Extended COVID-19 Learning Plan

Version: Meets Legislative Requirements with Additional Recommendations

Reconfirmation Meeting

Required 30 Days After Initial Plan Approval and Every Month Thereafter

Agenda:

- Reconfirm how instruction is going to be delivered during the 20/21 school year
- Public comments from parents and/or guardians on the Extended Learning Plan
- Review weekly 2-way interaction rates

Reconfirmation Meeting for OCTOBER 2020

Reconfirm instructional delivery method:

100% Remote for October 2020; Remote first 10 weeks of the school year. To start the school year, all K-12 students will attend school remotely for the first 10 weeks of school. Our highest needs special education students will receive face-to-face instruction twice a week within our schools with transportation provided, in addition to 3 days per week of remote instruction. Student and staff health and safety will be addressed through a cohort model that keeps students together for the entire school day, adhering to social distancing and mask wearing protocols.

Reconfirm how instruction will be delivered for each grade level:

Pre-Kindergarten: The Avondale School District Early Childhood preparedness plan can be found here.

Elementary (Grades K - 5): Elementary students have a defined daily schedule that spans the length of a traditional day with specific times for core subject instruction, activities, as well as art, gym, and music instruction remotely. In addition, there are times for teacher office hours, small group check-ins, asynchronous work time, daily class meetings to conduct SEL lessons and wellness checks.

Middle School (Grades 6 - 8): Middle School students have a defined daily schedule whereby they have a block schedule of remote coursework focusing on cores and select electives. Students log-in to each class period at the designated time in their schedule. Teachers provide for asynchronous and synchronous instruction within their assigned periods each day. Students also log-in to a daily advisory class for wellness checks and character/SEL education instruction. High School (Grades 9 - 12): High School students have a defined daily schedule whereby they also have a block schedule of remote coursework focusing on cores and select electives. Students log-in to each class period at the designated time in their schedule. A purple day is hours 1, 3, 5, 7 and a gold day is hours 2, 4, 6, and 7. Teachers provide for asynchronous and synchronous instruction within their assigned periods each day. Students also log-in to a daily advisory class for wellness checks and character/SEL education instruction.

Reconfirm whether or not the district is offering higher levels of in-person instruction for English language learners, special education students, or other special populations:

Our highest needs special education students will receive face-to-face instruction twice a week within our schools with transportation provided, in addition to 3 days per week of remote instruction. Student and staff health and safety will be addressed through a cohort model that keeps students together for the entire school day, adhering to social distancing and mask wearing protocols. Avondale Schools continue to support early English Learners with a comprehensive screening process that we have adapted to virtual avenues to connect with all students. Service providers continue to provide English Learners support through remote instruction in both direct and indirect circumstances. WIDA scores are reviewed and utilized to help inform appropriate supports.

Document Public Comments:

September 2020	All Students
Week 1	93.37%
Week 2	94.50%
Week 3	94.61%
Week 4	95.06%
Week 5	95.07%

Review Weekly 2-Way Interaction Rates

Т	Two Way Interaction Rates by Building													
	Avondale School District - School Year 20-21													
	9/1 to 9/4 9/7 to 9/11 9/14 to 9/18 9/21 to 9/25 9/28 to 10/2													
Building	Week 1	Week 2	Week 3	Week 4	Week 5									
Auburn	71.19%	75.69%	79.05%	79.52%	79.55%									
Deerfield	94.48%	94.72%	96.49%	97.16%	97.40%									
Graham	97.38%	97.64%	92.70%	95.87%	95.12%									
Woodland	95.88%	94.59%	95.46%	94.52%	94.23%									
GATE	99.44%	100.00%	99.89%	100.00%	99.89%									
Meadows	80.68%	88.18%	93.64%	88.64%	87.27%									
Middle School	98.37%	99.53%	99.05%	99.39%	99.60%									
High School	94.42%	95.72%	96.44%	96.61%	96.31%									
DCI	61.00%	61.00%	53.00%	72.00%	76.00%									

Note: Auburn Elementary percentages include GSRP two way interaction rates. As GSRP only attends 4 days a week, the maximum rate would be 80% (4 out of 5 total week days). This will cause the total rate for Auburn to be lower than other buildings. Meadows percentages are based on our SKILL program.

Post to transparency link on website and provide to CEPI Note: As of 9/30/20, the process for submitting to CEPI has not yet been identifie

Dr. Schwarz,

Obviously, our September data fell short of the required benchmarks. You will recall we discussed/anticipated this a few weeks ago. These new standards are a definite challenge within the alternative education environment. September was a difficult month for DCI. However, in alternative education, September is <u>always</u> a month of <u>positive habit building</u> within the students. Therefore, we always expected it to be our most challenging month. This year, it sure was!!

You will notice a concerning "data dip" in week three. This, I believe, was due to the "human element" of the position of mentor being placed at risk via the pandemic learning legislation. As a result, our mentor team was distracted by issues like job security and the role of the mentor going forward. We believe the data slid that week as a direct result of these circumstances. However, as the legislation changed, we immediately returned to a positive slope.

A few additional salient points for your consideration:

- Enrollment levels grew steadily throughout the month. Many of our alternative education students don't start the year on a timely basis. So "student orientations" remain ongoing multiple weeks into the school year. This negatively impacts our data, as the "good habits required" have not yet been deeply embedded in the students, particularly those who enrolled late.
- We ended up with 397 enrollments. Now we focus on meeting the benchmarks and realizing FTE funding. One naturally "feeds into the other", which is advantageous.
- At 397, this is an enrollment increase of +39% when compared to the fall count of 285 last year.
- The week 4/5 data is encouraging, we see a significant increase in both one TWI and two TWIs during this period.
- The week five data looks really positive, we hit a high of "Two TWI's" at 65%, just short of the 75% goal. We believe this positioned us well as we headed into the count week/period, which began October 7th. Further, our first "count week data" has continued the positive trend.
- The data also shows the following regarding the number of total TWI's each week:
 - Week 1: 244
 Week 2: 328
 Week 3: 270
 Week 4: 397
 Week 5: 556

As above, please be assured we fully recognize the September data does not meet your expectations, those of the Board or of the MDE and the Pandemic Learning Legislation. We ask that you recognize that "the rules of the game changed substantially" during the opening weeks of school, which required significant changes in

our operating procedures, changes that took time to design and implement. We suggest that the week 4/5 data begins to show the positive impact of these operational improvements. We remain confident we can perform more efficiently in the weeks to come. Please know we remain committed to reaching and ultimately surpassing the required benchmarks as we work toward supporting the success of our students going forward.

Todd Biederwolf Diploma & Careers Institute Chief Academic Officer todd.biederwolf@avondaleschools.org toddb@diplomaandcareers.com 313-575-3768

Student achievement norms

The norms in the tables below have a very straightforward interpretation. For example, in the achievement norms for reading, grade 2 students in the fall had a mean score of 172.35 and a standard deviation of 15.19. To get a sense of how much variation there was, the SD of 15.19 can be subtracted from the mean and added to the mean to produce a range of about 157–188. Since the norms are based on the bell curve, we know that 68% of all grade 2 reading scores are expected to fall within this range.

	F	all	Win	nter	Spring		
Grade	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
к	136.65	12.22	146.28	11.78	153.09	12.06	
1	155.93	12.66	165.85	13.21	171.40	14.19	
2	172.35	15.19	181.20	15.05	185.57	15.49	
3	186.62	16.65	193.90	16.14	197.12	16.27	
4	196.67	16.78	202.50	16.25	204.83	16.31	
5	204.48	16.38	209.12	15.88	210.98	15.97	
6	210.17	16.46	213.81	15.98	215.36	16.03	
7	214.20	16.51	217.09	16.21	218.36	16.38	
8	218.01	17.04	220.52	16.69	221.66	16.87	
9	218.90	19.02	220.52	18.73	221.40	19.03	
10	221.47	17.92	222.91	17.81	223.51	18.20	
11	223,53	17.73	224.64	17.80	224.71	18.50	
12	223.80	19.32	223.85	21.21	224.33	23.08	

	F	all	Win	ter	Spring		
Grade	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
2	173.98	16.06	183.83	15.40	188.40	15.89	
3	187.71	15.33	195.14	14.64	198.32	14.65	
4	197.33	15.10	202.87	14.44	205.00	14.33	
5	204.17	14.55	208.45	13.98	210.19	13.90	
6	209.43	14.35	212.81	13.92	214.19	13.94	
7	212.65	14.72	215.28	14.39	216.47	14.42	
8	215.54	14.74	217.73	14.45	218.74	14.56	
9	216,68	15.52	218.18	15.30	219.00	15.51	
10	218,82	15.10	220.19	15.11	220.86	15.45	
11	220.66	14.94	221.86	14.98	222.33	15.53	

	Fa	all	Wi	nter	Spring		
Grade	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
к	139.56	12.45	150.13	11.94	157.11	12.03	
1	160.05	12.43	170.18	12.59	176.40	13.18	
2	175.04	12.98	184.07	13.01	189.42	13.44	
3	188.48	13.45	196.23	13.64	201.08	14.11	
4	199.55	14.40	206.05	14.90	210.51	15.56	
5	209.13	15.19	214.70	15.88	218.75	16.70	
6	214.75	16.12	219.56	16.74	222.88	17.47	
7	220.21	17.41	224.04	17.96	226.73	18.60	
8	224.92	18.94	228.12	19.33	230.30	19.95	
9	226.43	19.83	228.67	20.06	230.03	20.63	
10	229.07	20.23	231.21	20.61	232.42	21.25	
11	231.72	20.61	233.49	20.91	234.25	21.65	
12	233.02	21.60	233.31	23.07	234.19	24.63	

Grade	Fa	dl –	Win	iter	Spr	ing
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
2	177.70	13.43	184.59	12.35	187.87	12.46
3	187.84	12.25	193.29	11.63	195.88	11.76
4	194.65	11.68	199.15	11.50	201.22	11.75
5	200.23	11.77	204.30	11.72	206.17	12.12
6	203.86	12.04	207.26	12.02	208.47	12.41
7	206.56	12.65	209.50	12.73	210.61	13.17
8	209.64	13.25	212,41	13.17	213.44	13.64
9*	211.40	14.10	213.42	14.17	213.99	14.72
10*	213.24	14.26	214.95	14.42	215.29	15.07

* These science status norms describe the distributions of achievement in general science academic skills and content knowledge for the relevant student populations for these grades and are useful for screening and placement purposes. Test results should not be used to evaluate performance where science content is more specialized, such as in topically differentiated high school science courses (e.g., biology, chemistry, physics).

School	Subject	Grade	average	number proficien	number tested		School	Subject	Grade	average	number proficien	number tested	
Auburn	Math	1	168.8	28	37		Auburn	Reading	1	167.2	29	39	
Deerfield			169.6	40	55		Deerfield			170.5	47	55	
Graham			171.5	50	70		Graham			163.5	53	71	
woodland			170.9	56	71		Woodland			163.5	53	71	
			170.2	174	233	74.70% % testing above				166.175	182	236	77.10% % testing above
				Number Proficient	Total tested	grade level average				Average RIT	Number Proficient	Total tested	grade level average
Auburn	Math	к	166.9	44	48		Auburn	Reading	к	160.4	48	50	
Deerfield			161	43	45		Deerfield			160	43	44	
Graham			164.3	62	68		Graham			159.3	62	67	
woodland			161.9	76	81		Woodland			159.5	75	81	
			163.525	225	242	93%				159.8	228	242	94.20%
				Number Proficient	Total tested	% testing above grade level average				Average RIT	Number Proficient	Total tested	% testing above grade level average

School	Subject	Grade	average	number proficier	number tested		9	chool	Subject	Grade	average	number proficien	number tested	
Auburn	Math	Grade	2 176					uburn	Reading	2				
Deerfield	Wath		177.2	27	47			eerfield	rteading	2	170.0	28	48	
Graham			177.2	27				raham			173	31	40	
woodland			171.3	41	61			oodland			172.2	47	61	
GATE			199.9	23	24			ATE			201	23	24	
GAIL			199.9	23	24		6,	AIL			201	23	24	
			181.16	150	257	58.40%					181.92	166	261	63.60%
			Average RIT	Number Proficient	Total tested	% testing above grade level average					Average RIT	Number Proficient	Total tested	% testing above grade level average
School	Subject	Grade	average	number proficien					Subject	Grade	average	number proficien		
Auburn	Math		3 184.6	23				uburn	Reading	3		29	55	
Deerfield			187.1	16				eerfield			192.1	27	41	
Graham			192.1	33	54			raham			191.7	39	56	
woodland			190.8	29				oodland			194	37	50	
GATE			213.2	38	38		G	ATE		l	212.3	38	38	
			193.56	139	236	58.90%					195.26	170	240	
			Average RIT	Number Proficient	Total tested	% testing above grade level average					Average RIT	Number Proficient	Total tested	% testing above grade level average
School	Subject	Grade	average	number proficier	number tested		Sc	chool	Subject	Grade	average	number proficien	number tested	
Auburn	Math		4 192.4	11	61		Au	uburn	Reading	4	193.4	27	61	
Deerfield			201	36			De	eerfield			202.6	37	53	
Graham			197.4	23	55		Gi	raham			196.3	30	55	
woodland			201.2	30			wo	oodland			203.1	43	52	
GATE			222.4	37	38		G	ATE			221	38	38	
			202.88	137	259	52.90%					203.28	175	259	67.50%
			Average RIT	Number Proficient	Total tested	% testing above grade level average					Average RIT	Number Proficient	Total tested	% testing above grade level average
School	Subject	Grade	average	number proficien	number tested		Sc	chool	Subject	Grade	average	number proficien	number tested	
Auburn	Math		5 198.7	8				uburn	Reading	5	-	20	53	
Deerfield			209.1	27	47			eerfield		1	207.8	29	48	
Graham			201.5	17				raham		1	202.5	28	61	
woodland			209.5	20				oodland		1	206.3	24	42	
GATE			233.7	25				ATE		1	226	25		
										1				
			210.5	97	226	42.90%				1	208.46	126	229	55%
			Average RIT	Number Proficient	Total tested	% testing above grade level average					Average RIT	Number Proficient	Total tested	% testing above grade level average

School	Subject	Grade	average	number proficien	number tested			School	Subject	Grade	average	number proficien	number tested	
Middle School	Math		6 210.8	84	199			Middle School	Reading		6 207.6	76	169	
GATE	Math		238.1	27	27	*does not includ	e Algebra	GATE			236.2	33	33	
			224.45	111	226	49.10%					221.9	109	202	53.90%
			Average RIT	Number Proficient	Total tested	% testing above grade level average					Average RIT	Number Proficient	Total tested	% testing above grade level average
School	Subject	Grade	average	number proficien	number tested			School	Subject	Grade	average	number proficien	number tested	
Middle School	Math		7 216.2			*does not includ	e Algebra		Reading		213.5	105		
GATE	Math		238.5			*does not includ		GATE	rtodding		240.9	20		
			227.35	88	208	42.30%					227.2	125	225	55.60%
			Average RIT	Number Proficient	Total tested	% testing above grade level average					Average RIT	Number Proficient	Total tested	% testing above grade level average
School	Subject	Grade	average	number proficien	number tested			School	Subject	Grade	average	number proficien	number tested	
Middle School	Math		3 216.2	53	182			Middle School	Reading	1	3 216.7	123	246	
	Algebra		241.9	46	49									
			229.05	99	231	42.80%					216.7	123	246	50%
			Average RIT	Number Proficient	Total tested	% testing above grade level average					Average RIT	Number Proficient	Total tested	% testing above grade level average

School	Subject	Grade	average	number proficier	number tested	
gh School	Reading		9 217.	122	241	
						50.60%
						% testing above grade level average
1	0.11.1					
chool	Subject	Grade	average	number proficier		
ligh School	Reading	1	0 222.4	117	204	
						57.40%
						% testing above grade level average

Weekly COVID-19 Report

Oakland County Schools

COUNTY MICHIGAN HEALTH DIVISION DAVID COULTER OAKLAND COUNTY EXECUTIVE

Reporting period: September 30 – (October 13		
METRIC	RESULT	Trend	DEFINITION
Total "Confirmed and Probable" COVID-19 Cases Reported in Oakland County	1169	1	"Confirmed": laboratory-confirmed positive molecular viral test "Probable": rapid-antigen positive viral test
Cases/Day per Million Population 7-Day Average 14-Day Average	46 69	↑	Average number of cases per day per million population over the given timeframe
14-Day Average Daily Case Counts	83	≜	The average number of cases per day reported over the last 14 days of the reporting period
14-Day Average Daily Death Counts	0.21	A	The average number of deaths per day reported over the last 14 days of the reporting period
14-Day Average Tests Per Day	3496	↑	The average number of diagnostic tests administered to Oakland residents over the last 14 days of the reporting period
Percentage of Cases Hospitalized in the Last 14 Days	2.70%	↓ ↓	The percentage of cases (confirmed and probable) that reported being hospitalized over the last 14 days of the reporting period
Percent Positivity (7 days)	3.77%	1	Percentage of reported positive tests over the last 7 days (October 6 – October 12)
Percent Positivity (28 days)	3.55%	•	Percentage of reported positive tests over the last 28 days (September 15 – October 12)
Healthcare Capacity Strength	STRONG		Assessment of bed availability and hospital capacity
Testing, Tracing, Containment Infrastructure	STRONG		Assessment of testing availability and OCHD contact tracing capability
County Level Risk Determination	С		County level risk determination based on state guidance. Local epidemiology is also a factor in school and district- specific decision making

	Total Oakland County Cases Reported by Age Group													
Age Group	Total Cases	Trend	Age Group	Total Cases	Trend									
0-4	13		50-59	190	_									
5-9	31		60-69	128										
10-13	33		70-79	52										
14-18	80	∕	80-89	24										
19-29	233	_	90+	9										
30-39	193	1	Unknown	1										
40-49	182	_												

The number of reported cases increased from last week. This is a trend that has been observed nationwide. The number of deaths this reporting period was 3, up from the last reporting period. Percent positivity over the last 7 days was slightly higher than the last reporting period, whereas the percent positivity over 28 days continued to decrease this week. Most age groups showed increases in cases, the largest case increases were in the 19-29 (24 cases), 30-39 (38 cases), 40-49 (21 cases), and 50-59 (29 cases) age groups.