

Municipal Employees' Retirement System of Michigan

Annual Actuarial Valuation Report December 31, 2018 - Fraser City of (5003)





Spring, 2019

Fraser City of

In care of: Municipal Employees' Retirement System of Michigan 1134 Municipal Way Lansing, Michigan 48917

This report presents the results of the Annual Actuarial Valuation, prepared for Fraser City of (5003) as of December 31, 2018. The report includes the determination of liabilities and contribution rates resulting from the participation in the Municipal Employees' Retirement System of Michigan ("MERS"). This report contains the minimum actuarially determined contribution requirement, in alignment with the MERS Plan Document, Actuarial Policy, and the Michigan Constitution and governing statutes. Fraser City of is responsible for the employer contributions needed to provide MERS benefits for its employees and former employees.

The purposes of this valuation are to:

- Measure funding progress as of December 31, 2018,
- Establish contribution requirements for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2020,
- Provide information regarding the identification and assessment of risk,
- Provide actuarial information in connection with applicable Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) statements, and
- Provide information to assist the local unit of government with state reporting requirements.

This valuation assumed the continuing ability of the plan sponsor to make the contributions necessary to fund this plan. A determination regarding whether or not the plan sponsor is actually able to do so is outside our scope of expertise and was not performed.

The findings in this report are based on data and other information through December 31, 2018. The valuation was based upon information furnished by MERS concerning Retirement System benefits, financial transactions, plan provisions and active members, terminated members, retirees and beneficiaries. We checked for internal reasonability and year-to-year consistency, but did not audit the data. We are not responsible for the accuracy or completeness of the information provided by MERS.

Fraser City of Spring, 2019 Page 2

The Municipal Employees' Retirement Act, PA 427 of 1984 and the MERS' Plan Document Article VI sec. 71 (1)(d), provides the MERS Board with the authority to set actuarial assumptions and methods after consultation with the actuary. As the fiduciary of the plan, MERS Retirement Board sets certain assumptions for funding and GASB purposes. These assumptions are checked regularly through a comprehensive study, called an Experience Study. The most recent study was completed in 2015, as prepared by the prior actuary, and is the basis of the assumptions and methods currently in place. **At the February 28, 2019 board meeting, the MERS Retirement Board adopted new economic assumptions effective with the December 31, 2019 annual actuarial valuation, which will impact contributions beginning in 2021.** An illustration of the potential impact is found in this report.

The Michigan Department of Treasury provides required assumptions to be used for purposes of Public Act 202 reporting. These assumptions are for reporting purposes only and do not impact required contributions. Please refer to the State Reporting page found at the end of this report for information for this filing.

For a full list of all the assumptions used, please refer to the division-specific assumptions described in table(s) in this report, and to the Appendix on the MERS website at: <u>http://www.mersofmich.com/Portals/0/Assets/Resources/AAV-Appendix/MERS-2018AnnualActuarialValuation-Appendix.pdf</u>.

The actuarial assumptions used for this valuation are reasonable for purposes of the measurement.

This report has been prepared by actuaries who have substantial experience valuing public employee retirement systems. To the best of our knowledge the information contained in this report is accurate and fairly presents the actuarial position of Fraser City of as of the valuation date. All calculations have been made in conformity with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices, with the Actuarial Standards of Practice issued by the Actuarial Standards Board, and with applicable statutes.

David T. Kausch, Rebecca L. Stouffer, and Mark Buis are members of the American Academy of Actuaries. These actuaries meet the Academy's Qualification Standards to render the actuarial opinions contained herein. The signing actuaries are independent of the plan sponsor. GRS maintains independent consulting agreements with certain local units of government for services unrelated to the actuarial consulting services provided in this report.

The Retirement Board of the Municipal Employees' Retirement System of Michigan confirms that the System provides for payment of the required employer contribution as described in Section 20m of Act No. 314 of 1965 (MCL 38.1140m).

This information is purely actuarial in nature. It is not intended to serve as a substitute for legal, accounting or investment advice.



Fraser City of Spring, 2019 Page 3

This report was prepared at the request of the MERS Retirement Board and may be provided only in its entirety by the municipality to other interested parties (MERS customarily provides the full report on request to associated third parties such as the auditor for the municipality). GRS is not responsible for the consequences of any unauthorized use. This report should not be relied on for any purpose other than the purposes described herein. Determinations of financial results, associated with the benefits described in this report, for purposes other than those identified above may be significantly different.

If you have reason to believe that the plan provisions are incorrectly described, that important plan provisions relevant to this valuation are not described, that conditions have changed since the calculations were made, that the information provided in this report is inaccurate or is in anyway incomplete, or if you need further information in order to make an informed decision on the subject matter in this report, please contact your Regional Manager at 1.800.767.MERS (6377).

Sincerely,

David To Fausch

David T. Kausch, FSA, FCA, EA, MAAA

Rebecca J. Stouff

Rebecca L. Stouffer, ASA, FCA, MAAA

Mark Bri

Mark Buis, FSA, FCA, EA, MAAA



Table of Contents

Executive Summary	1
Fable 1: Employer Contribution Details For the Fiscal Year Beginning July 1, 2020	10
Fable 2: Benefit Provisions	12
Гable 3։ Participant Summary	15
Fable 4: Reported Assets (Market Value)	17
Flow of Valuation Assets	18
Cable 6: Actuarial Accrued Liabilities and Valuation Assets as of December 31, 2018	19
Fable 7: Actuarial Accrued Liabilities - Comparative Schedule	21
Fables 8 and 9: Division-Based Comparative Schedules	22
Table 10: Division-Based Layered Amortization Schedule	32
GASB 68 Information	42
Benefit Provision History	43
Plan Provisions, Actuarial Assumptions, and Actuarial Funding Method	47
Risk Commentary	48
State Reporting	50



Executive Summary

Funded Ratio

The funded ratio of a plan is the percentage of the dollar value of the actuarial accrued liability that is covered by the actuarial value of assets. While funding ratio may be a useful plan measurement, understanding a plan's funding trend may be more important than a particular point in time. Refer to Table 7 to find a history of this information.

	12/31/2018	12/31/2017
Funded Ratio*	52%	53%

* Reflects assets from Surplus divisions, if any.

There has been a change in actuary and actuarial software since the December 31, 2017 valuation. Throughout this report are references to valuation results generated prior to the 2018 valuation date. Results prior to 2018 were received directly from the prior actuary or extracted from the previous valuation system by MERS's technology service provider.



Required Employer Contributions:

Your required employer contributions are shown in the following table. Employee contributions, if any, are in addition to the employer contributions. Changes to the actuarial assumptions and methods based on the 2015 Experience Study are phased-in over a 5-year period. This valuation reflects the fourth year of the phase-in.

Your minimum required contribution is the amount in the "Phase-in" columns. By default, MERS will invoice you the phased-in contribution amount, but strongly encourages you to contribute more than the minimum required contribution. If you requested and have been billed using No Phase-in rates, your 2019 rates will continue to use the No Phase-in method. If you have been billed using the Phased-in rates and wish to change to rates based on No Phase-in, please contact MERS.

		Percentage	e of Payroll		М	onthly \$ Based o	n Projected Payr	oll
	Phase-in	No Phase-in	Phase-in	No Phase-in	Phase-in No Phase-in		Phase-in	No Phase-in
Valuation Date:	12/31/2018	12/31/2018	12/31/2017	12/31/2017	12/31/2018	12/31/2018	12/31/2017	12/31/2017
	July 1,	July 1,	July 1,	July 1,	July 1,	July 1,	July 1,	July 1,
Fiscal Year Beginning:	2020	2020	2019	2019	2020	2020	2019	2019
Division								
02 - Plc Dfrd&Rtd	-	-	-	-	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
10 - Supervisor/Management	653.56%	665.62%	609.24%	634.50%	41,014	41,771	36,519	38,033
11 - Clerical/Court	-	-	12.02%	12.64%	4,499	4,621	4,699	4,943
12 - Clerical/Court aft 7/1/16	3.72%	3.72%	-	-	303	303	0	0
20 - POLC	102.57%	103.96%	85.89%	88.50%	96,023	97,326	85,837	88,443
21 - POAM	-	-	30.19%	31.14%	57,940	58,890	60,297	62,197
22 - Dispatch	-	-	12.95%	13.39%	0	0	3,335	3,447
23 - DPW	-	-	28.65%	29.71%	18,316	18,721	21,952	22,762
24 - DPW hired aft 7/1/2016	4.42%	4.42%	-	-	1,042	1,042	0	0
25 - POAM hired after 7/1/2016	6.19%	6.19%	-	-	2,457	2,457	0	0
Municipality Total					\$ 221,594	\$ 225,131	\$ 212,639	\$ 219,825

Employee contribution rates:

	Employee Contribution Rate				
Valuation Date:	12/31/2018	12/31/2017			
Division					
02 - Plc Dfrd&Rtd	3% < ; 5% >	3% < ; 5% >			
10 - Supervisor/Management	7.00%	7.00%			
11 - Clerical/Court	7.00%	7.00%			
12 - Clerical/Court aft 7/1/16	7.00%	0.00%			
20 - POLC	7.00%	7.00%			
21 - POAM	7.00%	7.00%			
22 - Dispatch	7.00%	7.00%			
23 - DPW	7.00%	7.00%			
24 - DPW hired aft 7/1/2016	7.00%	0.00%			
25 - POAM hired after 7/1/2016	7.00%	0.00%			



The employer may contribute more than the minimum required contributions, as these additional contributions will earn investment income and may result in lower future contribution requirements. Employers making contributions in excess of the minimum requirements may elect to apply the excess contribution immediately to a particular division, or segregate the excess into one or more of what MERS calls "Surplus" divisions. An election in the first case would immediately reduce any unfunded accrued liability and lower the amortization payments throughout the remaining amortization period. An election to set up Surplus divisions would not immediately lower future contributions, however the assets from the Surplus division could be transferred to an unfunded division in the future to reduce the unfunded liability in future years, or to be used to pay all or a portion of the minimum required contribution in a future year. For purposes of this report, the assets in any Surplus division have been included in the municipality's total assets, unfunded accrued liability and funded status, however, these assets are not used in calculating the minimum required contribution.

MERS strongly encourages employers to contribute more than the minimum contribution shown above.

Assuming that experience of the plan meets actuarial assumptions:

• To accelerate to a 100% funding ratio in 10 years, estimated monthly employer contributions for the fiscal year beginning in 2020 for the entire employer would be \$351,919, instead of \$225,131.

How and Why Do These Numbers Change?

In a defined benefit plan contributions vary from one annual actuarial valuation to the next as a result of the following:

- Changes in benefit provisions (see Table 2)
- Changes in actuarial assumptions and methods (see the Appendix)
- Experience of the plan (investment experience and demographic experience); this is the difference between actual experience of the plan and the actuarial assumptions.

Comments on Investment Rate of Return Assumption

A defined benefit plan is funded by employer contributions, participant contributions, and investment earnings. Investment earnings have historically provided **more than half** of the funding. The larger the share of benefits being provided from investment returns, the smaller the required contributions, and vice versa. Determining the contributions required to prefund the promised retirement benefits requires an assumption of what investment earnings are expected to add to the fund over a long period of time. This is called the **Investment Return Assumption**.

The MERS Investment Return Assumption is **7.75%** per year. This, along with all of our other actuarial assumptions, is reviewed at least every five years in an Experience Study that compares the assumptions used against actual experience and recommends adjustments if necessary. If your municipality would like to explore contributions at lower assumed investment return assumptions, please review the "what if" projection scenarios later in this report.



Assumption Change in 2019

At the February 28, 2019 board meeting, the MERS Retirement Board adjusted key economic assumptions. These assumptions, in particular the investment return assumption, have a significant effect on a plan's required contribution and funding level. Historically low interest rates, along with high equity market valuations, have led to reductions in projected returns for most asset classes. This has resulted in a Board adopted reduction in the investment rate of return assumption to 7.35%, effective with the December 31, 2019 valuation first impacting 2021 contributions. The Board also changed the assumed rate of wage inflation from 3.75% to 3.00%, with the same effective date. This report includes a "What If" scenario of 7.35%/3.00% in order to show the potential impact of this assumption change.

Comments on Asset Smoothing

To avoid dramatic spikes and dips in annual contribution requirements due to short term fluctuations in asset markets, MERS applies a technique called **asset smoothing**. This spreads out each year's investment gains or losses over the prior year and the following four years. This smoothing method is used to determine your actuarial value of assets (valuation assets), which is then used to determine both your funded ratio and your required contributions. The (smoothed) **actuarial rate of return for 2018 was 3.80%**, **while the actual market rate of return was (4.12)%**. To see historical details of the market rate of return, compared to the smoothed actuarial rate of return, refer to this report's Appendix, or view the "<u>How Smoothing Works</u>" video on the <u>Defined Benefit resource page</u> of the MERS website.

As of December 31, 2018 the actuarial value of assets is 110% of market value due to asset smoothing. This means that meeting the actuarial assumption in the next few years will require average annual market returns that exceed the 7.75% investment return assumption, or contribution requirements will continue to increase.

If the December 31, 2018 valuation results were based on market value instead of actuarial value:

- The funded percent of your entire municipality would be 47% (instead of 52%); and
- Your total employer contribution requirement for the fiscal year starting July 1, 2020 would be \$2,932,848 (instead of \$2,701,572)

Alternate Scenarios to Estimate the Potential Volatility of Results ("What If Scenarios")

The calculations in this report are based on assumptions about long-term economic and demographic behavior. These assumptions will never materialize in a given year, except by coincidence. Therefore the results will vary from one year to the next. The volatility of the results depends upon the characteristics of the plan. For example:

- Open divisions that have substantial assets compared to their active employee payroll will have more volatile employer contribution rates due to investment return fluctuations.
- Open divisions that have substantial accrued liability compared to their active employee payroll will have more volatile employer contribution rates due to demographic experience fluctuations.
- Small divisions will have more volatile contribution patterns than larger divisions because statistical fluctuations are relatively larger among small populations.
- Shorter amortization periods result in more volatile contribution patterns.



Many assumptions are important in determining the required employer contributions. In the following table, we show the impact of varying the Investment Return assumption and the Wage Inflation assumption. Lower investment returns would result in higher required employer contributions, and vice-versa. Lower wage inflation generally results in lower required employer contributions as a dollar amount in the long run, and vice versa.

The relative impact of each economic scenario below will vary from year to year, as the participant demographics change. The impact of each scenario should be analyzed for a given year, not from year to year. The results in the table are based on the December 31, 2018 valuation, and are for the municipality in total, not by division. These results do not reflect a 5-year phase in of the impact of the new actuarial assumptions.

It is important to note that calculations in this report are mathematical estimates based upon assumptions regarding future events, which may or may not materialize. Actuarial calculations can and do vary from one valuation to the next, sometimes significantly depending on the group's size. Projections are not predictions. Future valuations will be based on actual future experience.

The Retirement Board has adopted a change to the Investment Return Assumption from 7.75% to 7.35%, and the wage inflation from 3.75% to 3.00%. This change will be effective in the December 31, 2019 valuation which will impact the Fiscal Year 2021 contribution. The scenario shown using these assumptions as of December 31, 2018 is illustrative only. The actual impact of this change when reflected in the 2019 valuation will be different.

	Assumed Future Annual Smoothed Rate of Investment Return					
		Lower Future	Adopted 2019			Valuation
12/31/2018 Valuation Results	A	Annual Returns		Assumption		Assumptions
Investment Return Assumption		5.75%		7.35%		7.75%
Wage Increase Assumption	3.75% 3.00%		3.00%			3.75%
Accrued Liability	\$	76,794,024	\$	64,131,898	\$	61,887,926
Valuation Assets ¹	\$	32,045,447	\$	32,045,447	\$	32,045,447
Unfunded Accrued Liability	\$	44,748,577	\$	32,086,451	\$	29,842,479
Funded Ratio		42%		50%		52%
Monthly Normal Cost	\$	80,552	\$	39,602	\$	39,949
Monthly Amortization Payment	\$	233,981	\$	201,706	\$	182,603
Total Employer Contribution ²	\$	316,761	\$	243,891	\$	225,131

¹ The Valuation Assets include assets from Surplus divisions, if any.



² If assets exceed accrued liabilities for a division, the division may have an overfunding credit to reduce the division's employer contribution requirement. If the overfunding credit is larger than the normal cost, the division's full credit is included in the municipality's amortization payment above but the division's total contribution requirement is zero. This can cause the displayed normal cost and amortization payment to not add up to the displayed total employer contribution.

Projection Scenarios

The next two pages show projections of the plan's funded ratio and computed employer contributions under the actuarial assumptions used in the valuation and alternate economic scenarios. All three projections take into account the past investment losses that will continue to affect the actuarial rate of return in the short term.

The 7.75%/3.75% scenario provides an estimate of computed employer contributions based on current actuarial assumptions, and a projected 7.75% market return. The other two scenarios may be useful if the municipality chooses to budget more conservatively, and make contributions in addition to the minimum requirements. The 7.35%/3.00% and 5.75%/3.75% projections provide an indication of the potential required employer contribution if these assumptions were met over the long-term.

Please note that one or more of your divisions trigger the 3 times benefit payout minimum contribution requirement during the projection period (see table following the projections and the graphs). This contribution requirement was designed so that a plan does not run out of money. This means that if assets in the plan are not enough to pay 3 years of benefit payouts, a minimum contribution is required to raise the level of the assets to be equal to at least 3 years of benefit payments. For a full description of this contribution requirement see the Appendix on the MERS website.



Valuation	Fiscal Year Beginping	Act	uarial Accrued			Funded	Con	nputed Annual Employer	
12/21	7/1			Lishilitu		Parcontago	Contribution		
12/31	//1		LIADIIILY	vai	uation Assets	Percentage		ontribution	
7.75% ¹ /3.75	%								
NO 5-YEAR	PHASE-IN								
2018	2020	\$	61,887,926	\$	32,045,447	52%	\$	2,701,572	
2019	2021	\$	63,100,000	\$	31,800,000	50%	\$	3,070,000	
2020	2022	\$	64,600,000	\$	32,600,000	50%	\$	3,160,000	
2021	2023	\$	66,000,000	\$	33,500,000	51%	\$	3,270,000	
2022	2024	\$	67,200,000	\$	34,200,000	51%	\$	3,420,000	
2023	2025	\$	68,500,000	\$	35,500,000	52%	\$	3,550,000	
7.35% ¹ /3.00	%								
NO 5-YEAR	PHASE-IN								
2018	2020	\$	64,131,898	\$	32,045,447	50%	\$	2,926,692	
2019	2021	\$	65,300,000	\$	31,700,000	49%	\$	3,230,000	
2020	2022	\$	66,700,000	\$	32,500,000	49%	\$	3,340,000	
2021	2023	\$	67,900,000	\$	33,400,000	49%	\$	3,440,000	
2022	2024	\$	69,100,000	\$	34,100,000	49%	\$	3,570,000	
2023	2025	\$	70,200,000	\$	35,500,000	51%	\$	3,680,000	
5.75% ¹ /3.75	%								
NO 5-YEAR	R PHASE-IN								
2018	2020	\$	76,794,024	\$	32,045,447	42%	\$	3,801,132	
2019	2021	\$	78,200,000	\$	31,200,000	40%	\$	4,200,000	
2020	2022	\$	79,800,000	\$	32,000,000	40%	\$	4,330,000	
2021	2023	\$	81,200,000	\$	33,300,000	41%	\$	4,470,000	
2022	2024	\$	82,700,000	\$	34,400,000	42%	\$	4,650,000	
2023	2025	\$	84,000,000	\$	36,500,000	43%	\$	4,800,000	

¹ Represents both the interest rate for discounting liabilities and the future investment return assumption on the Market Value of assets.
 ² Valuation Assets do not include assets from Surplus divisions, if any.





Notes:

All projected funded percentages are shown with no phase-in.

The green indicator lines have been added at 60% funded and 22 years following the valuation date for PA 202 purposes.



Notes:

All projected contributions are shown with no phase-in.



Valuation Year Ending 12/31	Fiscal Year Beginning 7/1	7.75%/3.75% No Phase-In	7.35%/3.00% No Phase-In	5.75%/3.75% No Phase-In
2018	2020	No	No	10
2019	2021	10	10	10
2020	2022	10	10	10
2021	2023	10	10	10
2022	2024	10	10	10
2023	2025	10	10	10

This table shows in any given year which division(s) are impacted by the 3 times benefit payout minimum required contribution. If "No" appears in the table, it means none of the divisions are impacted.



Table 1: Employer Contribution Details For the Fiscal Year Beginning July 1, 2020

			Em	ployer Contributio	ons ¹				
				Payment of the	Computed	Computed			Employee
	Total	Employee	Employer	Unfunded	Employer	Employer	Blended ER	Blended ER	Contribut.
	Normal	Contribut.	Normal	Accrued	Contribut. No	Contribut.	Rate No	Rate With	Conversion
Division	Cost	Rate	Cost	Liability ⁴	Phase-In	With Phase-In	Phase-In ⁵	Phase-In ⁵	Factor ²
Percentage of Payroll									
02 - Plc Dfrd&Rtd	0.00%	3% < ; 5% >	-	-	-	-			
10 - Supervisor/Management	17.34%	7.00%	10.34%	655.28%	665.62%	653.56%			0.93%
11 - Clerical/Court	16.66%	7.00%	-	-	-	-	10.78%	10.51%	
12 - Clerical/Court aft 7/1/16	10.33%	7.00%	3.33%	0.39%	3.72%	3.72%	10.78%	10.51%	0.91%
20 - POLC	19.13%	7.00%	12.13%	91.83%	103.96%	102.57%			0.84%
21 - POAM	20.08%	7.00%	-	-	-	-	36.82%	36.25%	
22 - Dispatch	0.00%	7.00%	-	-	-	-			
23 - DPW	16.84%	7.00%	-	-	-	-	30.19%	29.57%	
24 - DPW hired aft 7/1/2016	10.84%	7.00%	3.84%	0.58%	4.42%	4.42%	30.19%	29.57%	0.95%
25 - POAM hired after 7/1/2016	13.09%	7.00%	6.09%	0.10%	6.19%	6.19%	36.82%	36.25%	0.93%
Estimated Monthly Contribution ³									
02 - Plc Dfrd&Rtd			\$0	\$ (2,579)	\$ 0	\$ 0			
10 - Supervisor/Management			649	41,122	41,771	41,014			
11 - Clerical/Court			3,629	992	4,621	4,499			
12 - Clerical/Court aft 7/1/16			271	32	303	303			
20 - POLC			11,356	85,970	97,326	96,023			
21 - POAM			16,600	42,290	58,890	57,940			
22 - Dispatch			0	0	0	0			
23 - DPW			4,120	14,601	18,721	18,316			
24 - DPW hired aft 7/1/2016			906	136	1,042	1,042			
25 - POAM hired after 7/1/2016			2,418	39	2,457	2,457			
Total Municipality			\$ 39,949	\$ 182,603	\$ 225,131	\$ 221,594			
Estimated Annual Contribution ³			\$ 479,388	\$ 2,191,236	\$ 2,701,572	\$ 2,659,128			

¹ The above employer contribution requirements are in addition to the employee contributions, if any.

If employee contributions are increased/decreased by 1.00% of pay, the employer contribution requirement will decrease/increase by the Employee Contribution Conversion Factor. The conversion factor is usually under 1%, because employee contributions may be refunded at termination of employment, and not used to fund retirement pensions. Employer contributions will all be used to fund pensions.



- ³ For divisions that are open to new hires, estimated contributions are based on projected fiscal year payroll. Actual contributions will be based on actual reported monthly pays, and will be different from the above amounts. For divisions that will have no new hires (i.e., closed divisions), invoices will be based on the above dollar amounts which are based on projected fiscal year payroll. See description of Open Divisions and Closed Divisions in the Appendix.
- ⁴ Note that if the overfunding credit is larger than the normal cost, the full credit is shown above but the total contribution requirement is zero. This will cause the displayed normal cost and unfunded accrued liability contributions to not add across.
- For linked divisions, the employer will be invoiced the Computed Employer Contribution with Phase-in rate shown above for each linked division (a contribution rate for the open division; a contribution dollar for the closed-but-linked division), unless the employer elects to contribute the Blended Employer Contribution rate shown above, by contacting MERS at 800-767-MERS (6377).

Please see the Comments on Asset Smoothing in the Executive Summary of this report.



Table 2: Benefit Provisions

02 - Plc Dfrd&Rtd: Closed to new hires

	2018 Valuation	2017 Valuation
Benefit Multiplier:	Svc x [1.00% x FAC<\$4,200, plus 1.50% x	Svc x (1.00% times FAC<\$4,200, plus 1.50%
	FAC>\$4,200] (no max)	times FAC>\$4,200)
Normal Retirement Age:	60	60
Vesting:	10 years	10 years
Early Retirement (Unreduced):	-	-
Early Retirement (Reduced):	50/25	50/25
	55/15	55/15
Final Average Compensation:	5 years	5 years
Employee Contributions:	3.00% under \$4,200; 5.00% over \$4,200	3% under \$4,200; 5% over \$4,200
Act 88:	No	No

10 - Supervisor/Management: Open Division

	2018 Valuation	2017 Valuation
Benefit Multiplier:	2.50% Multiplier (80% max)	2.50% Multiplier (80% max)
Normal Retirement Age:	60	60
Vesting:	6 years	6 years
Early Retirement (Unreduced):	50/25	50/25
	55/10	55/10
Early Retirement (Reduced):	-	-
Final Average Compensation:	5 years	5 years
Employee Contributions:	7.00%	7.00%
Act 88:	Yes (Adopted 4/13/1967)	Yes (Adopted 4/13/1967)

11 - Clerical/Court: Closed to new hires, linked to Division 12

	2018 Valuation	2017 Valuation
Benefit Multiplier:	2.50% Multiplier (80% max)	2.50% Multiplier (80% max)
Normal Retirement Age:	60	60
Vesting:	6 years	6 years
Early Retirement (Unreduced):	50/25	50/25
	55/15	55/15
Early Retirement (Reduced):	-	-
Final Average Compensation:	5 years	5 years
Employee Contributions:	7.00%	7.00%
Act 88:	Yes (Adopted 4/13/1967)	Yes (Adopted 4/13/1967)



12 - Clerical/Court aft 7/1/16: Open Division, linked to Division 11

-	
2018 Valuation	2017 Valuation
1.50% Multiplier for Svc < 10 yrs, 2.00%	-
Multiplier for Svc > 10 yrs (no max)	
60	-
6 years	-
55/15	-
50/25	-
5 years	-
7.00%	-
Yes (Adopted 4/13/1967)	-
	2018 Valuation 1.50% Multiplier for Svc < 10 yrs, 2.00% Multiplier for Svc > 10 yrs (no max) 60 6 years 55/15 50/25 5 years 7.00% Yes (Adopted 4/13/1967)

20 - POLC: Open Division

	2018 Valuation	2017 Valuation
Benefit Multiplier:	2.50% Multiplier (80% max)	2.50% Multiplier (80% max)
Normal Retirement Age:	55	55
Vesting:	10 years	10 years
Early Retirement (Unreduced):	50/25	50/25
Early Retirement (Reduced):	-	-
Final Average Compensation:	3 years	3 years
Employee Contributions:	7.00%	7.00%
Act 88:	Yes (Adopted 4/13/1967)	Yes (Adopted 4/13/1967)

21 - POAM: Closed to new hires, linked to Division 25

	2018 Valuation	2017 Valuation
Benefit Multiplier:	2.50% Multiplier (75% max)	2.50% Multiplier (75% max)
Normal Retirement Age:	55	55
Vesting:	10 years	10 years
Early Retirement (Unreduced):	50/25	50/25
Early Retirement (Reduced):	-	-
Final Average Compensation:	5 years	5 years
COLA for Future Retirees:	2.00% (Non-Compound)	2% (Non-Compound)
Employee Contributions:	7.00%	7.00%
Act 88:	Yes (Adopted 7/1/2014)	Yes (Adopted 7/1/2014)

22 - Dispatch: Closed to new hires

	2018 Valuation	2017 Valuation	
Benefit Multiplier:	2.50% Multiplier (no max)	2.50% Multiplier (no max)	
Normal Retirement Age:	60	60	
Vesting:	6 years	6 years	
Early Retirement (Unreduced):	50/25	50/25	
	55/10	55/10	
Early Retirement (Reduced):	-	-	
Final Average Compensation:	5 years	5 years	
Employee Contributions:	7.00%	7.00%	
Act 88:	Yes (Adopted 4/13/1967)	Yes (Adopted 4/13/1967)	



23 - DPW: Closed to new hires, linked to Division 24

	2018 Valuation	2017 Valuation	
Benefit Multiplier:	2.75% Multiplier (80% max)	2.75% Multiplier (80% max)	
Normal Retirement Age:	60	60	
Vesting:	6 years	6 years	
Early Retirement (Unreduced):	50/25	50/25	
	55/10	55/10	
Early Retirement (Reduced):	-	-	
Final Average Compensation:	5 years	5 years	
Employee Contributions:	7.00%	7.00%	
Act 88:	Yes (Adopted 4/13/1967)	Yes (Adopted 4/13/1967)	

24 - DPW hired aft 7/1/2016: Open Division, linked to Division 23

	2018 Valuation	2017 Valuation
Benefit Multiplier:	1.50% Multiplier for Svc < 10 yrs, 2.00%	-
	Multiplier for Svc > 10 yrs (no max)	
Normal Retirement Age:	60	-
Vesting:	6 years	-
Early Retirement (Unreduced):	55/10	-
Early Retirement (Reduced):	50/25	-
Final Average Compensation:	5 years	-
Employee Contributions:	7.00%	-
Act 88:	Yes (Adopted 4/13/1967)	-

25 - POAM hired after 7/1/2016: Open Division, linked to Division 21

	2018 Valuation	2017 Valuation
Benefit Multiplier:	1.50% Multiplier for Svc < 10 yrs, 2.00%	-
	Multiplier for Svc > 10 yrs (no max)	
Normal Retirement Age:	60	-
Vesting:	6 years	-
Early Retirement (Unreduced):	55/10	-
Early Retirement (Reduced):	50/25	-
Final Average Compensation:	5 years	-
COLA for Future Retirees:	2.00% (Non-Compound)	-
Employee Contributions:	7.00%	-
Act 88:	Yes (Adopted 4/13/1967)	-



Table 3: Participant Summary

	2018	8 Va	luation	2017	' Va	luation		ion	
								Average	Average
			Annual			Annual	Average	Benefit	Eligibility
Division	Number		Payroll ¹	Number		Payroll ¹	Age	Service ²	Service ²
02 - Plc Dfrd&Rtd									
Active Employees	0	\$	0	0	\$	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Vested Former Employees	0		0	0	-	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Retirees and Beneficiaries	3		9,502	3		9,502	79.9		
10 - Supervisor/Management						,			
Active Employees	1	\$	68,673	1	\$	65,594	55.0	11.7	11.7
Vested Former Employees	6		68,224	6		68,224	50.5	0.0	11.2
Retirees and Beneficiaries	32		872,303	32		872,301	68.0		
11 - Clerical/Court									
Active Employees	9	\$	427,218	10	\$	427,908	42.5	12.2	12.2
Vested Former Employees	5		41,509	5		41,509	50.9	1.9	9.5
Retirees and Beneficiaries	11		186,049	11		186,049	76.3		
12 - Clerical/Court aft 7/1/16									
Active Employees	2	\$	72,799	0	\$	0	44.0	0.6	0.6
Vested Former Employees	0		0	0		0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Retirees and Beneficiaries	0		0	0		0	0.0		
20 - POLC									
Active Employees	8	\$	1,024,502	10	\$	1,093,559	45.1	20.6	20.6
Vested Former Employees	1		51,725	0		0	42.8	18.8	18.8
Retirees and Beneficiaries	33		1,832,061	32		1,700,318	66.2		
21 - POAM									
Active Employees	16	\$	1,558,230	26	\$	2,185,461	45.6	17.9	18.1
Vested Former Employees	4		71,695	2		33,000	38.8	5.1	10.0
Retirees and Beneficiaries	18		683,725	16		571,775	66.4		
22 - Dispatch									
Active Employees	0	\$	0	5	\$	281,758	0.0	0.0	0.0
Vested Former Employees	4		93,773	1		11,872	51.6	13.3	16.2
Retirees and Beneficiaries	1		40,041	1		40,041	61.2		
23 - DPW									
Active Employees	7	\$	482,650	12	\$	838,250	45.1	17.6	18.2
Vested Former Employees	6		91,941	5		55,197	51.8	3.1	12.9
Retirees and Beneficiaries	12		400,530	11		312,047	65.8		
24 - DPW hired aft 7/1/2016									
Active Employees	5	\$	233,651	0	\$	0	32.1	1.0	4.4
Vested Former Employees	0		0	0		0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Retirees and Beneficiaries	0		0	0		0	0.0		



Table 3 (continued)

	2018 Valuation			2017 Valuation			2018 Valuation			
								Average	Average	
			Annual			Annual	Average	Benefit	Eligibility	
Division	Number	P	Payroll ¹	Number		Payroll ¹	Age	Service ²	Service ²	
25 - POAM hired after 7/1/2016										
Active Employees	6	\$	265,123	0	\$	0	25.9	0.5	0.5	
Vested Former Employees	0		0	0		0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Retirees and Beneficiaries	0		0	0		0	0.0			
Total Municipality										
Active Employees	54	\$	4,132,846	64	\$	4,892,530	41.6	13.1	13.5	
Vested Former Employees	26		418,867	19		209,802	49.0	4.6	12.1	
Retirees and Beneficiaries	<u>110</u>		4,024,211	<u>106</u>		3,692,033	68.1			
Total Participants	190			189						

¹ Annual payroll for active employees; annual deferred benefits payable for vested former employees; annual benefits being paid for retirees and beneficiaries.

Descriptions can be found under Miscellaneous and Technical Assumptions in the Appendix.



	2018 Valuation					2017 Valuation			
Division	En	nployer and Retiree ¹		Employee ²	E	mployer and Retiree ¹	6	Employee ²	
02 - Plc Dfrd&Rtd	\$	283,456	\$	0	\$	305,353	\$	0	
10 - Supervisor/Management	,	2,387,940		110,890		3,094,493		103,392	
11 - Clerical/Court		2,063,551		303,364		2,104,889		275,807	
12 - Clerical/Court aft 7/1/16		(2,973)		2,973		0		0	
20 - POLC		9,202,841		657,140		10,166,564		731,488	
21 - POAM		7,211,118		1,329,026		7,678,783		1,308,283	
22 - Dispatch		1,075,342		207,546		952,831		187,368	
23 - DPW		3,978,361		420,628		4,073,191		460,416	
24 - DPW hired aft 7/1/2016		19,952		553		0		0	
25 - POAM hired after 7/1/2016		(1,371)		5,773		0		0	
Municipality Total ³	\$	26,218,218	\$	3,037,892	\$	28,376,104	\$	3,066,754	
Combined Assets ³	\$29,256,110 \$31			\$31,4	12,85	8			

¹ Reserve for Employer Contributions and Benefit Payments.

² Reserve for Employee Contributions.

³ Totals may not add due to rounding.

The December 31, 2018 valuation assets (actuarial value of assets) are equal to 1.095342 times the reported market value of assets (compared to 1.011321 as of December 31, 2017). Refer to the Appendix for a description of the valuation asset derivation and a detailed calculation of valuation assets.



Table 5: Flow of Valuation Assets

				Investment					
Year				Income		Employee		Valuation	
Ended	Employer Co	ontributions	Employee	(Valuation	Benefit	Contribution	Net	Asset	
12/31	Required	Additional	Contributions	Assets)	Payments	Refunds	Transfers	Balance	
2008	\$0		\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	
2009	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	
2010	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	
2011	0	\$0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2012	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2013	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2014	27,105,032	0	0	1,941,362	(538,524)	0	0	28,798,705	
2015	3,402,661	4,351	690,780	1,536,478	(3,344,392)	0	(471,605)	30,616,978	
2016	2,039,478	0	349,173	1,487,788	(3,535,697)	(609)	0	30,957,111	
2017	2,082,726	70,284	359,217	1,831,753	(3,635,258)	(16,490)	149,480	31,798,823	
2018	1,282,645	1,257,912	354,863	1,154,962	(3,823,376)	(28,967)	48,585	32,045,447	

Notes:

Transfers in and out are usually related to the transfer of participants between municipalities, and to employer and employee payments for service credit purchases (if any) that the governing body has approved.

Additional employer contributions, if any, are shown separately starting in 2011. Prior to 2011, additional contributions are combined with the required employer contributions.

The investment income column reflects the recognized investment income based on Valuation Assets. It does not reflect the market value investment return in any given year.

The Valuation Asset balance includes assets from Surplus divisions, if any.



Table 6: Actuarial Accrued Liabilities and Valuation Assetsas of December 31, 2018

		Actua	arial Accrued Lia			Unfunded		
		Vested						(Overfunded)
	Active	Former	Retirees and	Pending			Percent	Accrued
Division	Employees	Employees	Beneficiaries	Refunds	Total	Valuation Assets	Funded	Liabilities
02 - Plc Dfrd&Rtd	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 61,201	\$ 0	\$ 61,201	\$ 310,481	507.3%	\$ (249,280)
10 - Supervisor/Management	180,454	427,727	8,825,752	11,674	9,445,607	2,737,074	29.0%	6,708,533
11 - Clerical/Court	1,059,690	251,830	1,447,287	0	2,758,807	2,592,582	94.0%	166,225
12 - Clerical/Court aft 7/1/16	3,841	0	0	0	3,841	0	0.0%	3,841
20 - POLC	5,719,626	238,124	18,829,677	0	24,787,427	10,800,051	43.6%	13,987,376
21 - POAM	8,091,169	417,930	7,692,410	56,346	16,257,855	9,354,379	57.5%	6,903,476
22 - Dispatch	0	834,707	419,097	47,060	1,300,864	1,405,201	108.0%	(104,337)
23 - DPW	2,144,443	647,308	4,432,194	0	7,223,945	4,818,397	66.7%	2,405,548
24 - DPW hired aft 7/1/2016	38,870	0	0	0	38,870	22,460	57.8%	16,410
25 - POAM hired after 7/1/2016	9,509	0	0	0	9,509	4,822	50.7%	4,687
Total	\$ 17,247,602	\$ 2,817,626	\$ 41,707,618	\$ 115,080	\$ 61,887,926	\$ 32,045,447	51.8%	\$ 29,842,479



The following results show the combined accrued liabilities and assets for each set of linked divisions. These results are already shown in the table on the prior page(s).

Table 6 (continued)

		Actuarial Accrued Liability									Unfunded		
				Vested								(Overfunded)
		Active		Former	Re	etirees and	Pending				Percent		Accrued
Division	En	nployees	Er	nployees	Be	eneficiaries	Refunds	Total	Valu	uation Assets	Funded		Liabilities
Linked Divisions 12, 11	\$	1,063,531	\$	251,830	\$	1,447,287	\$ 0	\$ 2,762,648	\$	2,592,582	93.8%	\$	170,066
Linked Divisions 24, 23		2,183,313		647,308		4,432,194	0	7,262,815		4,840,857	66.7%		2,421,958
Linked Divisions 25, 21		8,100,678		417,930		7,692,410	56,346	16,267,364		9,359,201	57.5%		6,908,163

Please see the Comments on Asset Smoothing in the Executive Summary of this report.



Table 7: Actuarial Accrued Liabilities - Comparative Schedule

				Unfunded (Overfunded)
Valuation Date	Actuarial		Percent	Accrued
December 31	Accrued Liability	Valuation Assets	Funded	Liabilities
2004	\$ 0	\$ 0	0%	\$ 0
2005	0	0	0%	0
2006	0	0	0%	0
2007	0	0	0%	0
2008	0	0	0%	0
2009	0	0	0%	0
2010	0	0	0%	0
2011	0	0	0%	0
2012	0	0	0%	0
2013	0	0	0%	0
2014	49,905,166	28,798,705	58%	21,106,461
2015	54,774,413	30,616,978	56%	24,157,435
2016	59,257,679	30,957,111	52%	28,300,568
2017	59,774,487	31,798,823	53%	27,975,664
2018	61,887,926	32,045,447	52%	29,842,479

Notes: Actuarial assumptions were revised for the 2004, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2015 actuarial valuations.

The Valuation Assets include assets from Surplus divisions, if any.



Tables 8 and 9: Division-Based Comparative Schedules

Division 02 - Plc Dfrd&Rtd

				Unfunded (Overfunded)
Valuation Date	Actuarial		Percent	Accrued
December 31	Accrued Liability	Valuation Assets	Funded	Liabilities
2008	\$ 0	\$ 0	0%	\$ 0
2009	0	0	0%	0
2010	0	0	0%	0
2011	0	0	0%	0
2012	0	0	0%	0
2013	0	0	0%	0
2014	87,969	293,802	334%	(205,833)
2015	90,070	295,052	328%	(204,982)
2016	65,541	300,292	458%	(234,751)
2017	63,084	308,810	490%	(245,726)
2018	61,201	310,481	507%	(249,280)

Table 8-02: Actuarial Accrued Liabilities - Comparative Schedule

Notes: Actuarial assumptions were revised for the 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2015 actuarial valuations.

	Active En	nployees	Computed	Employee
Valuation Date		Annual	Employer	Contribution
December 31	Number	Payroll	Contribution ¹	Rate ²
2008	0	\$ 0	\$ 0	0.00%
2009	0	0	\$ 0	0.00%
2010	0	0	\$ 0	0.00%
2011	0	0	\$ 0	0.00%
2012	0	0	\$ 0	0.00%
2013	0	0	\$ 0	0.00%
2014	0	0	\$ 0	0.00%
2015	0	0	\$ 0	0.00%
2016	0	0	\$ 0	3% < ; 5% >
2017	0	0	\$ 0	3% < ; 5% >
2018	0	0	\$ 0	3% < ; 5% >

Table 9-02: Computed Employer Contributions - Comparative Schedule

1 For open divisions, a percent of pay contribution is shown. For closed divisions, a monthly dollar contribution is shown.

2 For each valuation year, the computed employer contribution is based on the employee rate. If the employee rate changes during the applicable fiscal year, the computed employer contributon will be adjusted.

Note: The contributions shown in Table 9 for the 12/31/2015 through 12/31/2019 valuations do **not** reflect the phase-in of the increased contribution requirements associated with the new actuarial assumptions. The full contribution without phase-in is shown in Table 9 above. The contribution requirements including the 5-year phase-in are shown on page 2.

See the Benefit Provision History, later in this report, for past benefit provision changes.



				Unfunded (Overfunded)
Valuation Date	Actuarial		Percent	Accrued
December 31	Accrued Liability	Valuation Assets	Funded	Liabilities
2008	\$ 0	\$ 0	0%	\$ 0
2009	0	0	0%	0
2010	0	0	0%	0
2011	0	0	0%	0
2012	0	0	0%	0
2013	0	0	0%	0
2014	9,094,283	3,176,294	35%	5,917,989
2015	9,921,827	3,708,065	37%	6,213,762
2016	9,876,284	3,495,026	35%	6,381,258
2017	9,542,074	3,234,088	34%	6,307,986
2018	9,445,607	2,737,074	29%	6,708,533

Table 8-10: Actuarial Accrued Liabilities - Comparative Schedule

	Active En	nployees	Computed	Employee
Valuation Date		Annual	Employer	Contribution
December 31	Number	Payroll	Contribution ¹	Rate ²
2008	0	\$ 0	\$ 0	0.00%
2009	0	0	\$ 0	0.00%
2010	0	0	\$ 0	0.00%
2011	0	0	\$ 0	0.00%
2012	0	0	\$ 0	0.00%
2013	0	0	\$ 0	0.00%
2014	2	134,772	268.51%	5.00%
2015	3	210,194	183.31%	7.00%
2016	3	221,779	188.80%	7.00%
2017	1	65,594	634.50%	7.00%
2018	1	68,673	665.62%	7.00%

Table 9-10: Computed Employer Contributions - Comparative Schedule

1 For open divisions, a percent of pay contribution is shown. For closed divisions, a monthly dollar contribution is shown.

2 For each valuation year, the computed employer contribution is based on the employee rate. If the employee rate changes during the applicable fiscal year, the computed employer contributon will be adjusted.

Note: The contributions shown in Table 9 for the 12/31/2015 through 12/31/2019 valuations do **not** reflect the phase-in of the increased contribution requirements associated with the new actuarial assumptions. The full contribution without phase-in is shown in Table 9 above. The contribution requirements including the 5-year phase-in are shown on page 2.

See the Benefit Provision History, later in this report, for past benefit provision changes.



				Unfunded (Overfunded)
Valuation Date	Actuarial		Percent	Accrued
December 31	Accrued Liability	Valuation Assets	Funded	Liabilities
2008	\$ 0	\$ 0	0%	\$ 0
2009	0	0	0%	0
2010	0	0	0%	0
2011	0	0	0%	0
2012	0	0	0%	0
2013	0	0	0%	0
2014	2,272,913	2,548,114	112%	(275,201)
2015	2,512,438	2,426,641	97%	85,797
2016	2,559,179	2,394,696	94%	164,483
2017	2,606,729	2,407,648	92%	199,081
2018	2,758,807	2,592,582	94%	166,225

Table 8-11: Actuarial Accrued Liabilities - Comparative Schedule

	Active Em	nployees	Computed	Employee
Valuation Date		Annual	Employer	Contribution
December 31	Number	Payroll	Contribution ¹	Rate ²
2008	0	\$ 0	\$ O	0.00%
2009	0	0	\$ 0	0.00%
2010	0	0	\$ 0	0.00%
2011	0	0	\$ 0	0.00%
2012	0	0	\$ 0	0.00%
2013	0	0	\$ 0	0.00%
2014	10	442,581	2.78%	7.00%
2015	9	384,957	11.77%	7.00%
2016	9	389,238	12.62%	7.00%
2017	10	427,908	12.64%	7.00%
2018	9	427,218	\$ 4,621	7.00%

Table 9-11: Computed Employer Contributions - Comparative Schedule

1 For open divisions, a percent of pay contribution is shown. For closed divisions, a monthly dollar contribution is shown.

2 For each valuation year, the computed employer contribution is based on the employee rate. If the employee rate changes during the applicable fiscal year, the computed employer contributon will be adjusted.

Note: The contributions shown in Table 9 for the 12/31/2015 through 12/31/2019 valuations do **not** reflect the phase-in of the increased contribution requirements associated with the new actuarial assumptions. The full contribution without phase-in is shown in Table 9 above. The contribution requirements including the 5-year phase-in are shown on page 2.

See the Benefit Provision History, later in this report, for past benefit provision changes.



				Unfunded (Overfunded)
Valuation Date	Actuarial		Percent	Accrued
December 31	Accrued Liability	Valuation Assets	Funded	Liabilities
2008	\$ 0	\$ 0	0%	\$ 0
2009	0	0	0%	0
2010	0	0	0%	0
2011	0	0	0%	0
2012	0	0	0%	0
2013	0	0	0%	0
2014	0	0	0%	0
2015	0	0	0%	0
2016	0	0	0%	0
2017	0	0	0%	0
2018	3,841	0	0%	3,841

Table 8-12: Actuarial Accrued Liabilities - Comparative Schedule

	Active En	nployees	Computed	Employee
Valuation Date		Annual	Employer	Contribution
December 31	Number	Payroll	Contribution ¹	Rate ²
2008	0	\$ 0	\$0.00	0.00%
2009	0	0	\$0.00	0.00%
2010	0	0	\$0.00	0.00%
2011	0	0	\$0.00	0.00%
2012	0	0	\$0.00	0.00%
2013	0	0	\$0.00	0.00%
2014	0	0	\$0.00	0.00%
2015	0	0	\$0.00	0.00%
2016	0	0	\$0.00	0.00%
2017	0	0	0	0.00%
2018	2	72,799	3.72%	7.00%

Table 9-12: Computed Employer Contributions - Comparative Schedule

1 For open divisions, a percent of pay contribution is shown. For closed divisions, a monthly dollar contribution is shown.

2 For each valuation year, the computed employer contribution is based on the employee rate. If the employee rate changes during the applicable fiscal year, the computed employer contributon will be adjusted.

Note: The contributions shown in Table 9 for the 12/31/2015 through 12/31/2019 valuations do **not** reflect the phase-in of the increased contribution requirements associated with the new actuarial assumptions. The full contribution without phase-in is shown in Table 9 above. The contribution requirements including the 5-year phase-in are shown on page 2.

See the Benefit Provision History, later in this report, for past benefit provision changes.



				Unfunded (Overfunded)
Valuation Date	Actuarial		Percent	Accrued
December 31	Accrued Liability	Valuation Assets	Funded	Liabilities
2008	\$ 0	\$ 0	0%	\$ 0
2009	0	0	0%	0
2010	0	0	0%	0
2011	0	0	0%	0
2012	0	0	0%	0
2013	0	0	0%	0
2014	19,386,868	10,936,852	56%	8,450,016
2015	20,997,988	11,087,165	53%	9,910,823
2016	23,262,701	10,869,094	47%	12,393,607
2017	23,808,308	11,021,429	46%	12,786,879
2018	24,787,427	10,800,051	44%	13,987,376

Table 8-20: Actuarial Accrued Liabilities - Comparative Schedule

	Active En	nployees	Computed	Employee
Valuation Date		Annual	Employer	Contribution
December 31	Number	Payroll	Contribution ¹	Rate ²
2008	0	\$ 0	\$ 0	0.00%
2009	0	0	\$ 0	0.00%
2010	0	0	\$ 0	0.00%
2011	0	0	\$ 0	0.00%
2012	0	0	\$ 0	0.00%
2013	0	0	\$ 0	0.00%
2014	11	1,179,932	54.67%	7.00%
2015	11	1,109,721	68.31%	7.00%
2016	11	1,134,800	82.61%	7.00%
2017	10	1,093,559	88.50%	7.00%
2018	8	1,024,502	103.96%	7.00%

Table 9-20: Computed Employer Contributions - Comparative Schedule

1 For open divisions, a percent of pay contribution is shown. For closed divisions, a monthly dollar contribution is shown.

2 For each valuation year, the computed employer contribution is based on the employee rate. If the employee rate changes during the applicable fiscal year, the computed employer contributon will be adjusted.

Note: The contributions shown in Table 9 for the 12/31/2015 through 12/31/2019 valuations do **not** reflect the phase-in of the increased contribution requirements associated with the new actuarial assumptions. The full contribution without phase-in is shown in Table 9 above. The contribution requirements including the 5-year phase-in are shown on page 2.

See the Benefit Provision History, later in this report, for past benefit provision changes.



				Unfunded (Overfunded)
Valuation Date	Actuarial		Percent	Accrued
December 31	Accrued Liability	Valuation Assets	Funded	Liabilities
2008	\$ 0	\$ 0	0%	\$ 0
2009	0	0	0%	0
2010	0	0	0%	0
2011	0	0	0%	0
2012	0	0	0%	0
2013	0	0	0%	0
2014	12,412,485	6,783,259	55%	5,629,226
2015	13,572,595	7,896,315	58%	5,676,280
2016	15,626,114	8,524,511	55%	7,101,603
2017	15,308,700	9,088,809	59%	6,219,891
2018	16,257,855	9,354,379	58%	6,903,476

Table 8-21:	Actuarial Acc	rued Liabilities -	Comparative	Schedule
	/			

	Active En	nployees	Computed	Employee
Valuation Date		Annual	Employer	Contribution
December 31	Number	Payroll	Contribution ¹	Rate ²
2008	0	\$ 0	\$ O	0.00%
2009	0	0	\$ 0	0.00%
2010	0	0	\$ 0	0.00%
2011	0	0	\$ 0	0.00%
2012	0	0	\$ 0	0.00%
2013	0	0	\$ 0	0.00%
2014	29	2,375,577	26.85%	7.00%
2015	27	2,236,591	28.70%	7.00%
2016	29	2,431,228	32.81%	7.00%
2017	26	2,185,461	31.14%	7.00%
2018	16	1,558,230	\$ 58,890	7.00%

Table 9-21: Computed Employer Contributions - Comparative Schedule

1 For open divisions, a percent of pay contribution is shown. For closed divisions, a monthly dollar contribution is shown.

2 For each valuation year, the computed employer contribution is based on the employee rate. If the employee rate changes during the applicable fiscal year, the computed employer contributon will be adjusted.

Note: The contributions shown in Table 9 for the 12/31/2015 through 12/31/2019 valuations do **not** reflect the phase-in of the increased contribution requirements associated with the new actuarial assumptions. The full contribution without phase-in is shown in Table 9 above. The contribution requirements including the 5-year phase-in are shown on page 2.

See the Benefit Provision History, later in this report, for past benefit provision changes.



				Unfunded (Overfunded)
Valuation Date	Actuarial		Percent	Accrued
December 31	Accrued Liability	Valuation Assets	Funded	Liabilities
2008	\$ 0	\$ 0	0%	\$ 0
2009	0	0	0%	0
2010	0	0	0%	0
2011	0	0	0%	0
2012	0	0	0%	0
2013	0	0	0%	0
2014	981,973	940,671	96%	41,302
2015	1,152,292	1,007,392	87%	144,900
2016	1,246,826	1,074,155	86%	172,671
2017	1,331,261	1,153,107	87%	178,154
2018	1,300,864	1,405,201	108%	(104,337)

Table 8-22: Actuarial Accrued Liabilities - Comparative Schedule

	Active Employees		Computed	Employee
Valuation Date		Annual	Employer	Contribution
December 31	Number	Payroll	Contribution ¹	Rate ²
2008	0	\$ 0	\$ 0	0.00%
2009	0	0	\$ 0	0.00%
2010	0	0	\$ 0	0.00%
2011	0	0	\$ 0	0.00%
2012	0	0	\$ 0	0.00%
2013	0	0	\$ 0	0.00%
2014	5	274,336	10.23%	7.00%
2015	5	267,956	12.82%	7.00%
2016	5	282,541	13.25%	7.00%
2017	5	281,758	13.39%	7.00%
2018	0	0	\$ O	7.00%

Table 9-22: Computed Employer Contributions - Comparative Schedule

1 For open divisions, a percent of pay contribution is shown. For closed divisions, a monthly dollar contribution is shown.

2 For each valuation year, the computed employer contribution is based on the employee rate. If the employee rate changes during the applicable fiscal year, the computed employer contributon will be adjusted.

Note: The contributions shown in Table 9 for the 12/31/2015 through 12/31/2019 valuations do **not** reflect the phase-in of the increased contribution requirements associated with the new actuarial assumptions. The full contribution without phase-in is shown in Table 9 above. The contribution requirements including the 5-year phase-in are shown on page 2.

See the Benefit Provision History, later in this report, for past benefit provision changes.



				Unfunded (Overfunded)
Valuation Date	Actuarial		Percent	Accrued
December 31	Accrued Liability	Valuation Assets	Funded	Liabilities
2008	\$ 0	\$ 0	0%	\$ 0
2009	0	0	0%	0
2010	0	0	0%	0
2011	0	0	0%	0
2012	0	0	0%	0
2013	0	0	0%	0
2014	5,668,675	4,119,713	73%	1,548,962
2015	6,527,203	4,196,348	64%	2,330,855
2016	6,621,034	4,299,337	65%	2,321,697
2017	7,114,331	4,584,932	64%	2,529,399
2018	7,223,945	4,818,397	67%	2,405,548

Table 8-23:	Actuarial Ac	crued Liabilitie	s - Comparat	ive Schedule
	/		o comparat	ive seriedure

	Active En	nployees	Computed	Employee
Valuation Date		Annual	Employer	Contribution
December 31	Number	Payroll	Contribution ¹	Rate ²
2008	0	\$ 0	\$ 0	0.00%
2009	0	0	\$ 0	0.00%
2010	0	0	\$ 0	0.00%
2011	0	0	\$ 0	0.00%
2012	0	0	\$ 0	0.00%
2013	0	0	\$ 0	0.00%
2014	9	612,438	24.85%	7.00%
2015	9	614,640	34.00%	7.00%
2016	9	593,604	34.78%	7.00%
2017	12	838,250	29.71%	7.00%
2018	7	482,650	\$ 18,721	7.00%

Table 9-23: Computed Employer Contributions - Comparative Schedule

1 For open divisions, a percent of pay contribution is shown. For closed divisions, a monthly dollar contribution is shown.

2 For each valuation year, the computed employer contribution is based on the employee rate. If the employee rate changes during the applicable fiscal year, the computed employer contributon will be adjusted.

Note: The contributions shown in Table 9 for the 12/31/2015 through 12/31/2019 valuations do **not** reflect the phase-in of the increased contribution requirements associated with the new actuarial assumptions. The full contribution without phase-in is shown in Table 9 above. The contribution requirements including the 5-year phase-in are shown on page 2.

See the Benefit Provision History, later in this report, for past benefit provision changes.



				Unfunded (Overfunded)
Valuation Date	Actuarial		Percent	Accrued
December 31	Accrued Liability	Valuation Assets	Funded	Liabilities
2008	\$ 0	\$ 0	0%	\$ 0
2009	0	0	0%	0
2010	0	0	0%	0
2011	0	0	0%	0
2012	0	0	0%	0
2013	0	0	0%	0
2014	0	0	0%	0
2015	0	0	0%	0
2016	0	0	0%	0
2017	0	0	0%	0
2018	38,870	22,460	58%	16,410

Table 8-24: Actuarial Accrued Liabilities - Comparative Schedule

	Active En	nployees	Computed	Employee
Valuation Date		Annual	Employer	Contribution
December 31	Number	Payroll	Contribution ¹	Rate ²
2008	0	\$ 0	\$0.00	0.00%
2009	0	0	\$0.00	0.00%
2010	0	0	\$0.00	0.00%
2011	0	0	\$0.00	0.00%
2012	0	0	\$0.00	0.00%
2013	0	0	\$0.00	0.00%
2014	0	0	\$0.00	0.00%
2015	0	0	\$0.00	0.00%
2016	0	0	\$0.00	0.00%
2017	0	0	\$0.00	0.00%
2018	5	233,651	4.42%	7.00%

Table 9-24: Computed Employer Contributions - Comparative Schedule

1 For open divisions, a percent of pay contribution is shown. For closed divisions, a monthly dollar contribution is shown.

2 For each valuation year, the computed employer contribution is based on the employee rate. If the employee rate changes during the applicable fiscal year, the computed employer contributon will be adjusted.

Note: The contributions shown in Table 9 for the 12/31/2015 through 12/31/2019 valuations do **not** reflect the phase-in of the increased contribution requirements associated with the new actuarial assumptions. The full contribution without phase-in is shown in Table 9 above. The contribution requirements including the 5-year phase-in are shown on page 2.

See the Benefit Provision History, later in this report, for past benefit provision changes.



				Unfunded (Overfunded)
Valuation Date	Actuarial		Percent	Accrued
December 31	Accrued Liability	Valuation Assets	Funded	Liabilities
2008	\$ 0	\$ 0	0%	\$ 0
2009	0	0	0%	0
2010	0	0	0%	0
2011	0	0	0%	0
2012	0	0	0%	0
2013	0	0	0%	0
2014	0	0	0%	0
2015	0	0	0%	0
2016	0	0	0%	0
2017	0	0	0%	0
2018	9,509	4,822	51%	4,687

Table 8-25: Actuarial Accrued Liabilities - Comparative Schedule

Notes: Actuarial assumptions were revised for the 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2015 actuarial valuations.

	Active Em	nployees	Computed	Employee
Valuation Date		Annual	Employer	Contribution
December 31	Number	Payroll	Contribution ¹	Rate ²
2008	0	\$ 0	\$0.00	0.00%
2009	0	0	\$0.00	0.00%
2010	0	0	\$0.00	0.00%
2011	0	0	\$0.00	0.00%
2012	0	0	\$0.00	0.00%
2013	0	0	\$0.00	0.00%
2014	0	0	\$0.00	0.00%
2015	0	0	\$0.00	0.00%
2016	0	0	\$0.00	0.00%
2017	0	0	\$0.00	0.00%
2018	6	265,123	6.19%	7.00%

Table 9-25: Computed Employer Contributions - Comparative Schedule

1 For open divisions, a percent of pay contribution is shown. For closed divisions, a monthly dollar contribution is shown.

2 For each valuation year, the computed employer contribution is based on the employee rate. If the employee rate changes during the applicable fiscal year, the computed employer contributon will be adjusted.

Note: The contributions shown in Table 9 for the 12/31/2015 through 12/31/2019 valuations do **not** reflect the phase-in of the increased contribution requirements associated with the new actuarial assumptions. The full contribution without phase-in is shown in Table 9 above. The contribution requirements including the 5-year phase-in are shown on page 2.

See the Benefit Provision History, later in this report, for past benefit provision changes.



Division 02 - Plc Dfrd&Rtd

				Am	ing 7/	1/2020		
			Original			Remaining	Α	nnual
	Date	Original	Amortization	Out	standing	Amortization	Amo	rtization
Type of UAL	Established	Balance ¹	Period ²	UAL	Balance ³	Period ²	Ра	yment
Initial	12/31/2015	\$ (204,982)	10	\$	(197,005)	10	\$	(24,096)
(Gain)/Loss	12/31/2016	(13,881)	10		(13,862)	8		(2,040)
(Gain)/Loss	12/31/2017	(7,082)	10		(7,526)	9		(1,008)
(Gain)/Loss	12/31/2018	(27,759)	10		(31,048)	10		(3,804)
Total				\$	(249,441)		\$	(30,948)

Table 10-02: Layered Amortization Schedule

¹ For each type of UAL (layer), this is the original balance as of the date the layer was established.

² According to the MERS amortization policy, each type of UAL (layer) is amortized over a specific period (see Appendix on MERS website).

³ This is the remaining balance as of the valuation date, projected to the beginning of the fiscal year shown above.

The unfunded accrued liability (UAL) as of December 31, 2018 (see Table 6) is projected to the beginning of the fiscal year for which the contributions are being calculated. This allows the 2018 valuation to take into account the expected future contributions that are based on past valuations. Each type of UAL (layer) is amortized over the appropriate period. Please see the Appendix on the MERS website for a detailed description of the amortization policy.



					Amounts for Fiscal Year Beginning 7/1/2020						
			Original			Remaining	A	nnual			
	Date		Original	Amortization	Ou	tstanding	Amortization	Amo	ortization		
Type of UAL	Established		Balance ¹	Period ²	UAL Balance ³ Period ²		Payment				
Initial	12/31/2015	\$	6,213,762	23	\$	6,167,471	20	\$	447,696		
(Gain)/Loss	12/31/2016		267,824	22		302,932	20		21,996		
(Gain)/Loss	12/31/2017		(48,448)	21		(54,438)	20		(3,948)		
(Gain)/Loss	12/31/2018		341,436	20		381,887	20		27,720		
Total					\$	6,797,852		\$	493,464		

Table 10-10: Layered Amortization Schedule

¹ For each type of UAL (layer), this is the original balance as of the date the layer was established.

² According to the MERS amortization policy, each type of UAL (layer) is amortized over a specific period (see Appendix on MERS website).

³ This is the remaining balance as of the valuation date, projected to the beginning of the fiscal year shown above.

The unfunded accrued liability (UAL) as of December 31, 2018 (see Table 6) is projected to the beginning of the fiscal year for which the contributions are being calculated. This allows the 2018 valuation to take into account the expected future contributions that are based on past valuations. Each type of UAL (layer) is amortized over the appropriate period. Please see the Appendix on the MERS website for a detailed description of the amortization policy.



					Amounts for Fiscal Year Beginning 7/1/2020					
				Original			Remaining	An	inual	
	Date	Or	iginal	Amortization	Outs	standing	Amortization	Amor	tization	
Type of UAL	Established	Bal	ance ¹	Period ²	UAL	Balance ³	Period ²	Pay	vment	
Initial	12/31/2015	\$	85,797	23	\$	142,497	20	\$	10,344	
(Gain)/Loss	12/31/2016		43,531	22		49,232	20		3,576	
(Gain)/Loss	12/31/2017		12,025	21		13,508	20		984	
(Gain)/Loss	12/31/2018		(36,952)	20		(41,330)	20		(3,000)	
Total					\$	163,907		\$	11,904	

Table 10-11: Layered Amortization Schedule

¹ For each type of UAL (layer), this is the original balance as of the date the layer was established.

² According to the MERS amortization policy, each type of UAL (layer) is amortized over a specific period (see Appendix on MERS website).

³ This is the remaining balance as of the valuation date, projected to the beginning of the fiscal year shown above.

The unfunded accrued liability (UAL) as of December 31, 2018 (see Table 6) is projected to the beginning of the fiscal year for which the contributions are being calculated. This allows the 2018 valuation to take into account the expected future contributions that are based on past valuations. Each type of UAL (layer) is amortized over the appropriate period. Please see the Appendix on the MERS website for a detailed description of the amortization policy.



					Amou	ints for Fi	scal Year Beginn	ing 7/1/20	20
				Original	Remaining			Annu	al
	Date	Orig	ginal	Amortization	Outstanding		nding Amortization Amo		ation
Type of UAL	Established	Bala	nce ¹	Period ²	UAL Balance ³		Period ²	Payme	ent
(Gain)/Loss	12/31/2018	\$	3,841	15	\$	4,296	15	\$	384
Total					\$	4,296		\$	384

Table 10-12: Layered Amortization Schedule

 1 For each type of UAL (layer), this is the original balance as of the date the layer was established.

 2 According to the MERS amortization policy, each type of UAL (layer) is amortized over a specific period (see Appendix on MERS website).

³ This is the remaining balance as of the valuation date, projected to the beginning of the fiscal year shown above.

The unfunded accrued liability (UAL) as of December 31, 2018 (see Table 6) is projected to the beginning of the fiscal year for which the contributions are being calculated. This allows the 2018 valuation to take into account the expected future contributions that are based on past valuations. Each type of UAL (layer) is amortized over the appropriate period. Please see the Appendix on the MERS website for a detailed description of the amortization policy.



					Amounts for Fiscal Year Beginning 7/1/2020						
			Original			Remaining	1	Annual			
	Date		Original	Amortization	0	utstanding	Amortization	Am	ortization		
Type of UAL	Established		Balance ¹	Period ²	UA	AL Balance ³	Period ²	Р	ayment		
Initial	12/31/2015	\$	9,910,823	23	\$	10,512,254	20	\$	763,080		
(Gain)/Loss	12/31/2016		2,226,763	22		2,518,597	20		182,820		
(Gain)/Loss	12/31/2017		46,488	21		52,226	20		3,792		
(Gain)/Loss	12/31/2018		1,009,330	20		1,128,909	20		81,948		
Total					\$	14,211,986		\$	1,031,640		

Table 10-20: Layered Amortization Schedule

¹ For each type of UAL (layer), this is the original balance as of the date the layer was established.

² According to the MERS amortization policy, each type of UAL (layer) is amortized over a specific period (see Appendix on MERS website).

³ This is the remaining balance as of the valuation date, projected to the beginning of the fiscal year shown above.

The unfunded accrued liability (UAL) as of December 31, 2018 (see Table 6) is projected to the beginning of the fiscal year for which the contributions are being calculated. This allows the 2018 valuation to take into account the expected future contributions that are based on past valuations. Each type of UAL (layer) is amortized over the appropriate period. Please see the Appendix on the MERS website for a detailed description of the amortization policy.



				Amounts for Fiscal Year Beginning 7/1/2020						
			Original			Remaining	Annual			
	Date	Original	Amortization	Out	tstanding	Amortization	Amo	rtization		
Type of UAL	Established	Balance ¹	Period ²	UAL	. Balance ³	Period ²	Ра	yment		
Initial	12/31/2015	\$ 5,676,280	23	\$	5,950,745	20	\$	431,964		
(Gain)/Loss	12/31/2016	820,738	22		928,307	20		67,380		
Amendment	12/31/2016	505,732	22		572,008	20		41,520		
(Gain)/Loss	12/31/2017	(670,181)	21		(752,976)	20		(54,660)		
Amendment	12/31/2017	(393,746)	21		(442,393)	20		(32,112)		
(Gain)/Loss	12/31/2018	657,611	20		735,521	20		53,388		
Total				\$	6,991,212		\$	507,480		

Table 10-21: Layered Amortization Schedule

 1 For each type of UAL (layer), this is the original balance as of the date the layer was established.

² According to the MERS amortization policy, each type of UAL (layer) is amortized over a specific period (see Appendix on MERS website).

³ This is the remaining balance as of the valuation date, projected to the beginning of the fiscal year shown above.

The unfunded accrued liability (UAL) as of December 31, 2018 (see Table 6) is projected to the beginning of the fiscal year for which the contributions are being calculated. This allows the 2018 valuation to take into account the expected future contributions that are based on past valuations. Each type of UAL (layer) is amortized over the appropriate period. Please see the Appendix on the MERS website for a detailed description of the amortization policy.



					Amounts for Fiscal Year Beginning 7/1/2020						
				Original	Remainin			Α	nnual		
	Date	Original		Amortization	Out	standing	Amortization	Amo	rtization		
Type of UAL	Established	Balance ¹		Period ²	UAL	Balance ³	Period ²	Ра	yment		
(Gain)/Loss	12/31/2018	\$	(122,196)	10	\$	(136,673)	10	\$	(16,716)		
Total					\$	(136,673)		\$	(16,716)		

Table 10-22: Layered Amortization Schedule

 1 For each type of UAL (layer), this is the original balance as of the date the layer was established.

 2 According to the MERS amortization policy, each type of UAL (layer) is amortized over a specific period (see Appendix on MERS website).

³ This is the remaining balance as of the valuation date, projected to the beginning of the fiscal year shown above.

The unfunded accrued liability (UAL) as of December 31, 2018 (see Table 6) is projected to the beginning of the fiscal year for which the contributions are being calculated. This allows the 2018 valuation to take into account the expected future contributions that are based on past valuations. Each type of UAL (layer) is amortized over the appropriate period. Please see the Appendix on the MERS website for a detailed description of the amortization policy.



					Amounts for Fiscal Year Beginning 7/1/2020						
			Original			Remaining	Α	nnual			
	Date		Original	Amortization	Ou	tstanding	Amortization	Amo	rtization		
Type of UAL	Established		Balance ¹	Period ²	UAL Balance ³ P		Period ²	Pa	yment		
Initial	12/31/2015	\$	2,330,855	23	\$	2,504,194	20	\$	181,776		
(Gain)/Loss	12/31/2016		(87,210)	22		(98,645)	20		(7,164)		
(Gain)/Loss	12/31/2017		159,592	21		179,314	20		13,020		
(Gain)/Loss	12/31/2018		(152,973)	20		(171,096)	20		(12,420)		
Total					\$	2,413,767		\$	175,212		

Table 10-23: Layered Amortization Schedule

¹ For each type of UAL (layer), this is the original balance as of the date the layer was established.

² According to the MERS amortization policy, each type of UAL (layer) is amortized over a specific period (see Appendix on MERS website).

³ This is the remaining balance as of the valuation date, projected to the beginning of the fiscal year shown above.

The unfunded accrued liability (UAL) as of December 31, 2018 (see Table 6) is projected to the beginning of the fiscal year for which the contributions are being calculated. This allows the 2018 valuation to take into account the expected future contributions that are based on past valuations. Each type of UAL (layer) is amortized over the appropriate period. Please see the Appendix on the MERS website for a detailed description of the amortization policy.



					Amo	unts for Fi	scal Year Beginn	ing 7/1/	2020	
				Original	Remaining			ng Annual		
	Date	Or	iginal	Amortization	Outst	anding	Amortization	Amort	ization	
Type of UAL	Established	Ba	ance ¹	Period ²	UAL B	alance ³	Period ²	Payr	nent	
(Gain)/Loss	12/31/2018	\$	16,410	15	\$	18,354	15	\$	1,632	
Total					\$	18,354		\$	1,632	

Table 10-24: Layered Amortization Schedule

 1 For each type of UAL (layer), this is the original balance as of the date the layer was established.

 2 According to the MERS amortization policy, each type of UAL (layer) is amortized over a specific period (see Appendix on MERS website).

³ This is the remaining balance as of the valuation date, projected to the beginning of the fiscal year shown above.

The unfunded accrued liability (UAL) as of December 31, 2018 (see Table 6) is projected to the beginning of the fiscal year for which the contributions are being calculated. This allows the 2018 valuation to take into account the expected future contributions that are based on past valuations. Each type of UAL (layer) is amortized over the appropriate period. Please see the Appendix on the MERS website for a detailed description of the amortization policy.



					Amou	ints for Fi	scal Year Beginn	ing 7/1/20	20	
				Original	Remaining			ng Annual		
	Date	Orig	ginal	Amortization	Outstanding		Amortization	zation Amortiz		
Type of UAL	Established	Bala	nce ¹	Period ²	UAL Balance ³		Period ²	Payme	ent	
(Gain)/Loss	12/31/2018	\$	4,687	15	\$	5,242	15	\$	468	
Total					\$	5,242		\$	468	

Table 10-25: Layered Amortization Schedule

 1 For each type of UAL (layer), this is the original balance as of the date the layer was established.

² According to the MERS amortization policy, each type of UAL (layer) is amortized over a specific period (see Appendix on MERS website).

³ This is the remaining balance as of the valuation date, projected to the beginning of the fiscal year shown above.

The unfunded accrued liability (UAL) as of December 31, 2018 (see Table 6) is projected to the beginning of the fiscal year for which the contributions are being calculated. This allows the 2018 valuation to take into account the expected future contributions that are based on past valuations. Each type of UAL (layer) is amortized over the appropriate period. Please see the Appendix on the MERS website for a detailed description of the amortization policy.



GASB 68 Information

The following information has been prepared to provide some of the information necessary to complete GASB Statement No. 68 disclosures. Statement 68 is effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2014. Additional resources, including an Implementation Guide, are available at http://www.mersofmich.com/.

Actuarial Valuation Date: Measurement Date of the Total Pension Liability (TPL):	12/31/2018 12/31/2018
At 12/31/2018, the following employees were covered by the benefit terms: Inactive employees or beneficiaries currently receiving benefits: Inactive employees entitled to but not yet receiving benefits (including refunds): Active employees:	110 36 <u>54</u> 200
Total Pension Liability as of 12/31/2017 measurement date:	\$ 58,279,201
Total Pension Liability as of 12/31/2018 measurement date:	\$ 60,362,900
Service Cost for the year ending on the 12/31/2018 measurement date:	\$ 697,425
Change in the Total Pension Liability due to: - Benefit changes ¹ :	\$ 0
- Differences between expected and actual experience ² :	\$ 702,477
- Changes in assumptions ² :	\$ 0

¹ A change in liability due to benefit changes is immediately recognized when calculating pension expense for the year.
 ² Changes in liability due to differences between actual and expected experience, and changes in assumptions, are recognized in pension expense over the average remaining service lives of all employees.

Average expected remaining service lives of all employees (active and inactive):	3
Covered employee payroll: (Needed for Required Supplementary Information)	\$ 4,132,846

Sensitivity of the Net Pension Liability to changes in the discount rate:

	1% Decrease	Current Discount	1	l% Increase
	<u>(7.00%)</u>	Rate (8.00%)		<u>(9.00%)</u>
Change in Net Pension Liability as of 12/31/2018: \$	6,507,414	\$-	\$	(5,512,070)

Note: The current discount rate shown for GASB 68 purposes is higher than the MERS assumed rate of return. This is because for GASB 68 purposes, the discount rate must be gross of administrative expenses, whereas for funding purposes it is net of administrative expenses.



Benefit Provision History

The following benefit provision history is provided by MERS. Any corrections to this history or discrepancies between this information and information displayed elsewhere in the valuation report should be reported to MERS. All provisions are listed by date of adoption.

02 -	Plc Dfrd&Rtd 12/1/2016 7/1/1966 7/1/1966 7/1/1966 7/1/1966 7/1/1966	Service Credit Purchase Estimates - Yes Benefit FAC-5 (5 Year Final Average Compensation) 10 Year Vesting Benefit C (Old) Member Contribution Rate 3.00% Under \$4,200.00 - Then 5.00% Fiscal Month - July Defined Panefit Nermal Retirement Acts - C0
		Early Reduced (.5%) at Age 50 with 25 Years or Age 55 with 15 Years
10 ·	 Supervisor/Mar 	nagement
	12/1/2016 11/13/2014 7/1/2014 7/1/2014 7/1/2014 7/1/2014 7/1/2014 7/1/2014 7/1/2014 7/1/2014 7/1/2014 4/13/1967 7/1/1966	Service Credit Purchase Estimates - Yes Blanket Resolution (Generic Service) Benefit FAC-5 (5 Year Final Average Compensation) 6 Year Vesting Exclude Temporary Employees requiring less than 12 months Non Standard Compensation Definition Day of work defined as 120 Hours a Month for All employees. Benefit B-4 (80% max) Benefit F50 (With 25 Years of Service) Benefit F55 (With 10 Years of Service) Participant Contribution Rate 7% Covered by Act 88 Fiscal Month - July Defined Benefit Normal Retirement Age - 60 Early Reduced (.5%) at Age 50 with 25 Years or Age 55 with 15 Years
11 -	- Clerical/Court	
	12/1/2016 11/13/2014	Service Credit Purchase Estimates - Yes Blanket Resolution (Generic Service)

11/13/2014	Blanket Resolution (Generic Service)
7/1/2014	Benefit FAC-5 (5 Year Final Average Compensation)
7/1/2014	6 Year Vesting
7/1/2014	Exclude Temporary Employees requiring less than 12 months
7/1/2014	Non Standard Compensation Definition
7/1/2014	Day of work defined as 128 Hours a Month for All employees.
7/1/2014	Benefit B-4 (80% max)
7/1/2014	Benefit F50 (With 25 Years of Service)
7/1/2014	Benefit F55 (With 15 Years of Service)
7/1/2014	Member Contribution Rate 7.00%
4/13/1967	Covered by Act 88
7/1/1966	Fiscal Month - July
	Defined Benefit Normal Retirement Age - 60
	Early Reduced (.5%) at Age 50 with 25 Years or Age 55 with 15 Years



12 - Clerical/Court aft 7/1/16

•	• •
7/1/2016	Benefit FAC-5 (5 Year Final Average Compensation)
7/1/2016	6 Year Vesting
7/1/2016	Exclude Temporary Employees requiring less than 12 months
7/1/2016	Non Standard Compensation Definition
7/1/2016	Day of work defined as 128 Hours a Month for All employees.
7/1/2016	Service Credit Purchase Estimates - Yes
7/1/2016	Defined Benefit Normal Retirement Age - 60
7/1/2016	1.5%<10 yrs 2%>10 yrs
7/1/2016	Early Reduced (.5%) at Age 50 with 25 Years or Age 55 with 15 Years
7/1/2016	Benefit F55 (With 15 Years of Service)
7/1/2016	Participant Contribution Rate 7%
4/13/1967	Covered by Act 88
7/1/1966	Fiscal Month - July

20 - POLC

12/1/2016	Service Credit Purchase Estimates - Yes
11/13/2014	Blanket Resolution (Generic Service)
7/1/2014	10 Year Vesting
7/1/2014	Exclude Temporary Employees requiring less than 12 months
7/1/2014	Non Standard Compensation Definition
7/1/2014	Day of work defined as 120 Hours a Month for All employees.
7/1/2014	Benefit FAC-3 with External Add-on
7/1/2014	Benefit B-4 (80% max)
7/1/2014	Benefit F50 (With 25 Years of Service)
7/1/2014	Benefit F55 (With 10 Years of Service)
7/1/2014	Member Contribution Rate 7.00%
4/13/1967	Covered by Act 88
7/1/1966	Fiscal Month - July
	Defined Benefit Normal Retirement Age - 60
	Early Reduced (.5%) at Age 50 with 25 Years or Age 55 with 15 Years

21 - POAM

12/1/2016	Service Credit Purchase Estimates - Yes
11/13/2014	Blanket Resolution (Generic Service)
7/1/2014	Benefit FAC-5 (5 Year Final Average Compensation)
7/1/2014	Covered by Act 88
7/1/2014	10 Year Vesting
7/1/2014	Exclude Temporary Employees requiring less than 12 months
7/1/2014	Non Standard Compensation Definition
7/1/2014	Day of work defined as 120 Hours a Month for All employees.
7/1/2014	Benefit B-4 (75% max)
7/1/2014	Benefit F50 (With 25 Years of Service)
7/1/2014	Benefit F55 (With 10 Years of Service)
7/1/2014	Member Contribution Rate 7.00%
7/1/2014	E2 2% COLA for future retirees
7/1/1966	Fiscal Month - July
	Defined Benefit Normal Retirement Age - 60
	Early Reduced (.5%) at Age 50 with 25 Years or Age 55 with 15 Years



22 - Dispatch

12/1/2016	Service Credit Purchase Estimates - Yes
11/13/2014	Blanket Resolution (Generic Service)
7/1/2014	Benefit FAC-5 (5 Year Final Average Compensation)
7/1/2014	6 Year Vesting
7/1/2014	Exclude Temporary Employees requiring less than 12 months
7/1/2014	Non Standard Compensation Definition
7/1/2014	Day of work defined as 128 Hours a Month for All employees.
7/1/2014	2.5% Multiplier (no max)
7/1/2014	Benefit F50 (With 25 Years of Service)
7/1/2014	Benefit F55 (With 10 Years of Service)
7/1/2014	Member Contribution Rate 7.00%
4/13/1967	Covered by Act 88
7/1/1966	Fiscal Month - July
	Defined Benefit Normal Retirement Age - 60
	Early Reduced (.5%) at Age 50 with 25 Years or Age 55 with 15 Years

23 - DPW

12/1/2016	Service Credit Purchase Estimates - Yes
11/13/2014	Blanket Resolution (Generic Service)
7/1/2014	Benefit FAC-5 (5 Year Final Average Compensation)
7/1/2014	6 Year Vesting
7/1/2014	Exclude Temporary Employees requiring less than 12 months
7/1/2014	Non Standard Compensation Definition
7/1/2014	Day of work defined as 128 Hours a Month for All employees.
7/1/2014	2.75% Multiplier (80% max)
7/1/2014	Benefit F50 (With 25 Years of Service)
7/1/2014	Benefit F55 (With 10 Years of Service)
7/1/2014	Member Contribution Rate 7.00%
4/13/1967	Covered by Act 88
7/1/1966	Fiscal Month - July
	Defined Benefit Normal Retirement Age - 60
	Early Reduced (.5%) at Age 50 with 25 Years or Age 55 with 15 Years

24 - DPW hired aft 7/1/2016

	• •
7/1/2016	Benefit FAC-5 (5 Year Final Average Compensation)
7/1/2016	6 Year Vesting
7/1/2016	Exclude Temporary Employees requiring less than 12 months
7/1/2016	Non Standard Compensation Definition
7/1/2016	External Add on for FAC
7/1/2016	Day of work defined as 128 Hours a Month for Full Time employees.
7/1/2016	Service Credit Purchase Estimates - Yes
7/1/2016	Defined Benefit Normal Retirement Age - 60
7/1/2016	1.5%<10 yrs 2%>10 yrs
7/1/2016	Benefit F55 (With 10 Years of Service)
7/1/2016	Early Reduced (.5%) at Age 50 with 25 Years or Age 55 with 15 Years
7/1/2016	Participant Contribution Rate 7%
4/13/1967	Covered by Act 88
7/1/1966	Fiscal Month - July



25 - POAM hired after 7/1/2016

-	
7/1/2016	Benefit FAC-5 (5 Year Final Average Compensation)
7/1/2016	6 Year Vesting
7/1/2016	Blanket Resolution (Generic Service)
7/1/2016	Exclude Temporary Employees requiring less than 12 months
7/1/2016	Non Standard Compensation Definition
7/1/2016	Day of work defined as 128 Hours a Month for Full Time employees.
7/1/2016	Service Credit Purchase Estimates - Yes
7/1/2016	Defined Benefit Normal Retirement Age - 60
7/1/2016	Sick Eligibility - 400 hrs max
7/1/2016	1.5%<10 yrs 2%>10 yrs
7/1/2016	Early Reduced (.5%) at Age 50 with 25 Years or Age 55 with 15 Years
7/1/2016	Benefit F55 (With 10 Years of Service)
7/1/2016	Participant Contribution Rate 7%
7/1/2016	E2 2% COLA for future retirees (7/1/2016)
4/13/1967	Covered by Act 88
7/1/1966	Fiscal Month - July



Plan Provisions, Actuarial Assumptions, and Actuarial Funding Method

Details on MERS plan provisions, actuarial assumptions, and actuarial methodology can be found in the Appendix. Some actuarial assumptions are specific to this municipality and its divisions. These are listed below.

	FAC Increase
Division	Assumption
02 - Plc Dfrd&Rtd	0.00%
10 - Supervisor/Management	3.00%
11 - Clerical/Court	3.00%
12 - Clerical/Court aft 7/1/16	3.00%
20 - POLC	14.00%
21 - POAM	8.00%
22 - Dispatch	7.00%
23 - DPW	0.00%
24 - DPW hired aft 7/1/2016	0.00%
25 - POAM hired after 7/1/2016	8.00%

Withdrawal Rate Scaling Factor

Division	Withdrawal Rate Scaling Factor
All Divisions	30%

Miscellaneous and Technical Assumptions

Loads – None.

For conservatism, this valuation does not reflect any maximum benefit based on base wages for divisions 12, 24, and 25.

Amortization Policy for Closed Divisions

Closed Division	Amortization Option
22 - Dispatch	Non-Accelerated Amortization

Please see Appendix on MERS website for a detailed description of the amortization options available for closed divisions within an open municipality.



Risk Commentary

Determination of the accrued liability, the employer contribution, and the funded ratio requires the use of assumptions regarding future economic and demographic experience. Risk measures, as illustrated in this report, are intended to aid in the understanding of the effects of future experience differing from the assumptions used in the course of the actuarial valuation. Risk measures may also help with illustrating the potential volatility in the accrued liability, the actuarially determined contribution and the funded ratio that result from the differences between actual experience and the actuarial assumptions.

Future actuarial measurements may differ significantly from the current measurements presented in this report due to such factors as the following: plan experience differing from that anticipated by the economic or demographic assumptions; changes in economic or demographic assumptions due to changing conditions; increases or decreases expected as part of the natural operation of the methodology used for these measurements (such as the end of an amortization period, or additional cost or contribution requirements based on the Plan's funded status); and changes in plan provisions or applicable law. The scope of an actuarial valuation does not include an analysis of the potential range of such future measurements.

Examples of risk that may reasonably be anticipated to significantly affect the plan's future financial condition include:

- Investment Risk actual investment returns may differ from the expected returns;
- Asset/Liability Mismatch changes in asset values may not match changes in liabilities, thereby altering the gap between the accrued liability and assets and consequently altering the funded status and contribution requirements;
- Salary and Payroll Risk actual salaries and total payroll may differ from expected, resulting in actual future accrued liability and contributions differing from expected;
- Longevity Risk members may live longer or shorter than expected and receive pensions for a period of time other than assumed; and
- **Other Demographic Risks** members may terminate, retire or become disabled at times or with benefits other than assumed resulting in actual future accrued liability and contributions differing from expected.

The effects of certain trends in experience can generally be anticipated. For example, if the investment return since the most recent actuarial valuation is less (or more) than the assumed rate, the cost of the plan can be expected to increase (or decrease). Likewise if longevity is improving (or worsening), increases (or decreases) in cost can be anticipated.



PLAN MATURITY MEASURES

Risks facing a pension plan evolve over time. A young plan with virtually no investments and paying few benefits may experience little investment risk. An older plan with a large number of members in pay status and a significant trust may be much more exposed to investment risk. Generally accepted plan maturity measures include the following:

1. Ratio of the market value of assets to total payroll	7.1
2. Ratio of actuarial accrued liability to payroll	15.0
3. Ratio of actives to retirees and beneficiaries	0.5
4. Ratio of market value of assets to benefit payments	7.6
5. Ratio of net cash flow to market value of assets (boy)	-2.9%

RATIO OF MARKET VALUE OF ASSETS TO TOTAL PAYROLL

The relationship between assets and payroll is a useful indicator of the potential volatility of contributions. For example, if the market value of assets is 2.0 times the payroll, a return on assets 5% different than assumed would equal 10% of payroll. A higher (lower) or increasing (decreasing) level of this maturity measure generally indicates a higher (lower) or increasing (decreasing) volatility in plan sponsor contributions as a percentage of payroll.

RATIO OF ACTUARIAL ACCRUED LIABILITY TO PAYROLL

The relationship between actuarial accrued liability and payroll is a useful indicator of the potential volatility of contributions for a fully funded plan. A funding policy that targets a funded ratio of 100% is expected to result in the ratio of assets to payroll and the ratio of liability to payroll converging over time.

RATIO OF ACTIVES TO RETIREES AND BENEFICIARIES

A young plan with many active members and few retirees will have a high ratio of active to retirees. A mature open plan may have close to the same number of actives to retirees resulting in a ratio near 1.0. A super-mature or closed plan may have significantly more retirees than actives resulting in a ratio below 1.0.

RATIO OF MARKET VALUE OF ASSETS TO BENEFIT PAYMENTS

The MERS' Actuarial Policy requires a total minimum contribution equal to the excess (if any) of three times the expected annual benefit payments over the projected market value of assets as of the participating municipality or court's Fiscal Year for which the contribution applies. The ratio of market value of assets to benefit payments as of the valuation date provides an indication of whether the division is at risk for triggering the minimum contribution rule in the near term. If the division triggers this minimum contribution rule, the required employer contributions could increase dramatically relative to previous valuations.

RATIO OF NET CASH FLOW TO MARKET VALUE OF ASSETS

A positive net cash flow means contributions exceed benefits and expenses. A negative cash flow means existing funds are being used to make payments. A certain amount of negative net cash flow is generally expected to occur when benefits are prefunded through a qualified trust. Large negative net cash flows as a percent of assets may indicate a super-mature plan or a need for additional contributions.



State Reporting

The following information has been prepared to provide some of the information necessary to complete the pension reporting requirements for the State of Michigan's Local Government Retirement System Annual Report (Form No. 5572). Additional resources are available at <u>www.mersofmich.com</u> and on the State <u>website</u>.

Form 5572		
Line Reference	Description	Result
10	Membership as of December 31, 2018	
11	Indicate number of active members	54
12	Indicate number of inactive members	26
13	Indicate number of retirees and beneficiaries	110
14	Investment Performance for Calendar Year Ending December 31, 2018 ¹	
15	Enter actual rate of return - prior 1-year period	-3.64%
16	Enter actual rate of return - prior 5-year period	4.94%
17	Enter actual rate of return - prior 10-year period	8.25%
18	Actuarial Assumptions	
19	Actuarial assumed rate of investment return ²	7.75%
20	Amortization method utilized for funding the system's unfunded actuarial accrued liability, if any	Level Percent
21	Amortization period utilized for funding the system's unfunded actuarial accrued liability, if any ³	20
22	Is each division within the system closed to new employees? ⁴	No
23	Uniform Assumptions	
24	Enter retirement pension system's actuarial value of assets using uniform assumptions	\$32,045,447
25	Enter retirement pension system's actuarial accrued liabilities using uniform assumptions	\$66,870,314
27	Actuarially Determined Contribution (ADC) using uniform assumptions, Fiscal Year Ending June 30,2019	\$2,907,720

^{1.} The Municipal Employees' Retirement System's investment performance has been provided to GRS from MERS Investment Staff and included here for reporting purposes. This investment performance figures reported are net of fees on a rolling calendar-year basis for the previous 1-, 5-, and 10-year periods as required under PA 530.

^{2.} Net of administrative and investment expenses.

^{3.} Populated with the longest amortization period remaining in the amortization schedule, across all divisions in the plan. This is when each division and the plan in total is expected to reach 100% funded if all assumptions are met.

⁴ If all divisions within the employer are closed, "yes." If at least one division is open (including shadow divisions) indicate "no."

