for \$449,080, with interest of 3.75 percent, maturing in 2016		367,186	
2012 Electric purchase installment, issued for \$201,798, with interest of		307,100	
3.2 percent, maturing in 2016	_	181,554	
Subtotal		16,411,126	

Notes to Financial Statements September 30, 2012

Note 10 - Long-term Debt (Continued)

	Amount						
Business-type Activities							
Revenue bonds: 2005A Electric Revenue \$6,104,826, with int maturing in 2016 2005B Electric Revenue		\$	2,4	131,410			
\$7,489,013, with int maturing in 2025 2009 Revenue Refundir	ng Bonds - Net	•	•	nd			83,805
deferred refunding on Less amounts payable f	•	assets					332,281 335,000)
Subto							12,496
Total	business-type	activity debt			\$	45,3	323,622
Component Units Activ	ities						
General obligations: 1999 Brownfield Revitalization Loan, issued for \$944,000, with interest of 2.25 percent, maturing in 2015 Various installment purchase agreements 2006 Downtown Development Note Payable, issued for \$400,000, with interest of 5.00 percent, maturing in 2018							271,108 123,048 235,143
Total	component ur	nits activities o	debt		\$	9	29,299
Long-term debt activity o	an be summa	rized as follo	ows:				
,	Beginning Balance	Additions	Reductions	Endi Balar	•		Oue Within One Year
Governmental Activities General obligations	\$ 9,250,000	\$ -	\$ (950,000)	\$ 8,30	0,000	\$	1,100,000
Business-type Activities General obligations Revenue bonds Less portion payable from	\$ 16,209,807 37,191,800	\$ 1,741,968 -	\$ (1,540,649) (3,944,304)	33,24	7,496	\$	1,589,816
restricted assets	(4,050,000)	- * 17/1969	(285,000)		5,000)	_	4,335,000 5,924,816
	Total \$\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\						
Component Unit Activities General obligations	\$ 1,147,268	\$ -	\$ (217,969)	\$ 92	9,299	\$	227,179

Total interest expense for the year was approximately \$2,658,000.

Notes to Financial Statements September 30, 2012

Note 10 - Long-term Debt (Continued)

Annual debt service requirements to maturity for the above bonds and note obligations, excluding installment purchase agreements, are as follows:

	_	Go	verr	mental Activ	ities		Business-type Activit				ties	ies Component Unit Activities							
Years Ending September 30	_	Principal	_	Interest	_	Total	_	Principal	_	Interest	_	Total		Principal	_	Interest		Total	
2013	\$	1,100,000	\$	339,050	\$	1,439,050	\$	5,924,816	\$	1,791,404	\$	7,716,220	\$	126,508	\$	17,386	\$	143,894	
2014		600,000		295,050		895,050		6,151,228		1,527,170		7,678,398		130,429		13,467		143,896	
2015		600,000		271,050		871,050		6,357,407		1,278,738		7,636,145		134,490		9,405		143,895	
2016		700,000		247,050		947,050		6,768,756		1,017,838		7,786,594		44,235		5,195		49,430	
2017		800,000		219,050		1,019,050		5,774,652		642,027		6,416,679		46,474		2,956		49,430	
2018-2022		2,500,000		707,050		3,207,050		11,591,173		1,975,685		13,566,858		24,115		603		24,718	
2023-2027		2,000,000		211,750		2,211,750		5,152,179		758,995		5,911,174		-		-		-	
2028-2032		-		-		-		1,941,078		48,311		1,989,389		-		-		-	
Thereafter		-		-		-		94,837		-		94,837		-		-		-	
*	_	-		-	_		_	(97,504)	_	-	_	(97,504)		-	_	-	_		
Total	\$	8,300,000	\$	2,290,050	\$	10,590,050	\$	49,658,622	\$	9,040,168	\$	58,698,790	\$	506,251	\$	49,012	\$	555,263	

^{*} Less unamortized discount/premium on bonds payable and deferred refunding charges.

Electric Fund Revenue Bonds

The 1992 and the 2002 Electric Revenue Refunding Bonds, the 2005 Series A and B Revenue Bonds, and the 2009 Refunding Bonds are payable out of the net revenue of the Electric Fund. During the year, net operating revenue of the system was \$10,937,517 compared to the annual debt service requirement of \$5,802,699. The City has no liability for these bonds if the net revenue pledged should prove insufficient.

An agreement has been entered into with BASF for steam service related to the 2005 Revenue Bonds. This agreement provided for reimbursement to the Department for one-half of the principal and associated interest of the 2005 Electric Revenue Bonds (Series A) actually used by the Department to fund the construction of the steam expansion project through December 2010. On January I, 2011, the initial period expired. Pursuant to language set forth in the original agreement, the customer continues to reimburse the Department a significant portion of the related debt service payments in the form of a "facilities charge." The Department records these facilities charges as revenue upon receipt (at which time it is considered to be earned).

The revenue bond ordinance contains certain covenants and provisions that, among other matters, relate to the following:

- Segregation of proceeds of the revenue bond issue
- Segregation of Electric Fund revenue
- Segregation of assets for debt service payments
- Segregation of assets for construction of improvements to the system
- Periodic transfers of net revenue to those funds segregated for debt service payments

Notes to Financial Statements September 30, 2012

Note 10 - Long-term Debt (Continued)

- Sale, lease, or other disposition of all or any substantial part of the system
- Establishment of rates sufficient to provide for required level of debt service coverage
- Adoption of, and adherence to, budgeted operation and maintenance expenses

Included in the current liabilities of the Electric Fund at September 30, 2012 is the portion of the bond principal that was to be transferred within the next year to the Bond Interest and Redemption Fund for payment of bond principal.

Note II - Risk Management

The City is exposed to various risks of loss related to property loss, torts, errors and omissions, and employee injuries (workers' compensation), as well as medical benefits provided to employees. The City has purchased commercial insurance for claims related to medical benefits. The City is partially uninsured for general liability and workers' compensation claims. Settled claims relating to the commercial insurance have not exceeded the amount of insurance coverage in any of the past three fiscal years.

General Liability - The City currently keeps coverage that limits the maximum loss to \$20,000 per occurrence, except for law enforcement claims, which limits the maximum loss to \$25,000 per occurrence. Losses in excess of these limitations are insured up to a minimum of \$5,000,000 per occurrence depending on the nature of the claim. Losses in excess of the plan limitations are uninsured.

Workers' Compensation - The City (including the Department of Municipal Services) is partially uninsured for workers' compensation claims. The terms of the plan are subject to change each policy period. Subsequent to May 31, 1986, there is no aggregate insurance coverage limit. All claims are insured for the per occurrence exposure between the deductible as of June 1, 2002 of \$850,000 and the policy limits equal to state statutory requirements.

The City estimates the liability for general liability, which includes workers' compensation claims. The Department of Municipal Services estimates the liability for workers' compensation claims in the enterprise funds. These estimates include claims that have been incurred through the end of the fiscal year, including claims that have been reported as well as those that have not yet been reported. Changes in the estimated liability for the past two fiscal years were as follows:

Notes to Financial Statements September 30, 2012

Note II - Risk Management (Continued)

				Department	of I	1 unicipal	
	 Self-insur	ance	Fund	Services			
	2012		2011	2012		2011	
Estimated liability - Beginning of year	\$ 651,828	\$	742,516	\$ 315,316	\$	356,975	
Incurred claims - Including claims incurred but not	111,642		118,381	(2,301)		(11 993)	
reported	111,042		110,301	(2,301)		(11,883)	
Claim payments and changes in estimates	(163,252)	_	(209,069)	 (22,865)		(29,776)	
Estimated liability - End of year	\$ 600,218	\$	651,828	\$ 290,150	\$	315,316	

Note 12 - Restricted Assets and Restricted Net Assets

The balances for the restricted asset accounts are as follows:

	_	Electric	 Water	Cable
Debt service	\$	8,846,240	\$ -	\$ -
Retiree health care	_	_	169,751	169,751
Total	\$	8,846,240	\$ 169,751	\$ 169,751

In addition, the current liability payable from restricted assets for the Electric Fund includes \$827,302 for interest and \$4,335,000 for bond principal payable.

The Electric Fund assets restricted for debt service are pursuant to the 1992 revenue bond ordinance for revenue bond debt service. In conjunction with the bond ordinance, the Commission passed a resolution to reserve retained earnings in the Electric Fund of \$2,690,738, which represents 125 percent of the average annual debt service requirement for all outstanding bonds. The remaining amount of the restriction relates to monies set aside to cover the future principal and interest payments on the bonds.

In the Water and Cable funds, monies have been restricted to pay future retiree healthcare costs, pursuant to a resolution approved by the Commission.

In the Electric Fund, the Commission, pursuant to a resolution, authorized the creation of a restricted account for environmental expenses. At September 30, 2012, no monies have been transferred.

Notes to Financial Statements September 30, 2012

Note 12 - Restricted Assets and Restricted Net Assets (Continued)

Portions of net assets of the enterprise funds have been restricted for the following purposes at September 30, 2012:

	_	Electric	Water	Cable
Debt service	\$	8,018,938	\$ -	\$ -
Retiree health care		-	 169,751	169,751
Total	\$	8,018,938	\$ 169,751	\$ 169,751

Note 13 - Defined Benefit Pension Plan

Plan Description - The Employees' Pension Plan is a single-employer defined benefit pension plan that is administered by the City of Wyandotte Employees' Retirement System. This plan is closed to new hires. The system provides retirement, disability, and death benefits to plan members and their beneficiaries. At September 30, 2011, the date of the most recent actuarial valuation, membership consisted of 269 retirees and beneficiaries currently receiving benefits, 29 terminated employees entitled to benefits but not yet receiving them, and 121 current active employees. The plan does not issue a separate financial report.

Contributions - Plan member contributions are recognized in the period in which the contributions are due. Employer contributions to the plan are recognized when due and the employer has made a formal commitment to provide the contributions. Benefits and refunds are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the terms of the plan. Administrative costs of the plan are financed through investment earnings. Please refer to Note I for further significant accounting policies.

Funding Policy - The obligation to contribute to and maintain the system for the employees was established by negotiation with the City's collective bargaining units and requires no contribution from the employees, except for certain police officers who are members of the patrol and command bargaining units. As of March 1, 1999 for patrol members and February 1, 2001 for command members, all eligible police officers that were hired after October 1, 1982 but prior to February 1, 1999 are required to contribute 5 percent of their qualified wages to the plan. The funding policy provides for periodic employer contributions at actuarially determined rates.

Notes to Financial Statements September 30, 2012

Note 13 - Defined Benefit Pension Plan (Continued)

The following table reconciles the net pension asset from the beginning of the year to the end of the year:

Annual Pension Cost and Net Pension Asset

Annual required contribution	\$	3,557,542
Interest on net pension asset	_	(530,121)
Annual pension cost (APC) Amounts contributed - Payments of pension contribution		3,027,421 (2,640,000)
Decrease in net pension asset Net pension asset - Beginning of year		(387,421) 7,068,278
Net pension asset - End of year	\$	6,680,857

Annual Pension Cost - For the year ended September 30, 2012, the City's annual pension cost of \$3,027,421 for the plan was equal to the required contribution of \$3,557,542, less investment interest of \$530,121 on the net pension asset. The City's actual contribution for the year ended September 30, 2012 was \$2,640,000, which consisted of contributions from Municipal Service of \$743,625 and transfers from other funds of \$1,896,375. The pension cost for the three most recent years is as follows:

	 Fiscal Year Ended September 30							
	2012		2011		2010			
Annual pension cost (APC)	\$ 3,027,421	\$	2,711,588	\$	2,417,692			
Percentage of APC contributed	87.2 %		110.1 %		107.1 %			
Net pension asset	\$ 6,680,857	\$	7,068,278	\$	6,793,279			

Funding Status and Funding Progress - As of September 30, 2011, the most recent actuarial valuation date, the plan was 72.4 percent funded. The actuarial accrued liability for benefits was \$82,314,913, and the actuarial value of assets was \$59,628,852, resulting in an unfunded actuarial accrued liability of \$22,686,061. The covered payroll (annual payroll to active employees covered by the plan) was \$7,428,795, and the ratio of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability to the covered payroll was 305.4 percent.

The schedule of funding progress, presented as required supplemental information (RSI) following the notes to the financial statements, presents multi-year trend information about whether the actuarial value of plan assets is increasing or decreasing over time relative to the actuarial accrued liability of benefits.

Notes to Financial Statements September 30, 2012

Note 13 - Defined Benefit Pension Plan (Continued)

Actuarial Methods and Assumptions - The annual required contribution was determined as part of an actuarial valuation at September 30, 2010, using the aggregate method. Significant actuarial assumptions used include (i) a 7.5 investment rate of return and (ii) projected salary increases of 4.5 percent to 9.6 percent per year, which include an inflation component of 4.5 percent. The actuarial value of assets was determined using techniques that smooth the effects of short-term volatility over a four-year period. The unfunded actuarial liability is being amortized as a level percent of payroll on a closed basis. The remaining amortization period is six years and 15 years for the Municipal Service and general and police and fire divisions, respectively.

Reserves - As of September 30, 2012, the plan's contribution, retirement benefit payments, and Special Retirement Fund reserves have been fully funded as follows:

Legally	/ required	reserves:
07		

Reserve for employees' contributions	\$ 883,045
Reserve for retired benefit payments	50,030,161
Additional reserves:	
Reserve for employer contributions	7,154,927
Reserve for Special Retirement Fund	422,355
Reserve for endowment	6,197,594

Note 14 - Pension and Other Employee Benefit Trust Funds

The following are condensed financial statements for the individual pension plans and postemployment healthcare plans:

	Retiree Health						
	Pension Trust Care				Total		
Statement of Net Assets							
Cash and investments	\$	64,356,199	\$	3,396,674	\$	67,752,873	
Other assets		424,422		80,039		504,461	
Liabilities		92,539		1,488,039		1,580,578	
Net assets	<u>\$</u>	64,688,082	<u>\$</u>	1,988,674	<u>\$</u>	66,676,756	
Statement of Changes in Net Assets							
Investment income	\$	5,815,635	\$	220,501	\$	6,036,136	
Contributions		2,712,448		3,185,453		5,897,901	
Benefit payments and deductions	_	5,744,638		3,466,195	_	9,210,833	
Net change in net assets	\$	2,783,445	\$	(60,241)	\$	2,723,204	

Notes to Financial Statements September 30, 2012

Note 15 - Defined Contribution Pension Plan

The City established a defined contribution pension plan under Section 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code for the following employees:

- Police patrol officers hired on or after February 1, 1999
- Police command officers hired on or after February 1, 1999
- Nonunion administrative employees hired on or after October 1, 1999
- Nonunion administrative employees hired prior to October 1, 1999 who elected to transfer their accrued benefits from the defined benefit plan
- Technical, clerical, and AFSCME Local 894 members hired on or after April 1, 2000
- Wyandotte firefighters IAFF Local 356 members hired on or after October 1, 2000
- Police and fire dispatchers hired on or after July 1, 2001
- Police and fire dispatchers hired prior to July 1, 2002 who elected to transfer their accrued benefits from the defined benefit plan
- Department of Municipal Services employees hired on or after October 1, 2006
- Department of Municipal Services union employees hired prior to October 1, 2006 who elected to transfer their accrued benefits from the defined benefit plan
- Department of Municipal Services nonunion employees hired prior to January 1,
 2008 who elected to transfer their accrued benefits from the defined benefit plan

In a defined contribution plan, benefits depend solely on amounts contributed to the plan plus investment earnings. Investments are managed by a third party, and investment decisions are made by the individual employees. As established by the City of Wyandotte through collective bargaining agreements, the City and the employees contribute a percentage of employees' qualified earnings in the amount of 10 and 5 percent, respectively.

The employee contribution percentages noted above represent the required contribution. Employees are not permitted to contribute additional amounts. The City withholds from the employee earnings and remits to the plan each pay period. The City's contributions for each employee (plus interest allocated to the employee's account) are fully vested after five years of service.

In accordance with the above requirements, the City contributed approximately \$584,000 during the current year, and the employees contributed approximately \$292,000.

Notes to Financial Statements September 30, 2012

Note 16 - Other Postemployment Benefits

Plan Description - The City provides retiree healthcare benefits to certain eligible employees and their spouses. Currently, the plan has 460 members (including employees in active service, terminated employees not yet receiving benefits, and retired employees and beneficiaries currently receiving benefits). The City has eliminated retiree health care for all new hires except for the Department of Municipal Service employees.

This is a single employer defined benefit plan administered by the City. The benefits are provided under collective bargaining agreements (or other legal authority for providing benefits). The plan does not issue a separate stand-alone financial statement. Administrative costs are paid by the plan through employer contributions.

Funding Policy - The collective bargaining agreements and contracts require contributions from employees. Retiree healthcare costs are recognized when paid by the City on a "pay-as-you-go" basis. The City has no obligation to make contributions in advance of when the insurance premiums are due for payment. In the current year, the General Fund transferred approximately \$2,144,000 to the Retiree Health Care Trust. In addition, \$335,000 and \$707,000 in premiums were paid by the General Fund and enterprise funds, respectively.

Funding Progress - For the year ended September 30, 2012, the City has estimated the cost of providing retiree healthcare benefits through an actuarial valuation as of September 30, 2009. The valuation computes an annual required contribution, which represents a level of funding that, if paid on an ongoing basis, is projected to cover normal costs each year and amortize any unfunded actuarial liabilities over a period not to exceed 30 years. This valuation's computed contribution and actual funding are summarized as follows:

Annual required contribution (recommended)	\$ 6,115,283
Interest on the prior year's net OPEB obligation	415,683
Less adjustment to the annual required contribution	(569,607)
Annual OPEB cost	5,961,359
Amounts contributed:	
Payments of current premiums	(1,041,740)
Transfers from General Fund	(2,143,713)
Increase in net OPEB obligation	2,775,906
OPEB obligation - Beginning of year	9,237,401
OPEB obligation - End of year	\$ 12,013,307

Notes to Financial Statements September 30, 2012

Note 16 - Other Postemployment Benefits (Continued)

Employer contributions and annual OPEB cost data for the current and two preceding years were as follows:

					Employer Co			
Fiscal Year Ended	Actuarial Valuation Date	Annual Required entribution *	Aı	nnual OPEB Costs	Percentage of ARC Contributed	Percentage OPEB Costs Contributed	-	Net OPEB
9/30/10 9/30/11 9/30/12	9/30/06 9/30/09 9/30/09	\$ 5,801,315 6,115,316 6,115,283	\$	5,932,622 6,013,748 5,961,359	47.8 % 48.6 52.1	44.7 % 49.4 53.4	\$	6,196,351 9,237,401 12,013,307

The funding progress of the plan is as follows:

	Actuarial	Actuarial				UAAL as a
Actuarial	Value of	Accrued	Unfunded	Funded Ratio	Covered	Percentage of
Valuation	Assets	Liability (AAL)	AAL (UAAL)	(Percent)	Payroll*	Covered
Date	(a)	(b)	(b-a)	(a/b)	(c)	Payroll
9/30/06	\$ 1,796,917	\$ 87,665,241	\$ 85,868,324	2.0	\$ 9,828,031	873.7
9/30/09	1,753,947	71,968,845	70,214,898	2.4	*	*
9/30/11	1,862,556	83,409,901	81,547,345	2.2	*	*

^{*} The September 30, 2009 and 2011 valuations amortize the unfunded actuarial accrued liability as a level dollar amount, not the level percentage used in the September 30, 2006 valuation; therefore, the covered payroll and UAAL as a percentage of covered payroll are not reported in the valuation.

Actuarial Methods and Assumptions - Actuarial valuations of an ongoing plan involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of occurrence of events far into the future. Examples include assumptions about future employment, mortality, and the healthcare cost trend. Amounts determined regarding the funded status of the plan and the annual required contributions of the employer are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared with past expectations and new estimates are made about the future. The schedule of funding progress, presented above, presents multi-year trend information about whether the actuarial value of plan assets is increasing or decreasing over time relative to the actuarial accrued liabilities for benefits.

Projections of benefits for financial reporting purposes are based on the substantive plan (the plan as understood by the employer and the plan members) and include the types of benefits provided at the time of each valuation and the historical pattern of sharing of benefit costs between the employer and plan members to that point. The actuarial methods and assumptions used include techniques that are designed to reduce the effects of short-term volatility in actuarial accrued liabilities and the actuarial value of assets, consistent with the long-term perspective of the calculations.

Notes to Financial Statements September 30, 2012

Note 16 - Other Postemployment Benefits (Continued)

In the September 30, 2011, actuarial valuation, the entry age actuarial cost method was used. The actuarial assumptions included a 4.5 percent investment rate of return (net of administrative expenses), which is a blended rate of the expected long-term investment returns on plan assets and on the employer's own investments calculated based on the funded level of the plan at the valuation date, and an annual healthcare cost trend rate of 9 percent initially, reduced by decrements to an ultimate rate of 4.5 percent after 10 years. Both rates included a 4.5 percent inflation assumption. The UAAL is being amortized as a level dollar amount on closed basis. The remaining amortization period at September 30, 2011 was 28 years.

Note 17 - Contingent Liabilities

The City has been named as a defendant in numerous claims and lawsuits requesting damages of various amounts, the majority of which do not state a specific maximum. The various proceedings have not yet progressed to a point where a legal opinion can be reached as to the ultimate liability, if any, after consideration of available insurance, where applicable, that may result from the resolution of these matters. The City has not recorded an estimate of this liability at September 30, 2012.

In March 2005, United Skilled Trades, Inc. (UST) filed a suit against the City of Wyandotte Department of Municipal Services (the "Department") and others. UST alleged that it was owed money from the Department for services rendered pursuant to a contract for repair of a turbine. UST alleged that the Department is indebted to them in the amount of \$1,100,000. The Department vigorously defended its position that no material amounts were due to UST. On February 21, 2008, the Department received a decision in the case. The Court's bench verdict denied most of the claims of UST but did award two of its claims. The Court also ordered that any monies owing UST from this award be reduced by the Department's legal fees and other applicable costs. UST disputed the decision. In March 2010, the judge clarified and amended the earlier bench verdict and entered a judgment awarding \$1.2 million to UST. In May 2010, the Department filed motions to alter and amend judgment for a new trial; however, this was denied by the Circuit Court. On June 2, 2010, the Department filed a claim of appeal with the Michigan Court of Appeals and, as of January 19, 2011, all briefs were filed. Oral arguments were held in Wayne County Circuit Court in December 2012 and as of the date of this report; the case is now under submission, with a decision anticipated to be received in the near future. The Department expects the award amount to be reduced. Management's estimate of the liability due UST has been recorded in the financial statements of the Electric Fund as of September 30, 2012 and 2011.

Notes to Financial Statements September 30, 2012

Note 17 - Contingent Liabilities (Continued)

The City of Wyandotte Department of Municipal Services received a notice of violation several years ago from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) related to the electric power plant. During the prior year, the Department entered into a consent decree with the EPA related to this violation. Under the terms and conditions of the consent decree, the Department was required to pay a \$112,000 penalty and \$220,000 to purchase natural gas vehicles, which was also paid in the prior year. In addition, the Department is required to install additional controls at the power plant and perform a substantial supplemental environmental project which will achieve continuous compliance with emissions limits. The Department already completed numerous projects at the electric power plant to improve its compliance; however, it is estimated that the cost for the additional controls and project work will be between \$8 million and \$10 million if a baghouse is installed. During the current year, the Department ceased coal combustion in one of their boilers, began using natural gas in that boiler, and entered into negotiations with the EPA. As part of the negotiations, the Department has proposed that the EPA modify the consent decree to forego the installation of the baghouse if they continue to burn natural gas and no longer burn coal in that boiler. As of the date of this report, negotiations on this matter were pending.

The City has entered into various agreements to repay developers for Brownfield remediation and cleanup. The repayments will be funded by incremental tax captures and are contingent upon increasing Brownfield property tax values. The oustanding amount owed is approximately \$450,000 as of September 30, 2012.

Note 18 - Upcoming Accounting Pronouncements

In November 2010, the GASB issued Statement No. 61, *The Financial Reporting Entity Omnibus*. This pronouncement, which is an amendment to Statement No. 14 and Statement No. 34, modifies certain requirements for inclusion of component units in the financial reporting entity. This statement also amends the criteria for reporting component units as if they were part of the primary government (that is, blending) in certain circumstances. Lastly, the statement also clarifies the reporting of equity interests in legally separate organizations. The City is currently evaluating the impact this standard will have on the financial statements when adopted during the City's 2013 fiscal year or 2012-2013 fiscal year.

In December 2010, the GASB issued Statement No. 62, Codification of Accounting and Financial Reporting Guidance Contained in Pre-November 30, 1989 FASB and AICPA Pronouncements. This statement incorporates into GASB literature certain accounting and financial reporting guidance issued on or before November 30, 1989 that is included in FASB statements and interpretations, APB opinions, and accounting research bulletins of the AICPA Committee on Accounting Procedure. The City is currently evaluating the impact this standard will have on the financial statements when adopted during the City's 2012-2013 fiscal year.

Notes to Financial Statements September 30, 2012

Note 18 - Upcoming Accounting Pronouncements (Continued)

In June 2011, the GASB issued Statement No. 63, Financial Reporting of Deferred Outflows of Resources, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Net Position. The statement will be effective for the City's 2012-2013 fiscal year. The statement incorporates deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources, as defined by GASB Concepts Statement No. 4, into the definitions of the required components of the residual measure of net position, formerly net position. This statement also provides a new statement of net position format to report all assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, deferred inflows of resources, and net position. Once implemented, this statement will impact the format and reporting of the balance sheet at the government-wide level and also at the fund level.

In March 2012, the GASB issued GASB Statement No. 65, Items Previously Reported as Assets and Liabilities, which is required to be implemented for financial statements for periods beginning after December 15, 2012. Statement No. 65 establishes accounting and financial reporting standards that reclassify, as deferred outflows and inflows of resources, certain items that were previously reported as assets and liabilities. This statement also provides other financial reporting guidance related to the impact of the financial statement elements deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources. Statement No. 65 will be implemented for the City's 2013-2014 fiscal year.

In June 2012, the GASB issued two new pension standards, GASB Statement No. 67, Financial Reporting for Pension Plans, and No. 68, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions. These new standards significantly revise the current accounting and reporting for pensions, both from an employer perspective as well as from a plan perspective. Employers providing defined benefit pensions to its employees must now, under these new standards, recognize their unfunded pension benefit obligation as a liability for the first time, and to more comprehensively and comparably measure the annual costs of pension benefits. This net pension liability that will be recorded on the government-wide, proprietary, and discretely presented component units statements will be computed differently than the current unfunded actuarial accrued liability, using specific parameters set forth by the GASB. The statement also enhances accountability and transparency through revised and expanded note disclosures and required supplemental information (RSI). Statement No. 67 is required to be adopted as of September 30, 2014 and Statement No. 68 one year later.

Notes to Financial Statements September 30, 2012

Note 19 - Prior Period Adjustment

During the current year, the City recorded long-term liabilities related to properties purchased on an installment basis in the TIFA Consolidated and the TIFA Downtown component units. The properties were purchased in prior years and will be paid for over a period of years. As a result, the City recorded adjustments to reduce beginning net assets as follows:

	Net Assets						
	Se	ptember 30,	Pı	rior Period	(October I,	
		2011	A	djustment	2011		
					(a	as restated)	
TIFA Consolidated TIFA Downtown	\$	2,375,078 773,478	\$ 	353,582 217,024	\$	2,021,496 556,454	
Total	\$	3,148,556	\$	570,606	\$	2,577,950	



Required Supplemental Information Budgetary Comparison Schedule - General Fund Year Ended September 30, 2012

	_	Original Budget	_	Amended Budget	_	Actual		ariance with Amended Budget
Revenue								
Property taxes	\$	8,845,115	\$	9,870,169	\$	9,830,769	\$	(39,400)
Licenses and permits		424,650		428,400		435,507		7,107
Federal grants		3,925,795		4,602,369		3,414,317		(1,188,052)
State-shared revenue and grants		2,100,866		2,476,866		2,683,797		206,931
Charges for services		4,379,689		3,968,456		4,035,783		67,327
Fines and forfeitures		1,507,000		1,347,000		1,233,237		(113,763)
Investment income		150,000		30,000		26,714		(3,286)
Transfers out		(1,060,656)		(1,060,656)		(1,352,221)		(291,565)
Other revenue	_	2,546,400	_	2,592,910	_	2,453,072	_	(139,838)
Total revenue		22,818,859		24,255,514		22,760,975		(1,494,539)
Expenditures								
General government:								
Legislative		127,454		125,866		116,295		9,571
Judicial		981,168		971, 4 35		904,247		67,188
Financial services and administration		444,292		447,967		475,959		(27,992)
Information technology		115,892		115,024		115,367		(343)
Treasurer		130,893		130,557		124,055		6,502
Assessor		162,043		157,438		156,042		1,396
City commissions		29,545		29,545		21,170		8,375
Clerk		256,391		249,913		253,284		(3,371)
Elections		39,476		52,886		43,029		9,857
General government		1,293,000		1,340,372		1,309,501		30,871
Public safety:								
Police and civil defense		5,156,151		5,048,056		5,285,189		(237, 133)
Dispatch		722,714		215,173		165,222		49,951
Fire		3,199,615		3,109,299		3,189,908		(80,609)
Other public safety activities		-		30,532		28,992		Ì,540
Public works:								
Engineering and D.P.S.		1,066,513		1,028,740		1,008,288		20,452
Other public works activities		3,150,665		2,971,412		2,672,195		299,217
Community and economic development		3,508,223		3,502,152		1,341,698		2,160,454
Recreation and culture:								
Recreation		582,615		522,530		504,795		17,735
Youth assistance		44,287		43,221		43,043		178
Historical commission		143,499		149,898		138,921		10,977
Swimming pool		12,665		12,665		11,377		1,288
Yack Arena		376,143		394,113		395,479		(1,366)
Other functions		2,143,713		2,143,713	_	2,143,713		-
Total expenditures		23,686,957		22,792,507		20,447,769		2,344,738
Net Change in Fund Balance	\$	(868,098)	\$	1,463,007	\$	2,313,206	\$	850,199

Required Supplemental Information Pension System Schedule Year Ended September 30, 2012

The schedule of funding progress is as follows:

	Actuarial	Actuarial				UAAL as a
	Value of	Accrued	Unfunded	Funded Ratio	Covered	Percentage of
Actuarial	Assets*	Liability (AAL)	AAL (UAAL)	(Percent)	Payroll	Covered
Valuation Date	(a)	(b)	(b-a)	(a/b)	(c)	Payroll
9/30/06	\$ 62,339,944	\$ 81,536,737	\$ 19,196,793	76.5 %	\$ 9,828,031	195.3 %
9/30/07	66,089,831	81,426,795	15,336,964	81.2	9,885,835	155.1
9/30/08	63,311,358	79,817,705	16,506,347	79.3	8,539,612	193.3
9/30/09	62,495,960	80,852,846	18,356,886	77.3	8,288,276	221.5
9/30/10	61,667,711	81,579,669	19,911,958	75.6	7,683,934	259.1
9/30/11	59,628,852	82,314,913	22,686,061	72.4	7,428,795	305.4

^{*} Using market value excluding health benefit, special retirement, and endowment reserves

The schedule of employer contributions is as follows:

Fiscal Year Ended	Actuarial Valuation Date	Municipal Service ontribution	Contribution From Other Funds	Annual Required ontribution	Percentage Contributed
9/30/07	9/30/05	\$ 807,962	\$ 2,402,158	\$ 3,210,120	100.0%
9/30/08	9/30/06	756,613	2,506,589	3,263,202	100.0
9/30/09	9/30/07	494,056	2,023,603	2,862,580	88.0
9/30/10	9/30/08	649,458	1,938,927	3,063,175	84.5
9/30/11	9/30/09	626,722	2,359,865	3,221,084	92.7
9/30/12	9/30/10	743,625	1,896,375	3,557,542	74.2

The information presented above was determined as part of the actuarial valuations at the dates indicated. Additional information as of September 30, 2012, the latest actuarial valuation, follows:

Amortization cost method***	Entry age
Amortization method***	N/A
Remaining amortization period***	N/A
Asset valuation method	4-year smoothed market
Actuarial assumptions:	ŕ
Investment rate of return	7.5%
Projected salary increases	4.5% - 9.6%
Includes inflation at	4.5%

^{***} Effective with the September 30, 2003 valuation, the aggregate cost method was implemented for general and police/fire groups. The aggregate cost method was phased in over the next four years and was fully implemented beginning with the September 30, 2006 valuation. Note that the aggregate cost method does not create an actuarial accrued liability. As a result, the above schedules continue to show the entry age normal cost method liability.

Note to Required Supplemental Information Year Ended September 30, 2012

Note - Budgetary Information

Budgetary Information - Annual budgets are adopted on a basis consistent with generally accepted accounting principles for the General Fund and all special revenue funds, except that operating transfers and debt proceeds have been included in the "revenue" and "expenditures" categories, rather than as "other financing sources (uses)". All annual appropriations lapse at fiscal year end. The annual budget is prepared by the City management and adopted by the City Council; subsequent amendments are approved by the City Council.

The budget process is initiated in March, when the departments are given information and guidelines to assist them in formulating their budget requests. The department heads summarize departmental appropriation requests and submit them to the mayor on or before June I. During the month of June, the mayor reviews the appropriation requests, meets with the departments, and puts together the budget. The budget is submitted to the City Council in early July. During the next month, the City Council reviews the budget and considers any changes. After a public hearing, the final budget is adopted by resolution no later than September 30.

The budget document presents information by fund, function, department, and line items. The legal level of budgetary control adopted by the governing body is the department level.

Amounts encumbered for purchase orders, contracts, etc. are not tracked during the year. Budget appropriations are considered to be spent once the goods are delivered or the services rendered.

A reconciliation of the budgetary comparison schedules to the fund-based statement of changes in fund balance is as follows:

		Total	Other
	Total Revenue	Expenditures	Financing Uses
General Fund:			
Amounts per operating statement	\$ 22,176,525	\$ 18,511,098	\$ 1,352,221
Reimbursing transfers from other			
funds	1,936,671	1,936,671	-
Operating transfers	(1,352,221)		(1,352,221)
Amounts per budget			
statement	\$ 22,760,975	\$ 20,447,769	<u> </u>

Other Supplemental Information

			Sp	ecial Revenue Fu	ınds		
	Major Streets	Local Streets	Solid Waste Disposal	Drug Law Enforcement	Urban Development Action Grant	Grants	Southgate- Wyandotte Drain O&M
Assets							
Cash and investments Receivables - Net: Taxes	\$ 109,109	\$ 225,857	\$ 2,562,819 198,366	\$ 95,218	\$ 675,603 -	\$ 208,984	\$ 2,744,248 259,294
Special assessments Accrued interest Other	- - -	- - -	5 12,949	- - -	- I 171,596	- - -	- 6 -
Due from other governmental units Due from component units Due from other funds	267,704 - 162,042	109,782 - 199,489	- 7,764 112,978	- - -	60,000 - 87,144	31,201 - -	- 9,941 127,761
Land held for resale Advance to component unit	-				109,495 250,946		
Total assets	\$ 538,855	\$ 535,128	\$ 2,894,881	\$ 95,218	\$ 1,354,785	\$ 240,185	\$ 3,141,250
Liabilities and Fund Balances							
Liabilities							
Accounts payable Due to component units	\$ 28,516	\$ 74,362 -	\$ 273,087 -	\$ 392 -	\$ 17,500 299	\$ 39,078	\$ - 29,365
Due to other funds Advances from other funds Refundable deposits, bonds, etc.	109 - -	- - -	- -	- -	- -	141,702 - -	- - -
Deferred revenue	79,678	40,321	1,239,820		1,566	49,019	1,369,123
Total liabilities	108,303	114,683	1,512,907	392	19,365	229,799	1,398,488
Fund Balances Nonspendable:							
Real estate held for resale Long-term receivable Restricted:	-	-	-	-	- 250,946	-	-
Major and local street projects Police	430,552 -	420,445 -	-	- 94,826	-	-	-
Grants Rubbish Sidewalk and alley paving	-	-	1,381,974 -	-	1,084,474 - -	10,386	-
Drains Committed - Special events Assigned:	-	-	-	-	-	-	I,742,762 -
Debt service	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Capital projects		420.445	1 201 074		1 225 420	10.304	1 742 7/2
Total fund balances	430,552	420,445	1,381,974	94,826	1,335,420	10,386	1,742,762
Total liabilities and fund balances	\$ 538,855	\$ 535,128	\$ 2,894,881	\$ 95,218	\$ 1,354,785	\$ 240,185	\$ 3,141,250

Other Supplemental Information Combining Balance Sheet Nonmajor Governmental Funds September 30, 2012

Sp	ecial	Revenue Fu	ınds		D	ebt Service Fund				Capital Pro	ojects	s Funds		
Sidewalk and Alley Paving	_	Special Events		EPA poperative greement	E	PS Building Bonds and Police and ourt Bonds	Co	onstruction		eplacement	lm	Public provement	Building Authority Improvement	Total Nonmajor Governmental Funds
\$ 1,349,694	\$	151,497	\$	333,964	\$	-	\$	308,014	\$	402,052	\$	88,960	\$ 1,091,905	\$ 10,347,924
10,135 524,964		-		-		22,854 -		-		3,242		-	-	493,891 524,964
2 23,333 -		- - -		- - 9,054		- - -		- - -		- - -		- - -	- - -	14 207,878 477,741
16,370 - -		- - -		- - - 942,459		- 1,352,221 - -		- - -		290,000 - -		- - 44,237 -	- - -	17,705 2,348,005 153,732 1,193,405
\$ 1,924,498	\$	151,497	\$ I	,285,477	\$	1,375,075	\$	308,014	\$	695,294	\$	133,197	\$ 1,091,905	\$ 15,765,259
\$ 53,304	\$	34,646	\$	-	\$	138	\$	62,681	\$	30,413	\$	-	\$ 244,782	\$ 858,899
- - -		-		-		1,026,260 290,000 -		-		- - 435,845		- - -	418,371 -	1,055,924 850,182 435,845
544,076	_	-	_	9,054	_	22,854	_	-	_	3,242	_			3,358,753
597,380		34,646		9,054		1,339,252		62,681		469,500		20	663,153	6,559,623
		-		- 942,459		-		-		-		44,237 -	- -	44,237 1,193,405
- - -		- - -		- - 333,964		- - -		- - -		- - -		- - -	- - -	850,997 94,826 1,428,824
- 1,327,118 -		- - -		- - -		- - -		- - -		- - -		- - -	- - -	1,381,974 1,327,118 1,742,762
-		116,851		-		- 35,823		-		-		-	-	116,851 35,823
1,327,118	_	116,851	_	- 1,276,423	_	35,823	_	245,333	-	225,794	_	133,177	428,752 428,752	988,819
\$ 1,924,498	\$	151,497	\$ 1	,285,477	\$	1,375,075	<u>\$</u>	308,014	\$	695,294	\$	133,197	\$ 1,091,905	\$ 15,765,259

			Sp	ecial Revenue Fu	nds			
	Major Streets	Local Streets	Solid Waste Disposal	Drug Law Enforcement	Urban Development Action Grant	Grants	Southgate- Wyandotte Drain O&M	
Revenue								
Property taxes	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,301,170	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,392,626	
Federal grants	120,755	-	-	-	-	86,937	-	
State-shared revenue and grants	1,105,685	550,351	-	30,426	-	-	-	
Charges for services	-	-	320,565	-	-	-	-	
Investment income	-	120	1,462	195	24,817	-	581	
Contribution from component unit	80,654	191,933	-	-	-	-	-	
Other revenue:								
Special assessments	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Other miscellaneous income	72,717				49,629			
Total revenue	1,379,811	742,404	1,623,197	30,621	74,446	86,937	1,393,207	
Expenditures								
Current:								
General government	_	_	_	_	25.585	_	_	
Public safety	_	_	_	128,020	,	_	_	
Public works	780.680	658.137	1.707.784	-	_	_	929.182	
Community and economic	, 55,555	000,.07	.,, .,,				727,102	
development - Community								
redevelopment (CDBG, etc.)	_	_	_	_	_	41,458	_	
Recreation and culture	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	
Debt service	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Debt service								
Total expenditures	780,680	658,137	1,707,784	128,020	25,585	41,458	929,182	
Excess of Revenue Over (Under) Expenditures	599,131	84,267	(84,587)	(97,399)	48,861	45,479	464,025	
Other Financing Sources (Uses) Transfers in	-	276,421	-	-	-	-	-	
Transfers out	(276,421)							
Total other financing (uses) sources	(276,421)	276,421						
Net Change in Fund Balances	322,710	360,688	(84,587)	(97,399)	48,861	45,479	464,025	
Fund Balances (Deficit) - Beginning of year	107,842	59,757	1,466,561	192,225	1,286,559	(35,093)	1,278,737	
, , , , , ,	\$ 430,552	\$ 420,445	\$ 1,381,974	\$ 94,826	\$ 1,335,420	\$ 10,386	\$ 1,742,762	
Fund Balances - End of year	· /							

Other Supplemental Information Combining Statement of Revenue, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances Nonmajor Governmental Funds Year Ended September 30, 2012

Sp	ecial Revenue Fu	nds	Debt Service Fund		Capital Pr	ojects Fund		
Sidewalk and Alley Paving	EPA Special Cooperative Events Agreement		DPS Building Bonds and Police and Court Bonds	Construction	Equipment and Replacement	Public Improvement	Building Authority Improvement	Total Nonmajor Governmental Funds
\$ - - -	\$ - - - -	\$ - - -	\$ 6,157 - - -	\$ - - -	\$ 1,134 - - -	\$ - - -	\$ - - - -	\$ 2,701,087 207,692 1,686,462 320,565
17,855 -	-	36,768 -	-	124 -	61 -	118 -	1,074 -	83,175 272,587
253,716	252,404	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	-	17,820	<u>-</u>	253,716 392,570
271,571	252,404	36,768	6,157	124	1,195	17,938	1,074	5,917,854
100,000 - 404,109	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - 8,323	514,348 - -	639,933 128,020 4,488,215
- - -	- 233,394 -	- - -	- - 1,326,412	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	41,458 233,394 1,326,412
504,109	233,394		1,326,412			8,323	514,348	6,857,432
(232,538)	19,010	36,768	(1,320,255)	124	1,195	9,615	(513,274)	(939,578)
		<u>-</u>	1,352,221		-			1,628,642 (276,421)
			1,352,221					1,352,221
(232,538)	19,010	36,768	31,966	124	1,195	9,615	(513,274)	412,643
1,559,656	97,841	1,239,655	3,857	245,209	224,599	123,562	942,026	8,792,993
\$ 1,327,118	\$ 116,851	\$ 1,276,423	\$ 35,823	\$ 245,333	\$ 225,794	\$ 133,177	\$ 428,752	\$ 9,205,636

Other Supplemental Information Combining Statement of Net Assets Nonmajor Enterprise Funds September 30, 2012

	Golf Course		Building Rental		_	Total
Assets						
Current assets:						
Cash and investments	\$	45,094	\$	15,106	\$	60,200
Due from other funds		137		6,464		6,601
Prepaid expenses and other assets		-	_	266,194	_	266,194
Total current assets		45,231		287,764		332,995
Noncurrent assets - Capital assets:						
Assets not subject to depreciation		-		145,000		145,000
Assets subject to depreciation - Net		2,718,894		2,186,671	_	4,905,565
Total assets		2,764,125		2,619,435		5,383,560
Liabilities - Current liabilities						
Accounts payable		2,960		1,220		4,180
Due to component units		-		500		500
Accrued liabilities and other		26,066		31,572		57,638
Deferred revenue				36,634	_	36,634
Total liabilities		29,026	_	69,926		98,952
Net Assets						
Invested in capital assets		2,718,894		2,331,671		5,050,565
Unrestricted		16,205	_	217,838	_	234,043
Total net assets	\$	2,735,099	\$	2,549,509	\$	5,284,608

Other Supplemental Information Combining Statement of Revenue, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets Nonmajor Enterprise Funds Year Ended September 30, 2012

	Golf Course		Building Rental		_	Total
Operating Revenue Other sales to customers Other miscellaneous revenue	\$	337,719 -	\$	437,518 153,226	\$	775,237 153,226
Total operating revenue		337,719		590,744		928,463
Operating Expenses Other operating and maintenance costs Depreciation		267,894 106,268		445,938 94,760		713,832 201,028
Total operating expenses	_	374,162	_	540,698	_	914,860
Operating (Loss) Income		(36,443)		50,046		13,603
Nonoperating Revenue - Investment income	_		_	3	_	3
Change in Net Assets		(36,443)		50,049		13,606
Net Assets - Beginning of year	_	2,771,542	_	2,499,460	_	5,271,002
Net Assets - End of year	\$	2,735,099	\$	2,549,509	\$	5,284,608

Other Supplemental Information Combining Statement of Cash Flows Nonmajor Enterprise Funds Year Ended September 30, 2012

	_(Golf Course Building Rental		_	Total	
Cash Flows from Operating Activities Receipts from customers Payments to suppliers Payments to employees	\$	337,719 (169,699) (110,024)	\$	565,117 (531,886) -	\$	902,836 (701,585) (110,024)
Net cash provided by operating activities		57,996		33,231		91,227
Cash Flows from Capital and Related Financing Activities						
Purchase of capital assets		(18,093)		-		(18,093)
Repayment of loans from other funds	_			(20,000)	_	(20,000)
Net cash used in capital and related financing activities		(18,093)		(20,000)		(38,093)
Cash Flows from Investing Activities - Interest received on investments	_			3	_	3
Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents		39,903		13,234		53,137
Cash and Cash Equivalents - Beginning of year		5,191		1,872		7,063
Cash and Cash Equivalents - End of year	<u>\$</u>	45,094	<u>\$</u>	15,106	<u>\$</u>	60,200
Reconciliation of Operating (Loss) Income to Net Cash from Operating Activities						
Operating (loss) income Adjustments to reconcile operating (loss) income to net cash from operating activities:	\$	(36,443)	\$	50,046	\$	13,603
Depreciation and amortization Changes in assets and liabilities:		106,268		94,760		201,028
Receivables		_		(25,627)		(25,627)
Due from others		(116)		(6,464)		(6,580)
Prepaid and other assets		=		(102,714)		(102,714)
Accounts payable	_	(11,713)		23,230	_	11,517
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$	57,996	<u>\$</u>	33,231	\$	91,227

Other Supplemental Information Statement of Assets and Liabilities Fiduciary Funds September 30, 2012

	Pension Trust		Retiree Health Care		Total Pension Trust Funds	
Assets						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	9,458,168	\$	1,327,336	\$	10,785,504
Investments:						
Collateralized mortgage obligations		146,505		-		146,505
U.S. government securities		6,715,348		62,440		6,777,788
U.S. government agency obligations		7,458,147		36,619		7,494,766
Common trust funds/mutual funds		3,337,997		1,529,262		4,867,259
Stocks		9,560,598		269,773		9,830,371
Foreign stock ADR		6,382,744		-		6,382,744
Foreign government obligations		10,834,376		-		10,834,376
Bonds		9,648,065		171,244		9,819,309
Foreign corporate bonds		814,251		-		814,251
Receivables - Net - Accrued interest		424,422	_	80,039	_	504,461
Total assets		64,780,621		3,476,713		68,257,334
Liabilities						
Accounts payable		12,500		-		12,500
Due to primary government		-		1,488,039		1,488,039
Accrued liabilities and other		80,039	_		_	80,039
Total liabilities		92,539		1,488,039	_	1,580,578
Net Assets Held in Trust for Pension and Other Employee Benefits	\$	64,688,082	\$	1,988,674	<u>\$</u>	66,676,756

Other Supplemental Information Combining Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Assets Fiduciary Funds Year Ended September 30, 2012

	Pension Trust		Retiree Health Care			Total
Additions						
Investment income: Interest and dividends Net increase in fair value of investments Investment related expenses		2,097,418 4,293,113 (574,896)	\$	71,049 149,452 -	\$	2,168,467 4,442,565 (574,896)
Net investment income		5,815,635		220,501		6,036,136
Contributions: Employer Employee		2,640,000 72,448		3,185,453 <u>-</u>		5,825,453 72,448
Net contributions		2,712,448		3,185,453	_	5,897,901
Total additions		8,528,083		3,405,954		11,934,037
Deductions						
Benefit payments		5,744,638		-		5,744,638
Health benefits		-		3,466,195		3,466,195
Total deductions		5,744,638		3,466,195		9,210,833
Net Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets Held in Trust		2,783,445		(60,241)		2,723,204
Net Assets Held in Trust for Pension and Other Employee Benefits - Beginning of year		61,904,637		2,048,915	_	63,953,552
Net Assets Held in Trust for Pension and Other Employee Benefits - End of year		64,688,082	<u>\$</u>	1,988,674	\$	66,676,756

Federal Awards Supplemental Information September 30, 2012

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs

Independent Auditor's Report Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards 2-3 Report on Compliance with Requirements That Could Have a Direct and Material Effect on Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133 4-5 Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards 6 Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards 7

Contents

8-19

Plante & Moran, PLLC



27400 Northwestern Highway
P.O. Box 307
Southfield, MI 48037-0307
Tel: 248.352.2500
Fax: 248.352.0018
plantemoran.com

Independent Auditor's Report

To the City Council
City of Wyandotte, Michigan

We have audited the basic financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Wyandotte, Michigan (the "City") as of and for the year ended September 30, 2012, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated March 25, 2013, which contained unqualified opinions on those basic financial statements. These basic financial statements are the responsibility of the City's management. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these basic financial statements based on our audit. We have not performed any procedures with respect to the audited basic financial statements subsequent to March 25, 2013.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the basic financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City of Wyandotte, Michigan's basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for the purpose of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Plante & Moran, PLLC



Plante & Moran, PLLC



27400 Northwestern Highway P.O. Box 307 Southfield, MI 48037-0307 Tel: 248,352,2500 Fax: 248,352,0018

plantemoran.com

Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

Independent Auditor's Report

To the City Council
City of Wyandotte, Michigan

We have audited the basic financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Wyandotte, Michigan (the "City") as of and for the year ended September 30, 2012, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated March 25, 2013. We have conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The management of the City of Wyandotte, Michigan is responsible for establishing and maintaining an effective internal control over financial reporting. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the City of Wyandotte, Michigan's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses and therefore, there can be no assurance that all deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses have been identified. However, as described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses.



To the City Council City of Wyandotte, Michigan

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's financial statements will not be prevented or detected and corrected on a timely basis. We consider the deficiencies described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as Findings 2012-01 through 2012-08 to be material weaknesses.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City of Wyandotte, Michigan's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

We also noted certain matters that we have reported to management of the City of Wyandotte, Michigan in a separate letter dated March 25, 2013.

The City of Wyandotte, Michigan's responses to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. We did not audit the City of Wyandotte, Michigan's responses and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the City Council, others within the City, federal awarding agencies, and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Plante & Moran, PLLC

March 25, 2013



27400 Northwestern Highway P.O. Box 307 Southfield, MI 48037-0307 Tel: 248.352.2500 Fax: 248.352.0018 plantemoran.com

Report on Compliance with Requirements That Could Have a
Direct and Material Effect on Each Major Program and on
Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133

Independent Auditor's Report

To the City Council City of Wyandotte, Michigan

Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Wyandotte, Michigan (the "City") with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended September 30, 2012. The major federal programs of the City of Wyandotte, Michigan are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of the City of Wyandotte, Michigan's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City of Wyandotte, Michigan's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City of Wyandotte, Michigan's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the City of Wyandotte, Michigan's compliance with those requirements.

As described in Finding 2012-09 in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs, the City of Wyandotte, Michigan did not comply with requirements regarding allowable costs that are applicable to its CFDA No. 81.128 - EECBG program. Compliance with such requirements is necessary, in our opinion, for the City of Wyandotte, Michigan to comply with the requirements applicable to that program.

In our opinion, except for the noncompliance described in the preceding paragraph, the City of Wyandotte, Michigan complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended September 30, 2012.



To the City Council City of Wyandotte, Michigan

Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of the City of Wyandotte, Michigan is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the City of Wyandotte, Michigan's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses and therefore, there can be no assurance that all deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses have been identified. However, as discussed below, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect and correct noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented or detected and corrected on a timely basis. We consider the deficiencies in internal control over compliance described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as Findings 2012-09 and 2012-10 to be material weaknesses.

The City of Wyandotte, Michigan's responses to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. We did not audit the City of Wyandotte, Michigan's responses and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the City Council, others within the City, federal awarding agencies, and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Plante & Morse, PLLC

March 25, 2013

of Federal Awards

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Year Ended September 30, 2012

Fadaval Associations of the second Association with a second state of the second state	CFDA	Pass-through Entity Identifying	Federal
Federal Agency/Pass-through Agency/rogram Title	Number	Number	Expenditures
U.S. Department of Agriculture - Emergency Food Assistance Cluster - Passed through Wayne Metropolitan Community Services Agency - Emergency Food Assistance Program - USDA Food Distribution	10.569	N/A	\$ 34,046
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development: CDBG Entitlement Grants Cluster: Passed through Wayne County, Michigan - Community Development Block Grant	14.218	Various	168,325
Passed through State of Michigan - Neighborhood Stabilization Program - I	14.218	Various	2,575
Total CDBG Entitlement Grants Cluster			170,900
Passed through State of Michigan - ARRA Neighborhood Stabilization Program - 2	14.256	NS2-2009-1352	2,885,159
Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			3,056,059
U.S. Department of Justice - JAG Program Cluster - Passed through State of Michigan - Edward Byrne Memorial Formula Grant Program	16.738	N/A	30,000
U.S. Department of Transportation - Highway Safety Cluster - Passed through Michigan Office of Highway Safety Planning - Passed through Grosse Ile Police Department - Enforcing Underage Drinking Laws Program	20.601	A1-07-07	10,856
U.S. Department of Homeland Security - Homeland Security Cluster - Passed through Wayne County - Homeland Security Grant Program (Operation Stonegarden)	97.067	N/A	30,646
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency: Brownfields Assessment and Cleanup Cooperative Agreements	66.818	N/A	3,838
Passed through Downriver Community Conference - ARRA - Brownfields Assessment and Cleanup Cooperative ARRA-EPA Brownfield Petro	66.818	N/A	53,806
Total U.S. Environmental Protection Agency			57,644
U.S. Department of Energy - ARRA - Energy Efficiency Conservation Block Grant	81.128	DE-EE0003811	1,536,377
Total federal awards			\$ 4,755,628
See Notes to Schedule of Expenditures			

6

Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Year Ended September 30, 2012

Note I - Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards (the "Schedule") includes the federal grant activity of the City of Wyandotte, Michigan under programs of the federal government for the year ended September 30, 2012. Expenditures reported on the Schedule are reported on the same basis of accounting as the basic financial statements, although the basis for determining when federal awards are expended is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations. In addition, expenditures reported on the Schedule are recognized following the cost principles contained in OMB Circular A-87, Cost Principles of Non-Profit Organizations, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement. Therefore, some amounts presented in this Schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the basic financial statements.

Because the Schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the City of Wyandotte, Michigan, it is not intended to, and does not, present the financial position, changes in net assets, or cash flows, if applicable, of the City of Wyandotte, Michigan. Pass-through entity identifying numbers are presented where available.

Note 2 - Noncash Assistance

The value of the noncash assistance received was determined in accordance with the provisions of OMB Circular A-133.

Summary of Noncash Assistance - The grantee received the following noncash assistance during the year ended September 30, 2012 that is included on the schedule of expenditures of federal awards:

	CFDA			
Federal Program	Number	Description	 Α	mount
U.S Department of Agriculture - Passed through Wayne Metropolitan Community		USDA Food		
Services Agency	10.569	Distribution	\$ 5	34,046

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2012

Section I - Summary of Auditor's Results

Financial Statement	ts						
Type of auditor's repo	ort issued: Unqualified						
Internal control over f	inancial reporting:						
 Material weaknes 	terial weakness(es) identified? X Yes No						
	ncy(ies) identified that are to be material weaknesses?		_ Yes	X	None reported		
Noncompliance mater statements noted?	rial to financial		_ Yes	X	No		
Federal Awards							
Internal control over r	major programs:						
 Material weaknes 	s(es) identified?	X	_ Yes		No		
	ncy(ies) identified that are to be material weaknesses?		_ Yes	X	None reported		
	ort issued on compliance for ept for CFDA No. 81.128, E		_				
Any audit findings disc to be reported in a Section 510(a) of 0		X	_Yes		No		
Identification of major	programs:						
CFDA Numbers	Name of F	ederal P	rogram	or Clu	ster		
81.128 14.256	6/ /						
Dollar threshold used	to distinguish between type A	and typ	e B pro	ograms:	\$300,000		
Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? Yes X No							

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued) Year Ended September 30, 2012

Section II - Financial Statement Audit Findings

Reference Number	Finding							
2012-01	Finding Type - Material weakness							
	Criteria - Property tax levies must have statutory or constitutional authority.							
	Condition - The City of Wyandotte levies property taxes to support drain operations and maintenance costs, but has been unable to identify the statutory or constitutional provisions that authorize the levy of this millage.							
	Context - The levy resulted in property tax revenue of approximately \$1.4 million for the year ended September 30, 2012. There are legal complexities related to the determination of whether the millage has proper authorization.							
	Cause - The City does not have a process in place to ensure property taxes being levied fall within criteria that it has statutory or constitutional authority.							
	Effect - If it is determined that the City did not have authority to levy the millage, the City may have a liability related to the revenue received.							
	Recommendation - We encourage the City to seek resolution related to the authorization of this levy.							
	Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions - The City will review its process for establishing the authority to levy property taxes. In the case of the specific levy in question, the City will seek legal guidance related to the authorization of this levy.							
Reference Number	Finding							
2012-02	Finding Type - Material weakness							
	Criteria - Management should record all year-end closing entries in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) prior to the start of the audit.							
	Condition - Auditor-proposed adjusting journal entries were required in order to prepare the City's financial statements in accordance with GAAP. In addition, auditor proposed passed audit adjustments were also identified.							
	Context - These journal entries related to adjusting equity interest in joint ventures, adjusting the other postemployment benefit liability, and recording a prior period adjusment for debt incurred in a previous year. The passed audit adjustments related to recording additional accounts payable and a liability for							

delinquent tax revolving fund chargebacks.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued) Year Ended September 30, 2012

Section II - Financial Statement Audit Findings (Continued)

Reference Number	Finding
2012-02 (Continued)	Cause - The City did not have a system in place to record all journal entries or to identify liabilities related to property and land purchased on an installment basis.
	Effect - Auditor-proposed entries were recorded.
	Recommendation - The City should implement procedures to ensure that all appropriate journal entries are made prior to the start of the audit and to identify outstanding debt relating to installment purchase agreements for property and land.
	Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions - The City will implement procedures to minimize auditor-proposed journal entries.
Reference Number	Finding
2012-03	Finding Type - Material weakness
	Criteria - Bank reconciliations should be prepared timely and accurately for all accounts to ensure potential errors and/or irregularities are identified promptly. Additionally, unreconciled differences should be rectified and recorded properly in the system. Finally, bank reconciliations should be subject to an independent review by an individual other than the preparer.
	Condition - There were reconciling issues related to the City of Wyandotte, Michigan Department of Municipal Service's (the "Department") primary cash account that were not corrected in the initial financial records. Additionally, bank reconciliations are not reviewed by someone other than the preparer.
	Context - During our testing of the Department's primary operating bank account at year end, we noted a classification misstatement of approximately \$1.2 million related to cash deposits that were made into the lock box prior to the end of the year that were not posted in the system as of September 30, 2012. There was also a reconciling issue in the amount of \$7,816 related to the Department's primary cash account reconciliation for the month of July 2012 that was not fully corrected. Additionally, bank reconciliations are not reviewed by someone other than the preparer.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued) Year Ended September 30, 2012

Section II - Financial Statement Audit Findings (Continued)

Reference Number	Finding
2012-03 (Continued)	Cause - The Department did not have a system in place to ensure that reconciling items related to cash activity were fully recorded and/or corrected prior to the start of our audit. Additionally, there was not a process in place to have someone other than the preparer review bank reconciliations.
	Effect - The deficiency at year end caused cash to be understated and accounts receivable to be overstated as reported in the preliminary general ledger. Reconciling issues not fully reconciled during the year could cause cash and accounts receivable or revenue accounts to be misstated by those amounts.
	Recommendation - We encourage the Department to implement procedures to address matters impacting cash on a timelier basis and to ensure that reconciling items affecting cash accounts are fully corrected. Additionally, consistent with best practices, we suggest that bank reconciliations be reviewed by someone other than the preparer in order to further strengthen controls over the bank reconciliation process.
	Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions - The Department will continue to focus on implementing procedures to ensure that matters affecting cash are addressed on a timelier basis and ensure that the bank reconciliations are reviewed by someone other than the preparer going forward.
Reference Number	Finding
2012-04	Finding Type - Material weakness
	Criteria - The City of Wyandotte, Michigan Department of Municipal Service's management should have a system in place to detect and prevent errors in the billing system prior to bills being issued to customers.
	Condition - During the year under audit, the Department erroneously billed a large water customer due to a meter system error and it was not discovered before the bill was issued. The error was identified by the customer and ultimately corrected.

Context - The billing error was in excess of \$1 million.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued) Year Ended September 30, 2012

Section II - Financial Statement Audit Findings (Continued)

Reference Number	Finding
2012-04	
(Continued)	Cause - The Department did not have adequate systems in place to detect and prevent errors in the billing system prior to bills being issued to customers.
	Effect - The Department erroneously billed a large water customer in excess of \$1 million due to a meter system error that was not discovered before the bill was issued. The error was identified by the customer and ultimately corrected.
	Recommendation - We encourage the Department to ensure that the appropriate personnel review the exception reports during each billing period to identify any outliers in the billings.
	Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions - The Department will continue to focus on implementing procedures for detection and prevention of errors in the billing system to ensure that adequate measures are in place to safeguard against billing errors.
Reference Number	Finding
2012-05	Finding Type - Material weakness
	Criteria - The City of Wyandotte, Michigan Department of Municipal Service's management is responsible for calculating and properly recording the Department's sick and vacation accrual at year end in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP).
	Condition - The Department did not properly calculate and record the sick and vacation accrual at year end.
	Context - The system for tracking and recording sick and vacation activity during the year is operating effectively. The calculation errors identified during our audit procedures relate to year end only; however, this is a recurring item from the prior year audit.
	Cause - The Department does not have adequate systems in place to ensure that sick and vacation accruals are properly calculated and recorded at year end. Additionally, this calculation is not reviewed by someone other than the preparer.

Reference

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued) Year Ended September 30, 2012

Section II - Financial Statement Audit Findings (Continued)

Number	Finding
2012-05 (Continued)	Effect - The deficiency at year end resulted in various adjustments to the balances recorded in the preliminary financial records. The adjustments reduced the recorded amounts which were initially overstated.
	Recommendation - We encourage the Department to implement a review process, whereby somebody other than the preparer reviews and approves the calculation prior to the start of the audit.
	Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions - The Department will continue to focus on making sure that the calculations are complete and accurate prior to the beginning of the audit.
Reference	
Number	Finding Finding
2012-06	Finding Type - Material weakness
	Criteria - The City of Wyandotte, Michigan Department of Municipal Service's management is responsible for tracking and properly recording inventory on hand in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP).
	Condition - The Department's inventory tracking system for coal is not operating adequately. Additionally, the Department does not have a system in place to track and report other inventory items at the power plant. Lastly, in conjunction with the audit, several adjustments were made to properly report inventory amounts in the general ledger in accordance with the physical inventory on hand at year end.
	Context - Compliance coal inventory was reduced in May by 5,744 tons (approximately \$530,000) and by another 80 tons (approximately \$7,400) as of September 30, 2012 in order to adjust the general ledger system to agree to physical inventory as measured by the fly-over survey. Mid-sulfur coal was also adjusted in May to increase that inventory by 2,782 tons (approximately \$166,000) and then reduced by 1,113 tons (approximately \$66,000) as of September 30, 2012. These adjustments have been required as a result of the Department's inventory usage measurement system for coal not operating adequately. Additionally, all of these conditions, including the items omitted from inventory at the power plant, have caused the Department's books and

records related to these items to be unreliable.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued) Year Ended September 30, 2012

Section II - Financial Statement Audit Findings (Continued)

Reference	
Number	Finding
2012-06 (Continued)	Cause - The Department does not have adequate systems in place to ensure that coal inventory and other (noncoal) inventory items are tracked properly and reconciled to the general ledger.
	Effect - Not having an adequate mechanism for measuring usage of inventory significantly heightens the risk for misappropriation of assets. Not having an adequate mechanism for tracking noncoal inventory significantly heightens the risk for misappropriation of assets. Additionally, these issues have caused the Department's books and records related to these items to be unreliable.
	Recommendation - We recommend that the Department review its method of accounting for coal monthly, and continued to obtain a mid-year flyover and year-end flyover (as close to March 31, 2013 and September 30, 2013 as possible). We also encourage the Department to implement processes and controls for tracking other inventory items at the power plant and for properly recording general warehouse inventory in the general ledger.
	Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions - The Department is in the process of reorganizing financial reporting roles, measurement methods, and evaluating equipment for measuring coal usage. The Department will ensure that a monthly review of the coal usage measurement takes place and that proper processes and procedures are put in place to track other inventory items and properly reconcile and record those items in the general ledger.
Reference Number	Finding
2012-07	Finding Type - Material weakness
	Criteria - The City of Wyandotte, Michigan Department of Municipal Service's management is responsible for identifying and properly recording fixed assets in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP).
	Condition - During the audit, we again noted that the process of recording fixed asset inventory into the fixed asset module continues to be a challenge due to the issues with the Department's fixed asset system. Additionally, we noted that this information is not reviewed on a periodic basis (throughout the

year).

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued) Year Ended September 30, 2012

Section II - Financial Statement Audit Findings (Continued)

Reference Number	Finding
2012-07 (Continued)	Context - This impacts the capital asset and depreciation expense accounts in all three funds.
	Cause - The Department did not have adequate controls in place to ensure that these items were reconciled to the general ledger.
	Effect - As in previous year, the reports generated from the system were not easily reconciled to the general ledger.
	Recommendation - We encourage the Department to review this information on a periodic basis and to make adjustments to the fixed asset module if appropriate.
	Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions - The Department is in the process of reorganizing financial reporting roles, measurement methods, and evaluating equipment for measuring coal usage. The Department will ensure that a monthly review of the coal usage measurement takes place and that proper processes and procedures are put in place to track other inventory items and properly reconcile and record those items in the general ledger.
Reference Number	Finding
2012-08	Finding Type - Material weakness
	Criteria - The accounting data that is included in the City of Wyandotte, Michigan Department of Municipal Service's annual financial statements should not include errors of any consequence. Any errors that occur during the year should be detected and corrected by the Department. Additionally, the Department should have processes in place to regularly reconcile the general ledger accounts for miscellaneous receivables and miscellaneous accruals to the sub-ledger or other records maintained for this activity.

misstatements within the Department's accounting records.

Condition - During the course of our audit, we identified various errors and

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued) Year Ended September 30, 2012

Section II - Financial Statement Audit Findings (Continued)

Reference	
Number	Finding

2012-08

(Continued)

Context - Various journal entries were recommended during the course of our audit of the Department of Municipal Service (in additional to Findings 2012-01, 2012-3, and 2012-04 above, which specifically related to the Department) in order to ensure the financial statement presentation was in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. The additional entries impacted several areas including accounts receivable, customer revenue, miscellaneous revenue, customer deposits, accrued liabilities, and interfund activity. As part of the audit process, there were also other adjustments made by the Department.

Cause - The Department did not have adequate procedures and controls in place to ensure that all appropriate journal entries were made prior to the start of the audit.

Effect - There were several misstatements in the Department's initial books and records that were corrected as part of the audit process.

Recommendation - The Department should implement procedures and controls to ensure that all appropriate journal entries are made prior to the start of the audit.

Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions - The Department is in the process of reorganizing financial reporting roles. The Department will make its best effort to ensure that a proper year-end close occurs and related journal entries are performed timely going forward prior to the beginning of the audit.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued) Year Ended September 30, 2012

Section III - Federal Program Audit Findings

Reference Number	Finding
2012-09	Program Name - ARRA - Energy Efficiency Conservation Block Grant CFDA No. 81.128
	Pass-through Entity - U.S. Department of Energy
	Finding Type - Material weakness and material noncompliance with laws and regulations
	Criteria - Grant regulations contained in OMB Circular A-87. Cost Principles

Criteria - Grant regulations contained in OMB Circular A-87, Cost Principles for State, Local, and Indian Tribal Governments, require that costs charged to grants be adequately documented and not be included as a cost charged to another grant program.

Condition - Payroll and fringe benefits in excess of actual time worked were charged to the grant program. In addition, timesheets were not used to support hours charged to the grant program

Questioned Costs - \$88,300

Context - Total questioned costs are \$88,300. A total of \$48,640 in payroll and fringe benefits was charged in excess of actual time worked. A total of \$39,660 in payroll and fringe benefits was not supported by timesheets or other evidence to support hours charged to the grant.

Cause and Effect - Hours worked by a City of Wyandotte, Department of Municipal Service employee were charged 100 percent to the EECBG grant program while a portion of the individual's time worked was also being charged to another non-federal grant program. The situation occurred because this employee originally worked full-time on the EECBG program. Other grants were subsequently awarded and the City did not reduce the hours charged to the EECBG program for the time this individual spent administering the other grant programs. As a result, the EECBG grant was overcharged \$48,640 for personnel time that was incurred for other nonfederal grant programs.

In addition, there were five other Department of Municipal Services employees that were charged to the EECBG grant for a portion of their time worked. There were no timesheets or other documentation provided to support the hours charged to the grant for these individuals. As a result, the \$39,660 in payroll and fringe benefits charged for their time is not supported.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued) Year Ended September 30, 2012

Section III - Federal Program Audit Findings (Continued)

Reference Number	Finding
2012-09 (Continued)	Recommendation - The Department should put a timesheet system in place to allocate total time worked to the various grant projects and/or activities that employees work on to ensure payroll and fringe benefits charged to grant programs are acurately calculated and adequately supported. Timesheets should be signed by the employees or contain evidence of approval and should also include documentation of appropriate review and approval.
	Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions - The Department will develop a timesheet system to acurately document personnel time charged to grants.
Reference Number	Finding
2012-10	Program Name - ARRA - Neighborhood Stabilization Program 2 CFDA No. 14.256
	Pass-through Entity - U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, passed through Michigan State Housing Development Authority
	Finding Type - Material weakness
	Criteria - A complete and accurate schedule of expenditures of federal awards (SEFA) is required in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 Section 205.
	Condition - The SEFA provided for audit was misstated for the Neighborhood Stabilization Program 2. An auditor-proposed adjustment to the SEFA was necessary to report the actual amount.
	Questioned Costs - Not applicable
	Context - The SEFA provided by the City contained \$2,402,868 in expenditures for the Neighborhood Stabilization 2 Grant Program. The actual amount of expenditures was \$2,885,159.
	Cause and Effect - The City did not have a procedure in place to ensure the amount reported on the SEFA was accurate. Therefore, the SEFA required changes in order to be compliant with federal regulations.

to ensure a complete and accurate SEFA is provided for audit.

Recommendation - We recommend that the City put a procedure in place

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued) Year Ended September 30, 2012

Section III - Federal Program Audit Findings (Continued)

Reference Number	Finding
2012-10 (Continued)	Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions - The City will put additional procedures in place to ensure a complete and accurate SEFA is provided in the future

OFFICIALS

William R. Griggs CITY CLERK

Andrew A. Swiecki CITY TREASURER

Colleen A. Keehn CITY ASSESSOR



MAYOR

COUNCIL Todd M. Browning

Joseph R. Peterson

James R. Desana

Leonard T. Sabuda

Lawrence S. Stec

Sheri M. Sutherby-Fricke Daniel E. Galeski

TODD A. DRYSDALE, C.P.A. CITY ADMINISTRATOR

March 26, 2013

City of Wyandotte, Michigan Corrective Action Plan for Fiscal Year ended September 30, 2012

Reference Number: 2012-09

Description: Payroll and fringe benefits in excess of actual time worked were charged to the ARRA - Energy Efficiency Conservation Block Grant CFDA No. 81.128 grant program. In addition, timesheets were not used to support hours charged to the grant program. Total questioned costs are \$88,300. A total of \$48,640 in payroll and fringe benefits was charged in excess of actual time worked. A total of \$39,660 in payroll and fringe benefits was not supported by timesheets or other evidence to support hours charged to the grant.

Corrective Action: The Department will develop a timesheet system to accurately document personnel time charged to grants. In addition, the City will correct the \$48,640 overcharge in the next request for reimbursement.

Reference Number: 2012-10

Description: The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards provided for audit was misstated for the ARRA - Neighborhood Stabilization Program 2 CFDA No. 14,256. provided by the City contained \$2,402,868 in expenditures for the Neighborhood Stabilization 2 Grant Program. The actual amount of expenditures was \$2,885,159. An auditor-proposed adjustment to the SEFA was necessary to report the actual amount.

Corrective Action: The City will put additional procedures in place to ensure a complete and accurate SEFA is provided in the future.

andotte, Michigan





27400 Northwestern Highway P.O. Box 307 Southfield, MI 48037-0307 Tel: 248.352.2500 Fax: 248.352.0018 plantemoran.com

March 25, 2013

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Wyandotte, Michigan

We have audited the financial statements of the City of Wyandotte, Michigan (the "City") as of and for the year ended September 30, 2012 and have issued our report thereon dated March 25, 2013. Professional standards require that we provide you with the following information related to our audit which is divided into the following sections:

Section I - Communications Required Under SAS 114

Section II - Other Recommendations

Section III - Legislative and Informational Items

Section I includes information that current auditing standards require independent auditors to communicate to those individuals charged with governance. We will report this information annually to the mayor and City Council members.

Section II presents recommendations related to internal control and procedures noted during our current year audit. These comments are offered in the interest of helping the City in its efforts toward continuous improvement, not just in the areas of internal control and accounting procedures, but also in operational or administrative efficiency and effectiveness.

Section III contains updated legislative and informational items that we believe will be of interest to you.

In addition to the comments and recommendations in this letter, our observations and comments regarding the City of Wyandotte's internal control, including any significant deficiencies or material weaknesses that we identified, have been reported to you in the report on internal control over financial reporting and on compliance and other matters based on an audit of financial statements performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*. This report is included in the supplemental schedule of federal awards, and we recommend that the matters we have noted there receive your careful consideration.

We would like to take this opportunity to thank the City's staff, especially Todd Drysdale, Bob Szczechowski, and the Finance and Engineering Departments, for the cooperation and courtesy extended to us during our audit. Their assistance and professionalism are invaluable.

This report is intended solely for the use of the mayor and members of the City Council and management of the City of Wyandotte and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.



We welcome any questions you may have regarding the following communications and we would be willing to discuss any of these or other questions that you might have at your convenience.

Very truly yours,

Plante & Moran, PLLC

Beth Bialy

Beth A. Bialy

Gristin L. Dunt

Kristin L. Hunt

Section I - Communications Required Under SAS 114

Our Responsibility Under U.S. Generally Accepted Auditing Standards

As stated in our engagement letter dated January 7, 2013, our responsibility, as described by professional standards, is to express an opinion about whether the financial statements prepared by management with your oversight are fairly presented, in all material respects, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Our audit of the financial statements does not relieve you or management of your responsibilities. Our responsibility is to plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

As part of our audit, we considered the internal control of the City of Wyandotte (the "City"). Such considerations were solely for the purpose of determining our audit procedures and not to provide any assurance concerning such internal control.

We are responsible for communicating significant matters related to the audit that are, in our professional judgment, relevant to your responsibilities in overseeing the financial reporting process. However, we are not required to design procedures specifically to identify such matters.

Our audit of the City's financial statements has also been conducted in accordance with Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Under Government Auditing Standards, we have made some assessments of the City's compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements. While those assessments are not sufficient to identify all noncompliance with applicable laws, regulations, and contract provisions, we are required to communicate all noncompliance conditions that come to our attention. We have communicated those conditions in a separate letter dated March 25, 2013 regarding our consideration of the City's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements.

We are also obligated to communicate certain matters related to our audit to those responsible for the governance of the City, including certain instances of error or fraud and significant deficiencies in internal control that we identify during our audit. In certain situations, *Government Auditing Standards* require disclosure of illegal acts to applicable government agencies. If such illegal acts were detected during our audit, we would be required to make disclosures regarding these acts to applicable government agencies. No such disclosures were required.

Planned Scope and Timing of the Audit

We performed the audit according to the planned scope and timing previously communicated to you in our meeting about planning matters on January 7, 2013.

Significant Audit Findings

Qualitative Aspects of Accounting Practices

Management is responsible for the selection and use of appropriate accounting policies. In accordance with the terms of our engagement letter, we will advise management about the appropriateness of accounting policies and their application. The significant accounting policies used by the City are described in Note I to the financial statements.

No new accounting policies were adopted and the application of existing policies was not changed during the year ended September 30, 2012.

We noted no transactions entered into by the government during the year for which there is a lack of authoritative guidance or consensus.

There are no significant transactions that have been recognized in the financial statements in a different period than when the transaction occurred. The City did make a prior period adjustment to reflect outstanding liabilities related to component unit installment purchase obligations outstanding at the beginning of the year.

Accounting estimates are an integral part of the financial statements prepared by management and are based on management's knowledge and experience about past and current events and assumptions about future events. Certain accounting estimates are particularly sensitive because of their significance to the financial statements and because of the possibility that future events affecting them may differ significantly from those expected. The most sensitive estimates affecting the financial statements relate to the following:

- Land and property market value adjustments that are integral to the City's Public Improvement Fund and various component units - UDAG, TIFA consolidated, and the TIFA-DDA funds
- Land acquired through the Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) and held for resale in the General Fund
- Estimates related to future costs of retiree health care
- Estimates related to property tax appeals and potential County chargebacks

Management's estimate of the land inventory in the Public Improvement Fund and component units is based on square foot market values established by the City Council based on available real estate market trends. Land held for resale in the General Fund is related to properties acquired and rehabilitated under the Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP). The value of NSP land held for resale is based on estimated current market value. The estimated cost of retiree health care was based upon actuarial valuation. The estimates related to property tax appeals were based on information provided and analyzed by the city assessor, including historical trends and current market conditions. The estimate of potential county chargebacks was computed by the City based on applying a noncollectible percentage estimate to the outstanding balances in the Wayne County Delinquent Tax Revolving Fund. We evaluated the key factors and assumptions used to develop the various estimates in determining that they are reasonable in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

The disclosures in the financial statements are neutral, consistent, and clear. Certain financial statement disclosures are particularly sensitive because of their significance to financial statement users. The most sensitive disclosures affecting the financial statements were Note 3 - Deposits and Investments, Note 8 - Capital Assets, Note 10 - Long-term Debt, Notes 13 and 14 - Retirement Systems, and Note 16 - Other Postemployment Benefits.

Difficulties Encountered in Performing the Audit

We encountered no significant difficulties in dealing with management in performing and completing our audit.

Corrected and Uncorrected Misstatements

Professional standards require us to accumulate all known and likely misstatements identified during the audit, other than those that are trivial, and communicate them to the appropriate level of management. The attached schedules summarize uncorrected misstatements of the financial statements. Management has determined that their effects are immaterial, both individually and in the aggregate, to the financial statements taken as a whole. The following misstatements detected as a result of audit procedures were corrected by management: adjusting equity interests in joint ventures, adjusting the other postemployment benefit liability, and a prior period adjustment related to recording liabilities for installment purchases for property that were incurred in prior years.

Disagreements with Management

For the purpose of this letter, professional standards define a disagreement with management as a financial accounting, reporting, or auditing matter, whether or not resolved to our satisfaction, that could be significant to the financial statements or the auditor's report. We are pleased to report that no such disagreements arose during the course of our audit.

Management Representations

We have requested certain representations from management that are included in the management representation letter dated March 25, 2013.

Management Consultations with Other Independent Accountants

In some cases, management may decide to consult with other accountants about auditing and accounting matters, similar to obtaining a "second opinion" on certain situations. If a consultation involves application of an accounting principle to the City's financial statements or a determination of the type of auditor's opinion that may be expressed on those statements, our professional standards require the consulting accountant to check with us to determine that the consultant has all the relevant facts. To our knowledge, there were no such consultations with other accountants.

Section II - Other Recommendations

During our audit, we noted areas where we believe there are opportunities for the City to further strengthen internal control or to increase operating efficiencies. Our observations on those areas are presented for your consideration below.

Administrative Chargebacks and Allocated Costs

The City recognizes that the General Fund provides administrative and overhead support services to the other funds and component units. The City has been prudent about charging a portion of costs back to the funds and component units for their proportionate share. These chargebacks have remained substantially unchanged over the past several years. Given the rising cost of providing these services, particularly relating to pension and healthcare costs, the City may want to reevaluate administrative chargebacks to determine if an increase is justifiable. The goal is to ensure that the other funds are paying their fair share and to not have the General Fund bear an unreasonable burden related to providing services to other funds.

In addition, the City allocates supervisory and engineering personnel costs to the Major and Local Street Funds based on estimates. The Major and Local Street Funds are funded primarily with Michigan Public Act 51 revenue which is derived from gasoline taxes that are distributed by the State. Act 51 requires that costs borne by Act 51 revenue be charged at actual rather than estimated amounts.

Defined Benefit Pension Plan - Annual Required Contribution

The Annual Required Contributions (ARC) for the Employees' Retirement System continues to increase significantly. The ARC increased by approximately \$200,000 in fiscal years 2010 and 2011. In the current fiscal year, ARC was \$3.5 million, a \$300,000 increase from the previous year. The annual contribution for 2013 will exceed \$4 million, which is approximately \$1 million more than the annual required contribution just three years ago. Of the \$4 million annual ARC for 2013, approximately \$3.1 million is the City's portion and \$900,000 is the Department of Municipal Services' portion. According to the actuary, the system's funding percentage has ranged from 72-81 percent over the past five years and is currently at 72 percent. Record low interest rates and volatility in recent years have had a significant impact on both the pension and other postemployment benefit investments. Larger contributions are now required to make up for the shortfall.

In closing the defined benefit pension systems to new hires, annual contributions can increase in the short run due to the methodology used by the actuary. However, over the long run, the City's contribution will decrease.

Property Tax Chargebacks

On an annual basis, the Wayne County Treasurer's Delinquent Tax Revolving Fund makes the City whole for unpaid real property taxes. The delinquent taxes are "turned over" to the County, the County reimburses the City, and then the delinquent taxpayers are responsible for remitting payment to the County. However, if an individual taxpayer ultimately fails to pay the delinquent taxes within the required timeframe, the County charges the City back for the uncollected amount. The County is currently in the process of trying to collect the remaining \$482,000 of City of Wyandotte taxes from the tax years 2010 and 2011. This represents the total potential chargeback to the City. Based on historical trends, the City has estimated a potential chargeback of \$235,000. The ultimate chargeback will reduce fiscal year 2013 and 2014 revenue.

Property Tax Distribution

The City collects property taxes on behalf of other taxing authorities and remits them on a periodic basis. At the end of the fiscal year, the City had distributed more to the County than collected on the County's behalf. The City will recover this amount from future distributions. We recommend that a procedure be put in place to review the proposed tax distributions for accuracy prior to issuing payment.

Fund Deficits

The Brownfield Redevelopment Authority has an accumulated net deficit of \$1.6 million at September 30, 2012, primarily due to the Labadie Park project which was completed approximately five years ago. The Brownfield Redevelopment Authority is utilizing tax captures to pay for the project and work toward eliminating the deficit.

Please note that the State recently changed its requirements related to deficit elimination plans. It is the position of the Treasury that a reasonable plan to eliminate a deficit condition is vital to the fiscal well-being of a local unit as is early implementation of that plan. Therefore, the State will no longer send a request letter for a deficit elimination plan. It is the expectation of Treasury that a local unit will submit a plan as soon as possible after the close of its fiscal year end. A plan should be filed prior to or concurrent with the submission of the City's audit report. If a plan is not submitted, Treasury will withhold EVIP payments. Plans also need formal approval of the governing body before being remitted to the State.

Section III - Legislative and Informational Items

General Fund Results

The City is the recipient of a large amount of grant funding from the Department of Housing and Urban Development Neighborhood Stabilization Programs (I and II). This activity is recorded within the General Fund and is intended to break even over a period of time. However, timing differences can positively or negatively impact year-to-year General Fund results. Rehabilitation and construction costs are partially capitalized and are often incurred in years prior to the sale of the properties.

It is important to note that the NSP program will not generate "profits." Any income derived from the sale of the properties is required to be used for additional eligible grant activities or will need to be returned to the granting agency. Due to the complexities in accounting for the Neighborhood Stabilization Program, the City may want to consider moving this activity to a separate fund or to the Grants Fund to avoid obscuring the true activity and results of the General Fund.

The following chart shows the true General Fund results adjusted for the impact of the Neighborhood Stabilization Programs.

	General Fund Total	Less NSP Activity	Net General Fund Activity
Revenue Less expenditures Capitalized expenditure	\$ 22,176,525 (18,511,098)	\$ 3,414,317 (2,405,445) 1,125,216	\$ 18,762,208 (17,230,869)
Adjustment for NSP inventory		(1,280,229)	
Less transfers	(1,352,221)	-	(1,352,221)
Net change in fund balance Beginning fund balance	2,313,206 2,952,698	2,134,088 920,910	179,118 2,031,788
Ending fund balance	\$ 5,265,904	\$ 3,054,998	\$ 2,210,906

The "true" General Fund balance increased by \$179,118 during fiscal year 2012. True General Fund unassigned fund balance, at September 30, 2012, is \$2,087,294 and represents 11 percent of annual expenditures and transfers out.

Budgetary Stress

The City continues to navigate through some rough waters. Specifically:

- Property taxes have declined due to decreases in taxable value. After decades of relatively dependable inflationary increases, taxable value dropped 9 percent in 2010, 8 percent in 2011, and 8 percent in 2012 (based on December 31, 2011 valuations). For 2013, taxable value as of December 31, 2013 was recently released and, while still not final due to board of review, is currently anticipated to be 4 percent lower.
- State-shared revenue experienced a steady decline since 2001, as the State used it to balance its own budget. With the onset of the governor's Economic Vitality Incentive Program (EVIP), the City saw an additional 1/3 reduction in what was previously the statutory portion of revenue sharing. For 2012, total revenue sharing decreased by \$134,000.
- Interest income and certain other revenue have continued to drop while others modestly increased.

As a result, the City's revenue for 2012 (net of NSP grant activity) is approximately \$1.6 million lower than it was five years ago. Expected revenue for fiscal years 2013 and 2014 is also anticipated to be lower due to the declines in taxable value noted above. This has permanently re-set the level of services that the City can afford to provide. In reaction to this revenue decline, the City has:

- Reduced staffing levels by approximately 30 percent
- Implemented centralized dispatch services
- Closed retiree healthcare plans to new hires
- Closed defined benefit pension plans to new hires
- Modified its healthcare benefit provisions to current employees

We would like to commend the City for the actions taken to date. Difficult decisions need to be made to remain fiscally prudent, since any cuts impact employees as well as service levels that residents had come to expect. Unfortunately, the taxable value continues to decline, and we anticipate that additional cost reductions will become necessary in future years.

Revenue-sharing

One major change in the State's FY 2013 budget was moving 20 percent of the county revenue-sharing to an incentive program. Total revenue sharing as compared to 2011-2012 is as follows:

	2012-2013		2011-2012 as of 2/2012)	Percent Change		
Constitutional portion	\$	725,496,300	\$ 697,500,500	+4%		
EVIP **		225,000,000	210,000,000	+7%		
County revenue sharing		104,480,000	115,000,000			
County incentive (EVIP-like)**		26,120,000	-	+13%		
Grants *		15,000,000	 5,000,000			
Total	\$	1,096,096,300	\$ 1,027,500,500			

The EVIP, county incentive, and grants include significant one-time boilerplate appropriations of \$7.5 million, \$2.5 million, and \$10 million, respectively.

- * Includes school districts and ISDs in 2012-2013, but only if not already receiving a grant from the State School Aid Fund. Also, funds can only be used for combining operations with a city, village, township, or county.
- ** In order to receive funds for EVIP and the county incentive program, the following requirements must be met:

Category I - Accountability and Transparency - Due Date was 10/1/12

- Produce a citizens guide, including recognition of unfunded liabilities
- Produce a performance dashboard
- Publish a projected budget report Include current and succeeding year, debt service payments, and assumptions used

Category 2 - Consolidation of Services - Due Date was 2/1/13

- Produce a consolidation plan
- If first time, include past endeavors and associated cost savings
- Must include one new initiative with savings estimate and timeline
- If no new initiatives identified, must address why it is not feasible to enter into any new consolidation efforts
- If second time, report on progress of prior plan, including barriers

Category 3 - Employee Compensation - Due Date 6/1/13

Key point - This section has three options.

OPTION 1 - Modified Compensation Plan

- New hires that are eligible for retirement plans must be put in plans that cap employer contributions at 10 percent of base salary if eligible for Social Security or 16.2 percent if not.
- For defined benefit plans, maximum multipliers are as follows:

	Provided with	
Eligible for Social	Retiree	Maximum
Security?	Healthcare?	Multiplier
Yes	Yes	1.5%
Yes	No	2.25%
No	Yes	2.25%
No	No	3.00%

- For defined benefit plans, final average compensation shall use a minimum of three years and shall not include more than 240 hours of paid leave. Overtime hours shall not be included.
- Healthcare premium costs for new hires shall include a minimum 20 percent employee share or employer's share shall be cost competitive with new state preferred provider organization health plan on a per-employee basis.

OPTION 2 - Compliance with PA 152 of 2011 - Publicly Funded Health Insurance Contribution Act - Certify compliance with the new act that requires hard caps, or alternately 80 percent/20 percent cost-sharing. This includes the opt-out provision.

OPTION 3 - Certify that no medical benefits are offered

Payment Timing has Changed

For all except counties, the way that payments are distributed has changed. In 2011-2012, a portion of the payment for each of the three categories was paid on each of the six payment dates throughout the year. Now there are only two payment dates for each of the three categories, such that each local unit will not receive payment associated with each category until the due date, and then the payment will be split between the following two months. The following is an example:

	Pri	or methodo	logy	New methodology					
		Category		Category					
	I	2	3	I	2	3			
October 31, 2012	10,000	10,000	10,000	30,000					
December 31, 2012	10,000	10,000	10,000	30,000					
February 28, 2013	10,000	10,000	10,000		30,000				
April 30, 2013	10,000	10,000	10,000		30,000				
June 30, 2013	10,000	10,000	10,000			30,000			
August 31, 2013	10,000	10,000	10,000			30,000			
Total	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000			

If a local unit fails to meet the initial certification timeline, there is still an opportunity to get the second of the two payments if the unit certified prior to the first month of the second distribution. For example, if you miss the October 1, 2012 deadline, a certification prior to December 1, 2012 will allow you to receive the second normally scheduled payment, but not the first.

Healthcare Limitations

PA 152 of 2011, the Publicly Funded Health Insurance Contribution Act, was signed into law by the governor in late September 2011. This new law requires all public employers to place hard caps on the amounts they contribute toward healthcare with an option to elect an 80 percent contribution cap rather than a hard cap. There is also an option for a "local unit" to opt out entirely. Please note that local unit has a different definition than public employer. Not all public employers are deemed to be local units.

PA 152 would limit annual costs for medical benefit plans to the following:

- \$5,500 for single coverage
- \$11,000 for individual and spousal coverage
- \$15,000 for family coverage

These limits would apply to "contract years" beginning after January 1, 2012 (although it would not apply to employees covered by a union contract entered into before September 27, 2011 until that contract expired). Current guidance from the Treasury department defines "contract year" as the one-year period beginning on the date that newly elected or newly renewed coverage begins for a group of persons under a medical benefit plan. (Typically this period begins soon after the close of the annual open enrollment.)

The State recently released the caps for benefit plan coverage years beginning on or after January I, 2013. The caps were increased in response to the release of the most recent U.S. medical care component CPI data which showed a percent change of 3.5 percent. The increased caps are as follows:

- \$5,692.50 for single coverage
- \$11,385.00 for individual and spousal coverage
- \$15,525.00 for family coverage

Alternatively, given a majority vote of its governing bodies, a public employer can opt out of the hard cap and into an 80 percent contribution cap. Under this option, public employers would pay no more than 80 percent of the total annual costs for all of the medical benefit plans it offers or contributed to for its employees and elected public officials. This option would require that publically elected officials would have to pay 20 percent or more of the total annual costs of that plan, but the employee's share of the costs could be allocated as the government sees fit.

This act does contain a complete opt-out provision, but only for local units. It would allow communities to opt-out of these provisions entirely with a 2/3 vote of the governing body.

Failure to comply with the provisions in this act will result in a 10 percent reduction in each EVIP payment for the period of noncompliance. Opting out by a 2/3 vote of the governing body under the provisions of this act is not considered failure to comply.

Personal Property Tax Repeal

The personal property tax was repealed by the passing of various bills during the Legislature's lame duck session in December 2012. This repeal is contingent upon a statewide vote in August 2014. Key provisions of the Act phase out the industrial portion of the tax over a nine-year period beginning in 2016. Also, businesses with less than \$40,000 taxable value in industrial and commercial personal property in any jurisdiction would no longer pay the tax.

As for the impact on local communities, in short, those local governments that would lose at least 2.3 percent of their property tax base as a result of the changes would be eligible to be reimbursed at 80 percent of the revenue the personal property tax currently provides. In addition, local governments would have the option to assess a special assessment on industrial property (referred to as Essential Services Assessments). This assessment would not require local voter approval and would reimburse police, fire, ambulance services, and jail operations to ensure they receive 100 percent of the funding that they now get from the personal property tax.

When working through upcoming budgets and longer-term projections, please keep these items in mind.

Retro-pay Prohibition

Public Act 54 of 2011 prohibits retroactive pay on an expired contract and calls for employees working under an expired agreement to bear the cost of any increased healthcare costs until a new contract is in effect. During that period, the public employer is authorized to make payroll deductions necessary to pay the increased cost of maintaining those benefits.

Recently the House Local Government Committee held a second hearing on a new bill introduced this past summer that would amend PA 54 of 2012, the law prohibiting retroactive wage increases, to exempt police officers and firefighters. This proposed legislation did not pass during the lame duck session in December and will most likely be reintroduced in 2013.

Emergency Manager

Public Act 4 of 2011, which is known as the "emergency manager bill," was repealed by voters in the November 2012 election. In response to the repeal, the Legislature passed a replacement to this bill, PA 436, the Local Financial Stability and Choice Act. This new legislation gives distressed communities the following four options:

- I. Enter into a consent agreement
- 2. Mediation with the State
- 3. Emergency manager
- 4. Chapter 9 bankruptcy

Another key change is that under this new act, the State will be responsible to pay the salary and other related costs of the emergency manager and not the distressed community. The act is expected to take effect in March 2013.

Right-to-work for Public Employees

The governor signed PA 349 in December 2012 which creates a "right-to-work" for public employees. The act will take effect mid-March 2013. The act, which exempts police and firefighters that are PA 312 eligible, makes it illegal to require as a condition of employment for public and private sector workers that they financially support a union (this includes dues, fees, assessments, and any other charges). Requirements to make payment to a third party or a charitable organization in lieu of financial support is also prohibited. In addition, impacted workers are no longer required to become or remain a member of a union.

Deficit Elimination Plans

The Michigan Department of Treasury issued numbered letter 2012-1 in February 2012 which clarifies when a deficit elimination plan is required and how to quantify the deficit requiring elimination. In addition, it also charges local units with the responsibility to file deficit elimination plans concurrent with the submission of the audit report to the Department of Treasury. Local units should no longer be waiting for a letter from the State to file their plans. The plans are now due on or before the filing of your financial statement. Failure to file a plan can result in withholding of 25 percent of the EVIP revenue-sharing payments.

The plans should typically result in elimination of the deficit within one year but should never exceed five years. These plans should also have acceptable evidence to support the plan. The letter defines "acceptable evidence" as certified council resolutions approving the funding and the journal entry showing that the transfer was made in the general ledger. Additionally, if there is a projected multi-year budget, this too must be approved by the council and submitted. Plans and support can be emailed to Treas_MunicipalFinance@michigan.gov or mailed to the Michigan Department of Treasury.

The letter defines a fund deficit, since the law lacks any reference to generally accepted accounting terminology. It states that for governmental funds (not proprietary funds, fiduciary funds, or discretely presented component units) "a plan is necessary to eliminate any "unrestricted fund balance" deficits. Unrestricted fund balance is the sum of the committed, assigned, and unassigned balances." Determining whether a deficit exists is more challenging for proprietary funds, fiduciary funds, and discretely presented component units and local units will be expected to apply the test that is explained in the letter. We highly recommend that local units review the letter at the following link to ensure that they comply with the requirements: http://www.michigan.gov/treasury/0,1607,7-121-1751 2194 2196---,00.html#2012.

Pension Obligation Bonds and Other Postemployment Benefits Obligation Bonds

Michigan Public Act 329 of 2012 was passed on October 17, 2012 and takes immediate effect. The act allows communities who meet certain criteria to issue bonds to fund all or a portion of their unfunded pension and other postemployment benefits (OPEB) liabilities. The bonds are called Pension Obligation Bonds or Other Postemployment Benefits Obligation Bonds and are collectively referred to as "Benefit Bonds."

These bonds are subject to federal taxation but are tax exempt by the State of Michigan and must be issued prior to December 31, 2014. The bonds are issued by ordinance or resolution and do not require a vote of the people.

Municipalities must meet all of the following key requirements (the act also states additional requirements) in order to be eligible to issue benefit bonds:

- Prior to issuance, the municipality must obtain approval from the State Department of Treasury. In addition, the municipality must publish a notice of intent to issue the security.
- Be assigned a credit rating of AA or higher by one of the nationally recognized rating agencies (Standards & Poor's, Moody's or Fitch)
- The issued security shall be rated investment grade by a nationally recognized rating agency.
- The property taxes necessary to meet the debt service obligation may not exceed the limit authorized by law.
- Have legal capacity to issue the obligation as these bonds are not exempt from legal debt limitations

- Relative to the pension plan, have partial or complete cessation of accruals to a defined benefit plan or closed the defined benefit plan to new or certain existing employee groups and implemented a defined contribution plan (this requirement does not apply to the retiree health care, or OPEB plan)
- The municipality shall covenant with bond holders and the State that it will not, after the
 issuance of Benefit Bonds and while the bonds are outstanding, rescind any action taken for
 the cessation of accruals to a defined benefit plan or complete closure of defined benefit
 plans for new and existing employees.

In addition, the municipality must prepare a comprehensive financial plan which is to be made available to the public. Each of the following elements must be completed to meet this requirement:

- 1. An analysis of the current and future obligations of the pension or OPEB plan
- 2. Evidence that the issuance of the municipal bonds, together with other available revenue, will be sufficient to eliminate the unfunded liability
- 3. Debt service amortization schedules and a description of the actions required by the municipality to satisfy the debt service payments
- 4. Certification by the person preparing the plan that it is complete and accurate
- 5. If the bond proceeds are to be placed in a health care trust fund, the municipality must also put a plan in place to mitigate increases in health care costs, such as the implementation of a wellness program

PA 314 Pension Changes

Public Act 347 of 2012 was signed into law on December 5, 2012. This Act makes some significant changes to Public Act 314, as follows:

- The legislation utilizes existing asset classes but expands some categories such as foreign equities (from 20 percent to 70 percent), real estate (5-10 percentage points higher), and the "basket clause" (10 percentage points higher than existing limits for all plan sizes)
- Requires fee disclosures by investment service providers; among other things, a newly revised summary annual report
- Establishes uniform transparency standards to allow for benchmarking
- Expands prohibition against payments to providers that make contributions to plan sponsor officials
- Permits local pension boards to self-police which means they can remove a member who is legally incapacitated, convicted of certain violations, or has committed material breaches of policies

- Imposes limits on dollars spent on training to the lesser of \$12,000 per board trustee or \$150,000. No one trustee can spend more than \$30,000.
- Requires the retention of records for six years

Client: City of Wyandotte, Michigan **Opinion Unit: Governmental Activities**

Y/E: 9/30/2012

 $The \ pretax \ effect \ of \ misstatements \ and \ classification \ errors \ identified \ would \ be \ to \ increase \ (decrease) \ the \ reported \ amounts \ in$ the financial statement categories identified below:

		Current	Long-term	Current	Long-term					
Ref. #	Description of Misstatement	Assets	Assets	Liabilities	Liabilities	Equity	Revenue	Expenses	Pretax Income	
KNOWN M	IISSTATEMENTS:									
Al	To adjust accrued salaries and wages - PBC			\$ 62,998				\$ 62.998	\$ (62,998)	
A2	To record accounts payable invoices that were			, ,					. (, ,	
	improperly excluded as of year end			8,418				8,418	(8,418)	
ESTIMATE	ADJUSTMENTS:									
ВІ	To record accounts payable amount for 2012									
	portion of engineering estimates that fall between									
	September and October			28,067				28,067	(28,067)	
B2	To estimate property tax chargebacks from Wayne									
	County related to delinquent revolving fund			186.820			\$ (186,820	`	(186,820)	
				188,820			\$ (188,820)	(100,020)	
IMPLIED A	DJUSTMENTS:	1								
CI		_								
C2										
		÷	¢		÷	÷				
		э -	3 -		<u> </u>	э -	· 			
	Combined effect	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 286,303	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (186,820)	99,483	\$ (286,303)	
PASSED D	ISCLOSURES:	1								
I AUGED D	IDCLOUDINES.									

DΙ The City has not disclosed additions or deletions to compensated absences in the footnotes to the financial statements due to immateriality. D2

Client: City of Wyandotte, Michigan Opinion Unit: Business-type Activities

Y/E: 9/30/2012

SUMMARY OF UNRECORDED POSSIBLE ADJUSTMENTS

The pretax effect of misstatements and classification errors identified would be to increase (decrease) the reported amounts in the financial statement categories identified below:

			Long-term	Current Long-term					
Ref. #	Description of Misstatement	Current Assets	Assets	Liabilities	Liabilities	Equity	Revenue	Expenses	Pretax Income
KNOWN M	MISSTATEMENTS:								
AI	To adjust for investment account in sewage disposal fund recorded at cost instead of market value								
A2		\$ (17,000)						\$ 17,000	\$ (17,000)
ESTIMATE	ADJUSTMENTS:								
BI B2									
IMPLIED A	ADJUSTMENTS:								
CI C2		-							
			\$ -	<u>\$ -</u>	\$ -	\$ -	<u>\$ -</u>		

PASSED DISCLOSURES:

Total

The City has not disclosed additions or deletions to compensated absences in the notes to the financial statements due to immateriality.

D2

Client: City of Wyandotte, Michigan

Opinion Unit: Discretely Presented Component Units

Y/E: 9/30/2012

SUMMARY OF UNRECORDED POSSIBLE ADJUSTMENTS

The pretax effect of misstatements and classification errors identified would be to increase (decrease) the reported amounts in the financial statement categories identified below:

Ref. #	Description of Misstatement	Curren Assets		ong-term Assets		Current iabilities	_	-term ilities	Equ	uity		Revenue	Expens	es	Pret	ax Income
KNOWN M	ISSTATEMENTS:	J														
AI A2																
ESTIMATE	ADJUSTMENTS:															
ВІ	To estimate property tax chargebacks from Wayne County related to delinquent revolving fund				•	40.100					•	(40.100)			•	(40,100)
B2					\$	48,180					\$	(48,180)			\$	(48,180)
IMPLIED A	DJUSTMENTS:															
CI C2		-														
		\$ -	<u> </u>			-	\$		\$				\$ -			<u> </u>
	Combined effect - Before income taxes			_		48,180		-		-		(48,180)		- '	7	(48,180)
	Less effect of adjustments for which auditor's report is qualified			-		_		_		-		-		-		-
	Effect of income taxes				_									_		<u> </u>
	Total	\$ -	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	\$	48,180	\$		\$		\$	(48,180)	<u>\$</u>	_	\$	(48,180)

PASSED DISCLOSURES:

The 27th District Court, a component unit, is not included in the City's financial statements because it is not material to the aggregate component unit column or the City as a

D2

Client: City of Wyandotte, Michigan

Opinion Unit: General Fund Y/E: 9/30/2012

SUMMARY OF UNRECORDED POSSIBLE ADJUSTMENTS

The pretax effect of misstatements and classification errors identified would be to increase (decrease) the reported amounts in the financial statement categories identified below:

			Long-term			Long-term				
Ref. #	Description of Misstatement	Current Assets	Assets	Current	Liabilities	Liabilities	Equity	Revenue	Expenses	Pretax Income
KNOWN M	ISSTATEMENTS:									
AI	To adjust accrued salaries and wages (General Fund) PBC			\$	62,998				\$ 62,998	\$ (62,998)
A2	To record accounts payable invoices that were improperly excluded as of year end				8,418				8,418	, ,
ESTIMATE	ADJUSTMENTS:									
ВІ	To record accounts payable amount for 2012 portion of engineering estimates that fall between				20.047				20.047	(20.047)
В2	September and October To estimate property tax chargebacks from Wayne				28,067				28,067	(28,067)
	County related to delinquent revolving fund				146,535			\$ (146,535)		(146,535)
IMPLIED AD	DJUSTMENTS:									
CI		•								
		\$ -	\$ -			\$ -	\$ -	 		
	Total	<u> </u>	\$ -	\$	246,018	<u> </u>	<u>-</u>	\$ (146,535)	\$ 99,483	\$ (246,018)

PASSED DISCLOSURES:

DI D2

Client: City of Wyandotte, Michigan
Opinion Unit: Sewer Fund
Y/E: 9/30/2012

SUMMARY OF UNRECORDED POSSIBLE ADJUSTMENTS

The pretax effect of misstatements and classification errors identified would be to increase (decrease) the reported amounts in the financial statement categories identified below:

			Long-term	Current	Long	g-term							
Ref. #	Description of Misstatement	Current Assets	Assets	Liabilitie	<u>Liat</u>	oilities	Equit	y R	evenue	E	xpenses	Preta	ax Income
KNOWN M	KNOWN MISSTATEMENTS:												
AI	To adjust for investment account in sewage disposal fund recorded at cost instead of market value	\$ (17,000)								\$	17,000	\$	(17,000)
A2		ψ (17,000)								٠	17,000	Ψ	(17,000)
ESTIMATE	ADJUSTMENTS:												
BI B2													
IMPLIED AD	DJUSTMENTS:]											
CI C2		_											
			\$ -	\$ -	\$		\$	- \$	-	_			
	Combined effect - Before income taxes	(17,000)	-	-		-		-	-		17,000	•	(17,000)
	Less effect of adjustments for which auditor's report is qualified	-	-	-		-		-	-		-		-
	Effect of income taxes								-				
	Total	<u>\$ (17,000)</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$</u> -	\$	<u> </u>	\$	- \$		\$	17,000	\$	(17,000)

PASSED DISCLOSURES:

DI D2